

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES BERMUDA LTD

FORM 20-F

(Annual and Transition Report (foreign private issuer))

Filed 06/06/08 for the Period Ending 12/31/07

Telephone	88635770055
CIK	0001133478
Symbol	IMOS
SIC Code	3674 - Semiconductors and Related Devices
Industry	Semiconductors
Sector	Technology
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

- REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
- OR
- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007
- OR
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from to
- OR
- SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
Date of event requiring this shell company report
- Commission file number 0-31106

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Bermuda
(Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)
No. 1, R&D Road 1, Hsinchu Science Park
Hsinchu, Taiwan
Republic of China
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Shou-Kang Chen
Chief Financial Officer
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
No. 1, R&D Road 1, Hsinchu Science Park
Hsinchu, Taiwan
Republic of China
Telephone: (886) 3 563 3988
Facsimile: (886) 3 571 6070
(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile Number and Address of Company Contract Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Shares, par value US\$0.01 each

**Name of Each Exchange
on Which Registered**

The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

As of December 31, 2007, 83,843,162 Common Shares, par value US\$0.01 each, were outstanding.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or (15)(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing.

U.S. GAAP

International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board

Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17. Item 18.

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS****ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.**

	<u>Page</u>
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE “SAFE HARBOR” PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995	1
PART I	
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	3
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	3
Item 3. Key Information	3
Item 4. Information on the Company	26
Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments	54
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	54
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	78
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	87
Item 8. Financial Information	90
Item 9. The Offer and Listing	91
Item 10. Additional Information	92
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	100
Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities	101
PART II	
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	102
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	102
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	102
Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert	103
Item 16B. Code of Ethics	103
Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	103
Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	103
Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	104
PART III	
Item 17. Financial Statements	105
Item 18. Financial Statements	105
Item 19. Exhibits	105

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE “SAFE HARBOR” PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Except for historical matters, the matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 20-F are forward-looking statements that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. These statements are generally indicated by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “project,” “will” or other similar words that express an indication of actions or results of actions that may or are expected to occur in the future. These statements appear in a number of places throughout this Annual Report on Form 20-F and include statements regarding our intentions, beliefs or current expectations concerning, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth, strategies and the industries in which we operate.

By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and our actual results of operations, financial condition and liquidity, and the development of the industries in which we operate may differ materially from those made in or suggested by the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. Important factors that could cause those differences include, but are not limited to:

- the volatility of the semiconductor industry and the market for end-user applications for semiconductor products;
- overcapacity in the semiconductor testing and assembly markets;
- the increased competition from other companies and our ability to retain and increase our market share;
- our ability to successfully develop new technologies and remain a technological leader;
- our ability to maintain control over capacity expansion and facility modifications;
- our ability to generate growth or profitable growth;
- our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel;
- our ability to acquire required equipment and supplies to meet customer demand;
- our ability to raise debt or equity financing as required to meet certain existing obligations;
- the pending criminal indictment of our chairman and chief executive officer;
- our reliance on the business and financial condition of certain major customers;
- the implementation of long-term customer agreements that require us to incur significant capital expenditures;
- our major customers’ willingness to purchase our services or to provide the minimum agreed compensation as provided under any long-term agreement with us, if applicable;
- the success of any of our future acquisitions, investments or joint ventures;
- the outcome of any pending litigation;

Table of Contents

- the occurrence of earthquakes, drought and other natural disasters, as well as industrial accidents;
- the political stability of our local region; and
- general local and global economic conditions.

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding our strategy and future plans, future business condition and financial results, our capital expenditure plans, our capacity expansion plans, our expansion plans in Mainland China, technological upgrades, investment in research and development, future market demand, future regulatory or other developments in our industry. Please see “Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors” for a further discussion of certain factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by our forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information**Selected Financial Data**

The following tables set forth our selected consolidated financial data. The selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 and our consolidated statement of operations and cash flows data for 2005, 2006 and 2007 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included herein, and should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to, these audited consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 20-F. These audited consolidated financial statements have been audited by Moore Stephens. The selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 and the consolidated statement of operations and cash flows data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included herein. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared and presented in accordance with ROC GAAP, which differs in some material respects from US GAAP. Please see Note 24 to our audited consolidated financial statements for a description of the principal differences between ROC GAAP and US GAAP for the periods covered by these financial statements.

	Year ended December 31,					
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2007
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
(in millions, except per share data)						
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:						
ROC GAAP:						
Net revenue:						
Related parties ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,072.9	\$ 4,844.4	\$ 4,603.5	\$ 5,654.4	\$ 6,915.9	\$ 213.2
Others	3,953.6	10,191.4	10,610.5	14,720.8	16,681.7	514.4
Total net revenue	9,026.5	15,035.8	15,214.0	20,375.2	23,597.6	727.6
Cost of revenue	7,459.5	10,857.5	11,262.6	14,253.4	17,444.1	537.9
Gross profit	1,567.0	4,178.3	3,951.4	6,121.8	6,153.5	189.7
Operating expenses:						
Research and development	295.0	296.4	274.4	274.8	322.3	9.9
General and administrative	439.9	673.3	793.3	813.0	1,070.5	33.0
Sales and marketing	65.4	308.5	232.9	107.4	98.3	3.0
Total operating expenses	800.3	1,278.2	1,300.6	1,195.2	1,491.1	45.9
Income from operations	766.7	2,900.1	2,650.8	4,926.6	4,662.4	143.8
Other expenses, net	(77.1)	(395.8)	(506.5)	(223.2)	(669.2)	(20.7)
Income before income tax, minority interests and interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries ⁽²⁾	689.6	2,504.3	2,144.3	4,703.4	3,993.2	123.1
Income tax benefit (expense)	29.0	141.8	(112.0)	(636.5)	(768.2)	(23.7)
Income before minority interests and interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries ⁽²⁾	718.6	2,646.1	2,032.3	4,066.9	3,225.0	99.4
Minority interests	(256.9)	(997.9)	(977.0)	(1,799.4)	(720.0)	(22.2)
Interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries ⁽²⁾	—	—	(127.1)	(149.5)	(285.8)	(8.8)
Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles	—	—	—	3.3	—	—
Pre-acquisition earnings ⁽³⁾	20.7	27.7	—	—	—	—
Net income	\$ 482.4	\$ 1,675.9	\$ 928.2	\$ 2,121.3	\$ 2,219.2	\$ 68.4
Earning per share:						
Basic	\$ 8.19	\$ 26.54	\$ 13.74	\$ 30.84	\$ 27.63	\$ 0.85
Diluted	\$ 8.12	\$ 26.38	\$ 11.82	\$ 25.00	\$ 24.24	\$ 0.75
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:						
Basic	58.9	63.1	67.5	68.8	80.3	80.3
Diluted	59.4	63.5	82.6	88.3	108.2	108.2

Table of Contents

	Year ended December 31,					
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2007
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in millions, except per share data)					
US GAAP: ⁽⁴⁾						
Net income	\$485.3	\$1,665.5	\$805.4	\$1,253.1	\$2,901.7	\$ 89.5
Earning per share:						
Basic	\$ 8.24	\$ 26.38	\$11.92	\$ 18.22	\$ 36.13	\$ 1.11
Diluted	\$ 8.17	\$ 26.22	\$11.21	\$ 17.52	\$ 21.07	\$ 0.65
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:						
Basic	58.9	63.1	67.5	68.8	80.3	80.3
Diluted	59.4	63.5	82.6	71.5	108.2	108.2

- (1) Related parties include Mosel Vitelic Inc., or Mosel, Siliconware Precision Industries Co. Ltd., or Siliconware Precision, PlusMOS Technologies Inc., or PlusMOS, Ultima Electronics Corp., or Ultima, ProMOS Technologies Inc., or ProMOS, ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp., or ThaiLin, CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD., or Chantek, Best Home Corp. Ltd., or Best Home, DenMOS Technology Inc., or DenMOS, Sun-Fund Securities Ltd., or Sun-Fund, Advanced Micro Chip Technology Co., Ltd., or AMCT, Jesper Limited, Prudent Holdings Group Ltd. and Mou-Fu Investment Ltd. See Note 18 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. Effective April 1, 2004, PlusMOS was merged into Chantek with Chantek as the surviving entity. See "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD." AMCT was liquidated in October 2004. See "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—Advanced Micro Chip Technology Co., Ltd." On November 21, 2005, Chantek was merged into ChipMOS Taiwan, with ChipMOS Taiwan as the surviving company. See "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC."
- (2) Refers to bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees paid by subsidiaries.
- (3) For 2003, represents our share of pre-acquisition profits of ThaiLin prior to December 1, 2003, the date when we began to consolidate the accounts of ThaiLin. For 2004, represents our share of pre-acquisition profits of Chantek prior to April 1, 2004, the date when we began to consolidate the accounts of Chantek, the surviving entity after the merger of Chantek and PlusMOS.
- (4) Reflects the US GAAP adjustments as described in Note 24 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

	As of December 31,					
	2003 ⁽¹⁾	2004 ⁽¹⁾	2005 ⁽¹⁾	2006 ⁽¹⁾	2007	2007
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in millions)					
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:						
ROC GAAP:						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,731.0	\$ 4,849.1	\$ 4,607.0	\$ 5,895.9	\$ 5,133.6	\$ 158.3
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	282.4	87.0	169.3	65.1	87.0	2.7
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss	664.3	2,832.6	186.1	1,929.1	555.6	17.1
Notes receivable	11.7	62.2	30.6	31.1	28.0	0.9
Accounts receivable						
—related parties	1,342.4	1,411.0	1,418.4	1,839.1	1,498.8	46.2
—third parties	1,290.7	1,926.1	2,525.9	3,190.5	3,795.9	117.0
Other receivables						
—related parties	266.2	6.6	4.3	14.0	11.9	0.4
—third parties	866.6	164.6	161.9	31.8	31.2	1.0
Inventories	335.5	661.0	627.5	945.8	1,043.6	32.2
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	422.2	116.9	76.7	155.8	334.5	10.3
Total current assets	7,479.7	12,707.7	10,046.9	14,232.6	12,605.2	388.7
Long-term investments	640.5	642.4	404.1	366.7	358.0	11.0
Property, plant and equipment, net	11,086.8	17,426.6	20,420.1	30,494.3	30,020.4	925.7
Intangible assets—net	177.4	163.3	170.8	172.4	180.4	5.6
Other assets	281.3	605.1	716.1	745.9	2,152.1	66.4
Total assets	19,665.7	31,545.1	31,758.0	46,011.9	45,316.1	1,397.4
Current liabilities:						
Short-term bank loans	1,566.8	800.6	467.8	1,055.3	1,249.2	38.5
Current portion of long-term loans	692.8	1,821.8	2,300.9	2,335.3	3,686.2	113.7
Current portion of long-term bonds payable	—	1,200.0	—	—	—	—
Convertible notes	267.6	—	2,769.3	—	3,014.9	93.0
Derivative liabilities	—	—	—	—	96.0	3.0
Deferred credit	—	28.0	3.5	3.6	3.9	0.1
Notes payable	27.3	49.1	3.9	—	—	—
Accounts payable	345.4	607.8	728.7	803.0	976.1	30.1
Other payables						

—related parties	1.0	2.8	1.2	—	—	—
—third parties	263.8	324.7	404.9	549.6	604.1	18.6
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	438.0	608.6	474.1	713.6	886.7	27.3
Total current liabilities	3,951.1	5,915.4	7,857.5	6,747.5	11,374.2	350.7
Long-term liabilities	3,438.9	7,608.1	4,433.9	15,900.5	11,323.7	349.2
Other liabilities	599.5	768.5	374.7	479.0	370.1	11.4
Total liabilities	7,989.5	14,292.0	12,666.1	23,127.0	23,068.0	711.3
Total shareholders' equity (including minority interests)	11,676.2	17,253.1	19,091.9	22,884.9	22,248.1	686.1

- (1) As a result of the adoption of ROC Statements of Financial Accounting Standard No. 37, "Intangible Assets", the balances in 2003 to 2006 were reclassified to be consistent with the classification used in our consolidated balance sheets for 2007 included herein.

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,					
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2007
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
(in millions)						
US GAAP ⁽¹⁾:						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,731.0	\$ 4,849.1	\$ 4,607.0	\$ 5,895.9	\$ 5,133.6	\$ 158.3
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	282.4	87.0	169.3	65.1	87.0	2.7
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss	660.7	2,839.6	189.2	1,929.1	555.6	17.1
Notes receivable	11.7	62.2	30.6	31.1	28.0	0.9
Accounts receivable						
—related parties	1,342.4	1,411.0	1,418.4	1,839.1	1,498.8	46.2
—third parties	1,290.7	1,926.1	2,525.9	3,190.5	3,795.9	117.0
Other receivables						
—related parties	266.2	6.6	4.3	14.0	11.9	0.4
—third parties	866.6	164.6	161.9	31.8	31.2	1.0
Inventories	335.5	661.0	627.7	946.1	1,044.3	32.2
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	422.2	116.9	76.7	155.8	334.5	10.3
Total current assets	7,476.1	12,714.7	10,050.2	14,232.9	12,603.4	388.6
Long-term investments	625.1	636.8	387.1	366.7	358.0	11.0
Property, plant and equipment, net	11,082.4	17,411.7	20,340.9	30,377.7	29,861.6	920.8
Intangible assets—net	177.4	163.3	170.8	172.4	180.4	5.6
Other assets	272.5	595.2	704.6	826.4	2,262.6	69.8
Total assets	19,633.5	31,521.7	31,653.6	45,976.1	45,266.0	1,395.8
Current liabilities:						
Short-term bank loans	1,566.8	800.6	467.8	1,055.3	1,249.2	38.5
Current portion of long-term loans	692.8	1,821.8	2,300.9	2,335.3	3,686.2	113.7
Current portion of long-term bonds payable	—	1,200.0	—	—	—	—
Convertible notes	267.6	—	2,531.1	—	3,056.4	94.2
Derivative liabilities	—	—	160.9	—	85.4	2.6
Deferred credit	—	28.0	3.5	3.6	3.9	0.1
Notes payable	27.3	49.1	3.9	—	—	—
Accounts payable	345.4	607.8	728.7	803.0	976.1	30.1
Other payable						
—related parties	1.0	2.8	1.2	—	—	—
—third parties	263.8	324.7	404.9	549.6	604.1	18.6
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	438.0	608.6	743.1	1,173.1	1,321.0	40.7
Total current liabilities	3,951.1	5,915.4	8,049.3	7,207.0	11,839.3	365.1
Long-term liabilities	3,438.9	7,608.1	4,433.9	16,836.2	11,179.3	344.7
Other liabilities	603.7	772.7	345.0	502.2	596.5	18.4
Total liabilities	7,993.7	14,296.2	12,828.2	24,545.4	23,615.1	728.2
Total shareholders' equity (including minority interests)	11,639.8	17,225.5	18,825.4	21,430.7	21,650.9	667.6

(1) Reflects the US GAAP adjustments as described in Note 24 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

	Year ended December 31,					
	2003 ⁽¹⁾	2004 ⁽¹⁾	2005 ⁽¹⁾	2006	2007	2007
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
(in millions)						
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Data:						
ROC GAAP:						
Capital expenditures	\$ 2,477.9	\$ 8,331.0	\$ 7,677.2	\$ 15,717.8	\$ 6,093.8	\$ 187.9
Depreciation and amortization	2,715.0	3,536.8	4,339.1	5,558.8	6,834.8	210.8
Net cash provided by (used in):						
Operating activities	2,281.2	4,915.7	8,822.6	7,316.4	10,882.9	335.6
Investing activities	(1,444.7)	(8,273.3)	(7,622.5)	(14,988.2)	(12,212.1)	(376.6)
Financing activities	(1,488.0)	6,544.3	(1,519.9)	8,947.9	528.1	16.3
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(105.1)	(68.5)	77.7	12.8	38.8	1.2
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(756.6)	3,118.2	(242.1)	1,288.9	(762.3)	(23.5)

(1) As a result of the adoption of the ROC Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 34, "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement" (ROC SFAS No. 34), and the ROC Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 36, "Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation" (ROC SFAS No. 36), the balances in 2003 to 2005 were reclassified to be consistent with the classification

used in our consolidated statements of cash flows for 2006 and 2007 included herein.

Table of Contents

Exchange Rates

References to “US\$” and “US dollars” are to United States dollars and references to “NT\$” and “NT dollars” are to New Taiwan dollars. This Annual Report on Form 20-F contains translations of certain NT dollar amounts into US dollars at specified rates solely for the convenience of the reader. Unless otherwise noted, all translations from NT dollars to US dollars and from US dollars to NT dollars were made at the noon buying rate in The City of New York for cable transfers in NT dollars per US dollar as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York as of December 31, 2007, which was NT\$32.43 to US\$1.00. We make no representation that the NT dollar or US dollar amounts referred to in this Annual Report on Form 20-F could have been or could be converted into US dollars or NT dollars, as the case may be, at any particular rate or at all. On June 4, 2008, the noon buying rate was NT\$30.35 to US\$1.00.

The following table sets out, for the years and the months indicated, information concerning the number of NT dollars for which one US dollar could be exchanged based on the noon buying rate for cable transfers in NT dollars as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

	NT dollars per US dollar noon buying rate			
	Average	High	Low	Period-end
2003	34.41	34.98	33.72	33.99
2004	33.37	34.16	31.74	31.74
2005	32.16	33.77	30.65	32.80
2006	32.51	33.31	31.28	32.59
2007	32.85	33.41	32.26	32.43
December 2007	32.41	32.53	32.30	32.43
January 2008	32.36	32.49	32.15	32.15
February 2008	31.61	32.03	30.90	30.92
March 2008	30.58	31.09	29.99	30.37
April 2008	30.36	30.52	30.24	30.47
May 2008	30.59	30.99	30.36	30.37
June 2008 (through June 4, 2008)	30.29	30.37	30.15	30.35

Sources: Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.10 (512), 2003-2008, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

Risks Relating to Our Industry

Because we depend on the highly cyclical semiconductor industry, which is characterized by significant and sometimes prolonged downturns from time to time, our net revenue and earnings may fluctuate significantly, which in turn could cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

Because our business is, and will continue to be, dependent on the requirements of semiconductor companies for independent testing and assembly services, any downturn in the highly cyclical semiconductor industry may reduce demand for our services and adversely affect our results of operations. All of our customers operate in this industry and variations in order levels from our customers and in service fee rates may result in volatility in our net revenue and earnings. For instance, during periods of decreased demand for assembled semiconductors, some of our customers may even simplify, delay or forego final testing of certain types of semiconductors, such as dynamic random access memory, or DRAM, further intensifying our difficulties. From time to time, the semiconductor industry has experienced significant, and sometimes prolonged, downturns, which have adversely affected our results of operations. For example, the semiconductor industry experienced a downturn beginning in the fourth quarter of 2000 until late 2002. As a result of the downturn, our net revenue and net income for 2001 decreased 36% and 219% from 2000 levels, respectively. In addition, beginning in 2007, the semiconductor industry commenced a significant downturn which has adversely affected our business, particularly our assembly and testing services for DRAM products. This industry downturn is still continuing and we cannot give any assurances that industry conditions will improve or that there will not be any downturn in the future or that any future downturn will not affect our results of operations.

Any deterioration in the market for end-user applications for semiconductor products would reduce demand for our services and may result in a decrease in our earnings.

Market conditions in the semiconductor industry track, to a large degree, those for their end-user applications. Any deterioration in the market conditions for the end-user applications of semiconductors we test and assemble could reduce demand for our services and, in turn, materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Our net revenue is largely attributable to fees derived from testing and assembling semiconductors for use in personal computers, communications equipment, consumer electronic products and display applications. A significant decrease in demand for products in these markets could put pricing pressure on our testing and assembly services and negatively affect our net revenue and earnings. The decrease in market demand for personal computers and communications equipment that began in the fourth quarter of 2000 adversely affected our results of operations in 2000, 2001 and 2002. The weak demand for LCD and other flat-panel display products that began in 2007 also adversely affected our operating results in 2007 and the first half of 2008. Any significant decrease in demand for end-user applications of semiconductors will negatively affect our net revenue and earnings.

A decline in average selling prices for our services could result in a decrease in our earnings.

Historically, prices for our testing and assembly services in relation to any given semiconductor tend to decline over the course of its product and technology life cycle. See also “— A decrease in market demand for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors may adversely affect our capacity utilization rates and thereby negatively affect our profitability.” If we cannot reduce the cost of our testing and assembly services, or introduce higher-margin testing and assembly services for new package types, to offset the decrease in average selling prices for our services, our earnings could decrease.

A reversal or slowdown in the outsourcing trend for semiconductor testing and assembly services could reduce our profitability.

In recent years, integrated device manufacturers, or IDMs, have increasingly outsourced stages of the semiconductor production process, including testing and assembly, to independent companies like us to shorten production cycles. In addition, the availability of

Table of Contents

advanced independent semiconductor manufacturing services has also enabled the growth of so-called “fabless” semiconductor companies that focus exclusively on design and marketing and outsource their manufacturing, testing and assembly requirements to independent companies. A substantial portion of our net revenue is indirectly generated from providing semiconductor assembly and testing services to these IDMs and fabless companies. We cannot assure you that these companies will continue to outsource their testing and assembly requirements to independent companies like us. A reversal of, or a slowdown in, this outsourcing trend could result in reduced demand for our services, which in turn could reduce our profitability.

Risks Relating to Our Business

If we are unable to compete effectively in the highly competitive semiconductor testing and assembly markets, we may lose customers and our income may decline.

The semiconductor testing and assembly markets are very competitive. We face competition from a number of IDMs with in-house testing and assembly capabilities and other independent semiconductor testing and assembly companies. Our competitors may have access to more advanced technologies and greater financial and other resources than we do. Many of our competitors have shown a willingness to reduce prices quickly and sharply in the past to maintain capacity utilization in their facilities during periods of reduced demand. In addition, an increasing number of our competitors conduct their operations in lower cost centers in Asia such as Mainland China, Thailand, Vietnam and the Philippines. Any renewed or continued erosion in the prices or demand for our testing and assembly services as a result of increased competition could adversely affect our profits.

We are highly dependent on the market for memory products. A downturn in the market or prices for these products could significantly reduce our net revenue and net income.

A significant portion of our net revenue is derived from testing and assembling memory semiconductors. Our net revenue derived from the testing and assembly of memory semiconductors accounted for 73%, 74% and 78% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. In the past, our service fees for testing and assembling memory semiconductors were sharply reduced in tandem with the decrease in the average selling price of DRAM in the semiconductor industry. The oversupply of DRAM products in the second half of 2007 and the weak demand in the DRAM market in first quarter of 2008 resulted in significant reductions in the price of DRAM products, which in turn drove down the average prices for our testing and assembly services for DRAM products in these periods. We cannot assure you that there will not be further downturns in DRAM prices in the future. Any failure of the demand for DRAM to increase or any decrease in the demand or prices for memory products may decrease the demand for our services and our service fees and significantly reduce our net revenue and net income.

A decrease in market demand for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors may adversely affect our capacity utilization rates and thereby negatively affect our profitability.

Our testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors generated net revenue of NT\$3,098 million, NT\$4,446 million and NT\$3,996 million (US\$123 million) in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. We spent NT\$1,803 million, NT\$2,418 million and NT\$714 million (US\$22 million) in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, on equipment for tape carrier package, or TCP, chip-on-film, or COF and chip-on-glass, or COG, technologies, which are used in testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. Most of this equipment may not be used for technologies other than TCP, COF or COG. In 2007, our gross margin for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services decreased significantly to 8% from 20% in 2006 primarily as a result of the weak demand for LCD and other flat-panel display products, which in turn significantly decreased our capacity utilization rates and average selling prices. Any future decrease in demand for our LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services would significantly impair our capacity utilization rates and may result in our inability to generate sufficient revenue to cover the significant depreciation expenses for the equipment used in testing and assembling LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors, thereby further negatively affecting our profitability. See also “— Because of our high fixed costs, if we are unable to achieve relatively high capacity utilization rates, our earnings and profitability may be adversely affected.”

Table of Contents

Our significant amount of indebtedness and interest expense will limit our cash flow and could adversely affect our operations.

We have a significant level of debt and interest expense. We had approximately NT\$8,046 million (US\$248 million) and NT\$11,324 million (US\$349 million) in short- and long-term indebtedness, respectively, outstanding as of December 31, 2007, including NT\$2,076 million (US\$64 million) of the 1.75% convertible notes due 2009 issued in November 2004, or the 2004 notes, and NT\$3,211 million (US\$99 million) of the 3.375% convertible notes due 2011 issued in September 2006, or the 2006 notes. In addition, ThaiLin, our 38.2% owned consolidated subsidiary, holds US\$7 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes. The holders of the 2006 notes have the right to cause ChipMOS Bermuda to repurchase the notes on September 29, 2008 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

Our significant indebtedness poses risks to our business, including the risks that:

- we may have to use a substantial portion of our consolidated cash flow from operations to pay principal and interest on our debt, thereby reducing the funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;
- insufficient cash flow from operations may force us to sell assets, or seek additional capital, which we may be unable to do at all or on terms favorable to us;
- our level of indebtedness may make us more vulnerable to economic or industry downturns; and
- our debt service obligations increase our vulnerabilities to competitive pressures, because many of our competitors may be less leveraged than we are.

The indentures governing the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes do not limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future. As we incur additional indebtedness, the risks that we face could intensify. Our ability to make required payments on the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes and to satisfy any other debt obligations will depend on our future operating performance and our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing on commercially reasonable terms. For additional information on our indebtedness, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Resources.”

Our results of operations may fluctuate significantly and may cause the market price of our common shares to be volatile.

Our results of operations have varied significantly from period to period and may continue to vary in the future. Among the more important factors affecting our quarterly and annual results of operations are the following:

- our ability to accurately predict customer demand, as we must commit significant capital expenditures in anticipation of future orders;
- our ability to quickly adjust to unanticipated declines or shortfalls in demand and market prices for our testing and assembly services, due to our high percentage of fixed costs;
- changes in prices for our testing and assembly services;
- volume of orders relative to our testing and assembly capacity;
- capital expenditures and production uncertainties relating to the roll-out of new testing or assembly services;
- our ability to obtain adequate testing and assembly equipment on a timely basis;

Table of Contents

- changes in costs and availability of raw materials, equipment and labor;
- changes in our product mix; and
- earthquakes, drought and other natural disasters, as well as industrial accidents.

Because of the factors listed above, our future results of operations or growth rates may be below the expectations of research analysts and investors. If so, the market price of our common shares, and the market value of your investment, may fall.

The ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, our former director, could have a material adverse effect on our business and cause our stock price to decline.

Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our chairman and chief executive officer, was indicted by the Taipei District Prosecutor's Office, or the prosecutor, in December 2005. Based upon information released by the prosecutor, the indictment alleges that Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, as instructed by Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, purchased repurchase notes on January 6, January 13, and January 28, 2004 from Founder Associates Limited, a British Virgin Islands company affiliated with Mega Securities Co., Ltd. (formerly known as Barits International Securities Co., Ltd.), with an aggregate principal amount of approximately US\$29 million, by using corporate funds from ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin. The indictment further alleges that these repurchase notes were used as a cover to misuse the corporate funds of Mosel, and its affiliated entities, including ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin, in violation of ROC law. In addition, the indictment alleges that Mr. Hu and others were engaged in the insider trading of the securities of Mosel in violation of ROC law, but none of the current officers at ChipMOS Taiwan or ThaiLin was indicted in this regard.

On January 5, 2006, our board established a special committee, comprised solely of Messrs. Yeong-Her Wang, Rong Hsu and Pierre Laflamme, three of our independent directors, to evaluate the circumstances surrounding the indictment of Mr. Cheng. The special committee engaged Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis LLP (formerly Preston Gates & Ellis LLP) as its independent international legal counsel, Baker & McKenzie as its independent ROC legal counsel, and Ernst & Young (formerly Diwan, Ernst & Young) as its financial advisor to assist in its investigation.

The special committee's investigation focused on (1) the probability that Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng would be convicted on the charges described in the indictment, (2) whether the indictment resulted in any pecuniary or other damage to us, (3) whether there were any internal control weaknesses related to the investments in repurchase notes within ChipMOS Bermuda and its subsidiaries and (4) whether ChipMOS Bermuda is required by applicable laws or the Nasdaq Global Select Market listing requirements to take any action in connection with the indictment. The special committee did not attempt to independently determine whether Mr. Cheng had engaged in any wrongdoing in connection with the investments in repurchase notes, irrespective of whether such wrongdoing would lead to a conviction on the charges under the indictment.

On June 28, 2006, the special committee issued its report, including its findings and recommendations. Based upon the results of its investigation, it found that (1) Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng has declared himself not guilty of the charges described in the indictment, (2) Baker & McKenzie, after reviewing the indictment and the prosecutor's exhibits, has found that the evidence produced by the prosecutor seems to be inadequate and that there is a low probability of the charges in the indictment being founded, (3) the financial advisor to the special committee has found that we suffered no loss (not taking into account exchange rate factors) and that all monies (capital and interest) were remitted back to our subsidiaries involved, (4) we have suffered no identifiable harm to our reputation or business and (5) Mr. Cheng has not been impaired by the indictment to perform as our chairman and chief executive officer. The special committee recommended that our board maintain Mr. Cheng as our chairman and chief executive officer with full responsibilities and our board unanimously (with Mr. Cheng having recused himself) resolved to accept and adopt the special committee's recommendation with regard to Mr. Cheng. Our board of directors also resolved to continue the role of the special committee for the duration of the ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Cheng to actively monitor any developments of the criminal investigation and take or recommend any appropriate action in light of such developments.

Table of Contents

On October 1, 2007, the Taipei District Court found Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng not guilty, and on October 22, 2007, the prosecutor appealed the Taipei District Court decision at the Taiwan High Court. The Taiwan High Court held three pre-trial hearings in 2008 and may in its discretion request additional pre-trial hearings. No trial date has been set.

As a result of prosecutor's appeal, Mr. Cheng may still be convicted of one or more charges in the indictment. In addition, new evidence that leads to additional criminal charges and/or an adverse judgment against Mr. Cheng may be produced during the ongoing criminal investigation, and the special committee may make recommendations to our board in respect of Mr. Cheng's positions with us or our subsidiaries. If Mr. Cheng is convicted, or in light of any new developments, the special committee recommends or our board of directors otherwise decides that it is in our best interests that Mr. Cheng no longer serves in all or some of his current capacities with us or our subsidiaries, or if Mr. Cheng resigns as a result of a final adverse judgment rendered against him by the court, or otherwise, the public perception of us may be seriously harmed and we would lose some or all of the services of Mr. Cheng. Mr. Cheng is very important to our current on-going business operations and our relationships with our customers and financing sources, and our loss of his services could materially and adversely affect our business, reputation and prospects and therefore cause our stock price to decline. In addition, if Mr. Cheng is convicted and sentenced to imprisonment, the ROC Financial Supervisory Commission may subject ChipMOS Taiwan or ThaiLin to certain restrictions on financing activities if Mr. Cheng continues to serve as the chairman or president of ChipMOS Taiwan or ThaiLin.

We depend on key customers for a substantial portion of our net revenue and a loss of, or deterioration of the business from, or delayed payment by, any one of these customers could result in decreased net revenue and materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We depend on a small group of customers for a substantial portion of our business. In 2007, our five largest customers accounted for 71% of our net revenue. Our two largest customers, ProMOS and Spansion LLC, or Spansion, accounted for 29% and 16%, respectively, of our net revenue in 2007. ProMOS is an affiliate of Mosel, which, as of March 31, 2008, indirectly owned approximately 13.4% of our outstanding common shares.

As part of our strategy, we have been focusing on increasing sales to key customers through long-term agreements, with less focus on our business with smaller customers and customers who do not place orders on a regular basis. As a result, we expect that we will increasingly depend on a relatively limited number of customers for a significant portion of our net revenue. Any adverse development in our key customers' operations, competitive position or customer base could materially reduce our net revenue and adversely affect our business and profitability. Since new customers usually require us to pass a lengthy and rigorous qualification process, if we lose any of our key customers, we may not be able to replace them in a timely manner. Also, semiconductor companies generally rely on service providers with whom they have established relationships to meet their testing and assembly needs for existing and future applications. If any of our key customers reduces, delays or cancels its orders, and if we are unable to attract new key customers or use our excess capacity to service our remaining customers, our net revenue could be reduced and our business and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

We submit invoices at the time of shipment or delivery and generally require customers to pay within 60 days after the last day of the month during which the invoice was sent, except that we require ProMOS, our largest customer, to pay within 75 days. In addition, we extended the invoice settlement cycle for Spansion, our second largest customer, from 60 days to 90 days at their request, commencing in March 2008. Longer payment terms could adversely affect our account receivable turnovers, allowance for doubtful receivables and financial condition. Furthermore, in January 2008, at the request of ProMOS, we agreed to permit ProMOS to defer payment of aggregate service fees of NT\$450 million (US\$14 million) to February 15, 2009. These service fees were accounts payable to us as of December 31, 2007. The deferred service fees, which were paid in full by ProMOS in March and April 2008, bore interest at a rate of 4.69% per annum, and were recorded as long-term accounts receivables. See Note 18 to our audited consolidated financial statements. We cannot assure you that similar requests by our key customers due to their financial condition or other reasons will not occur in the future, and if we agree to such payment deferral that our financial condition will not be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Because of our high fixed costs, if we are unable to achieve relatively high capacity utilization rates, our earnings and profitability may be adversely affected.

Our operations are characterized by a high proportion of fixed costs. For memory and mixed-signal semiconductor testing services, our fixed costs represented 69%, 70% and 75% of our total cost of revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. For memory and mixed-signal semiconductor assembly services, our fixed costs represented 25%, 24% and 24% of our total cost of revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. For LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services, our fixed costs represented 50%, 50% and 54% of our total cost of revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. Our profitability depends in part not only on absolute pricing levels for our services, but also on the utilization rates for our testing and assembly equipment, commonly referred to as “capacity utilization rates”. Increases or decreases in our capacity utilization rates can significantly affect our gross margins as unit costs generally decrease as the fixed costs are allocated over a larger number of units. In the past, our capacity utilization rates have fluctuated significantly as a result of the fluctuations in the market demand for semiconductors. If we fail to increase or maintain our capacity utilization rates, our earnings and profitability may be adversely affected. In addition, we have entered into various long-term assembly and testing services agreements with certain of our customers that may require us to incur significant capital expenditures. If we are unable to achieve high capacity utilization rates for the equipment purchased pursuant to these agreements, our gross margins may be materially and adversely affected.

We are required to make significant capital expenditures in connection with our long-term customer agreements, and our business and results of operations would be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain sufficient funding or if our customers do not fulfill their purchase commitments.

As part of our strategy, we have from time to time entered into long-term agreements with certain of our customers, and we intend to continue to do so in the future. Please see “Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Strategy—Focus on Increasing Sales through Long-Term Agreements with Key Customers.” These long-term agreements may require us to acquire new equipment or construct new facilities in Taiwan and as well as outside Taiwan. In order to meet the requirements of our customers under our long-term agreements, we may have to incur significant capital expenditures and ensure we have sufficient capacity. For example, we entered into an assembly and testing services agreement with Spansion in November 2005 pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan agreed to install equipment and reserve capacity for assembly and testing services for Spansion, and Spansion agreed to place purchase orders and compensate us for failure to sufficiently utilize equipment installed and qualified in accordance with the agreement. We incurred approximately US\$7 million in 2007 in capital expenditures in connection with requirements under our current long-term customer agreements. Although we believe we have sufficient funding to meet our capital expenditures requirements in connection with our long-term customer agreements through the end of June 2009, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain sufficient funding thereafter. If we are unable to obtain sufficient funding, we may not be able to meet our obligations under our long-term customer agreements, and our customer relationships, business reputation and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

A significant amount of capital expenditures related to long-term agreements may have to be incurred up front prior to generating any significant revenue under these agreements. As a result, our gross margins may be adversely affected whenever we enter into a new long-term agreement or implement a new statement of work under an existing agreement. Also, if the customers under our long-term agreements do not meet their contractual commitments or otherwise fail to make sufficient purchases for us to maintain a high capacity utilization rate, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

The testing and assembly process is complex and our production yields and customer relationships may suffer as a result of defects or malfunctions in our testing and assembly equipment and the introduction of new packages.

Semiconductor testing and assembly are complex processes that require significant technological and process expertise. Semiconductor testing involves sophisticated testing equipment and computer software. We develop computer software to test our customers’ semiconductors. We also develop conversion software programs that enable us to test semiconductors on different types of testers. Similar to most software programs, these software programs are complex and may contain programming errors or “bugs”. In addition, the testing process is subject to human error by our employees who operate our testing equipment and related software. Any significant defect in our testing or conversion software, malfunction in our testing equipment or human error could reduce our production yields and damage our customer relationships.

Table of Contents

The assembly process involves a number of steps, each of which must be completed with precision. Defective packages primarily result from:

- contaminants in the manufacturing environment;
- human error;
- equipment malfunction;
- defective raw materials; or
- defective plating services.

These and other factors have, from time to time, contributed to lower production yields. They may do so in the future, particularly as we expand our capacity or change our processing steps. In addition, to be competitive, we must continue to expand our offering of packages. Our production yields on new packages typically are significantly lower than our production yields on our more established packages. Our failure to maintain high standards or acceptable production yields, if significant and prolonged, could result in a loss of customers, increased costs of production, delays, substantial amounts of returned goods and related claims by customers. Further, to the extent our customers have set target production yields, we may be required to compensate our customers in a pre-agreed manner. Any of these problems could materially adversely affect our business reputation and result in reduced net revenue and profitability.

Because of the highly cyclical nature of our industry, our capital requirements are difficult to plan. If we cannot obtain additional capital when we need it, we may not be able to maintain or increase our current growth rate and our profits will suffer.

Our capital requirements are difficult to plan as our industry is highly cyclical and rapidly changing. To remain competitive, we will need capital to fund the expansion of our facilities as well as to fund our equipment purchases and research and development activities. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents, cash flow from operations and available credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure requirements under our existing arrangements through the end of June 2009, except for our commitments to invest in ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of our controlled consolidated subsidiary, MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, or Modern Mind, and our potential obligation to repurchase the 2006 notes on September 29, 2008. See “— Our significant amount of indebtedness and interest expense will limit our cash flow and could adversely affect our operations,” “— If Modern Mind fails to invest an additional US\$127.5 million into ChipMOS Shanghai by December 7, 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai’s business license may become automatically void and ChipMOS Shanghai may have to be liquidated, which could hurt our growth prospects and potential future profitability” and “— We are required to make significant capital expenditures in connection with our long-term customer agreements, and our business and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected if we are unable to obtain sufficient funding or if our customers do not fulfill their purchase commitments.” In addition, future capacity expansions or market or other developments may require additional funding. Our ability to obtain external financing in the future depends on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. They include:

- our future financial condition, results of operations and cash flows;
- general market conditions for financing activities by semiconductor testing and assembly companies; and
- economic, political and other conditions in Taiwan and elsewhere.

If we are unable to obtain funding in a timely manner or on acceptable terms, our growth prospects and potential future profitability will suffer.

Table of Contents

If Modern Mind fails to invest an additional US\$127.5 million into ChipMOS Shanghai by December 7, 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai's business license may become automatically void and ChipMOS Shanghai may have to be liquidated, which could hurt our growth prospects and potential future profitability.

Under applicable regulations of the People's Republic of China, or PRC, and the terms of the articles of association of ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, the business license of ChipMOS Shanghai may automatically become void and ChipMOS Shanghai may have to be liquidated if Modern Mind fails to invest an additional US\$127.5 million by December 7, 2008, unless an additional extension is obtained from the relevant PRC regulatory authorities. We may not have sufficient financial resources to meet ChipMOS Shanghai's investment commitments without obtaining additional financing. Even if we have the financial resources available, we may decide not to fund the investment if it would cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to violate applicable ROC laws and regulations. See "— Risks Relating to Countries in Which We Conduct Operations—The investment in Mainland China by our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, through ChipMOS Shanghai, and the related contractual arrangements may result in Mosel or Siliconware Precision violating ROC laws governing investments in Mainland China by ROC companies or persons. Any sanctions on Mosel or Siliconware Precision as a result of any violation of ROC laws may cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to decrease its ownership in us significantly or cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to take other actions that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders."

We understand that the relevant PRC regulatory authority is not legally obligated to, but in practice may, grant Modern Mind a grace period if it submits in advance an application for extending the deadline for making the remaining investments in ChipMOS Shanghai. In November 2007, Modern Mind was granted an extension of the investment deadline from December 6, 2007 to December 7, 2008 by the relevant PRC regulatory authority. In May 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai received a written consent-in-principle from the relevant PRC regulatory authority to decrease the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai from US\$250 million to US\$130 million in response to an application by us in March 2008. If we obtain the final approval for the decrease in the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai, Modern Mind's obligation for contribution of the unpaid registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai would be reduced from US\$127.5 million to US\$7.5 million. However, such final approval is subject to the relevant PRC regulatory authority's further review of ChipMOS Shanghai's financial condition. In making the final decision, the relevant PRC regulatory authority will also consider any objection received during a 45-day public comment period beginning May 23, 2008. Therefore, there can be no assurance that ChipMOS Shanghai will obtain such approval. If ChipMOS Shanghai is unable to obtain such approval and we fail to secure the funding for such capital requirement in a timely manner or on acceptable terms or if we are unwilling to provide funding to ChipMOS Shanghai through Modern Mind, ChipMOS Shanghai may lose its business license and may have to be liquidated and our growth prospects and potential future profitability may suffer.

Disputes over intellectual property rights could be costly, deprive us of technologies necessary for us to stay competitive, render us unable to provide some of our services and reduce our opportunities to generate revenue.

Our ability to compete successfully and achieve future growth will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our proprietary technologies and to secure, on commercially acceptable terms, critical technologies that we do not own. We cannot assure you that we will be able to independently develop, or secure from any third party, the technologies required for our testing and assembly services. Our failure to successfully obtain these technologies may seriously harm our competitive position and render us unable to provide some of our services.

Our ability to compete successfully also depends on our ability to operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others. The semiconductor testing and assembly industry is characterized by frequent litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. We may incur legal liabilities if we infringe upon the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of others. The situation is exacerbated by our inability to ascertain what patent applications have been filed in the United States or elsewhere until

Table of Contents

they are granted. If any third party succeeds in its intellectual property infringement claims against us or our customers, we could be required to:

- discontinue using the disputed process technologies, which would prevent us from offering some of our testing and assembly services;
- pay substantial monetary damages;
- develop non-infringing technologies, which may not be feasible; or
- acquire licenses to the infringed technologies, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

Any one of these developments could impose substantial financial and administrative burdens on us and hinder our business. We are, from time to time, involved in litigation in respect of intellectual property rights. Any litigation, whether as plaintiff or defendant, is costly and diverts our resources. If we fail to obtain necessary licenses on commercially reasonable terms or if litigation, regardless of the outcome, relating to patent infringement or other intellectual property matters occurs, our costs could be substantially increased to impact our margins. Any such litigation could also prevent us from testing and assembling particular products or using particular technologies, which could reduce our opportunities to generate revenue. For more information on litigation in respect of intellectual property rights, see “Item 8. Financial Information—Legal Proceedings.”

If we are unable to obtain raw materials and other necessary inputs from our suppliers in a timely and cost-effective manner, our production schedules would be delayed and we may lose customers and growth opportunities and become less profitable.

Our operations require us to obtain sufficient quantities of raw materials at acceptable prices in a timely and cost-effective manner. We source most of our raw materials, including critical materials like leadframes, organic substrates, epoxy, gold wire and molding compound for assembly, and tapes for TCP/COF, from a limited group of suppliers. We purchase all of our materials on a purchase order basis and have no long-term contracts with any of our suppliers. From time to time, suppliers have extended lead times, increased the price or limited the supply of required materials to us because of market shortages. Consequently, we may, from time to time, experience difficulty in obtaining sufficient quantities of raw materials on a timely basis. In addition, from time to time, we may reject materials that do not meet our specifications, resulting in declines in output or yield. Although we typically maintain at least two suppliers for each key raw material, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain sufficient quantities of raw materials and other supplies of an acceptable quality in the future. It usually takes from three to six months to switch from one supplier to another, depending on the complexity of the raw material. If we are unable to obtain raw materials and other necessary inputs in a timely and cost-effective manner, we may need to delay our production and delivery schedules, which may result in the loss of business and growth opportunities and could reduce our profitability.

If we are unable to obtain additional testing and assembly equipment or facilities in a timely manner and at a reasonable cost, we may be unable to fulfill our customers’ orders and may become less competitive and less profitable.

The semiconductor testing and assembly business is capital intensive and requires significant investment in expensive equipment manufactured by a limited number of suppliers. The market for semiconductor testing and assembly equipment is characterized, from time to time, by intense demand, limited supply and long delivery cycles. Our operations and expansion plans depend on our ability to obtain equipment from a limited number of suppliers in a timely and cost-effective manner. We have no binding supply agreements with any of our suppliers and we acquire our testing and assembly equipment on a purchase order basis, which exposes us to changing market conditions and other significant risks. Semiconductor testing and assembly also requires us to operate sizeable facilities. If we are unable to obtain equipment or facilities in a timely manner, we may be unable to fulfill our customers’ orders, which could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations as well as our growth prospects. In addition, we have committed to purchase wafer sorting testers and probers as requested by Spansion under our assembly and testing services agreement, and any shortage of wafer sorting testers and probers may affect our ability to perform our obligations under the agreement.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to manage the expansion of our operations and resources effectively, our growth prospects may be limited and our future profitability may be reduced.

We expect to continue to expand our operations and increase the number of our employees. Rapid expansion puts a strain on our managerial, technical, financial, operational and other resources. As a result of our expansion, we will need to implement additional operational and financial controls and hire and train additional personnel. We cannot assure you that we will be able to do so effectively in the future, and our failure to do so could jeopardize our expansion plans and seriously harm our operations.

Bermuda law may be less protective of shareholder rights than laws of the United States or other jurisdictions.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our memorandum of association, our bye-laws and laws governing corporations incorporated in Bermuda. Shareholder suits such as class actions (as these terms are understood with respect to corporations incorporated in the United States) are generally not available in Bermuda. Therefore, our shareholders may be less able under Bermuda law than they would be under the laws of the United States or other jurisdictions to protect their interests in connection with actions by our management, members of our board of directors or our controlling shareholder.

It may be difficult to bring and enforce suits against us in the United States.

We are incorporated in Bermuda and a majority of our directors and most of our officers are not residents of the United States. A substantial portion of our assets is located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for our shareholders to serve notice of a lawsuit on us or our directors and officers within the United States. Because most of our assets are located outside the United States, it may be difficult for our shareholders to enforce in the United States judgments of United States courts. Appleby, our Bermuda counsel, has advised us that there is some uncertainty as to the enforcement in Bermuda, in original actions or in actions for enforcement of judgments of United States courts, of liabilities predicated upon United States federal securities laws.

Investor confidence and the market price of our common shares may be adversely impacted if we or our independent public registered accounting firm is unable to conclude that our internal control over our financial reporting is effective as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

We are subject to the SEC's reporting obligations, and beginning in our Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006, we have been required by the SEC, as directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to include a report of management on our internal control over financial reporting in our Annual Report on Form 20-F that contains an assessment by management of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. In addition, beginning in this Annual Report on Form 20-F, our independent public registered accounting firm must audit the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. In October 2004, we engaged Ernst & Young to advise us on the internal control over financial reporting requirements under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Although our management concluded that our internal controls are effective in this Annual Report on Form 20-F, and our independent public registered accounting firm has rendered its opinion that we maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria set forth in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Treadway Commission (COSO), our management may not conclude that our internal controls are effective in the future. Moreover, even if our management concludes that our internal controls over our financial reporting are effective, our independent public registered accounting firm may disagree. If our independent public registered accounting firm is not satisfied with our internal controls over our financial reporting or the level at which our controls are documented, designed, operated or reviewed, or if the independent public registered accounting firm interprets the requirements, rules or regulations differently from us, it may decline to attest to our management's assessment or may issue an adverse opinion in the future. Any of these possible outcomes could result in an adverse reaction in the financial marketplace due to a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our consolidated financial statements, which ultimately could negatively impact the market prices of our common shares.

Table of Contents

Any environmental claims or failure to comply with any present or future environmental regulations, or any new environmental regulations, may require us to spend additional funds, may impose significant liability on us for present, past or future actions, and may dramatically increase the cost of providing our services to our customers.

We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to the use, storage, discharge and disposal of chemical by-products of, and water used in, our assembly and gold bumping processes. Although we have not suffered material environmental claims in the past, a failure or a claim that we have failed to comply with any present or future regulations could result in the assessment of damages or imposition of fines against us, suspension of production or a cessation of our operations or negative publicity. New regulations could require us to acquire costly equipment or to incur other significant expenses. Any failure on our part to control the use of, or adequately restrict the discharge of, hazardous substances could subject us to future liabilities that may materially reduce our earnings.

Fluctuations in exchange rates could result in foreign exchange losses.

Currently, most of our net revenue is denominated in NT dollars. Our cost of revenue and operating expenses, on the other hand, are incurred in several currencies, including NT dollars, Japanese yen, US dollars and Renminbi, or RMB. In addition, a substantial portion of our capital expenditures, primarily for the purchase of testing and assembly equipment, has been, and is expected to continue to be, denominated in Japanese yen with much of the remainder in US dollars. We also have debt denominated in NT dollars, Japanese yen, US dollars and RMB. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, the US dollars depreciated against the NT dollar by 6.4%. Fluctuations in exchange rates, primarily among the US dollar, the NT dollar and the Japanese yen, will affect our costs and operating margins in NT dollar terms. In addition, these fluctuations could result in exchange losses and increased costs in NT dollar terms. Despite selective hedging and other techniques implemented by us, fluctuations in exchange rates have affected, and may continue to affect, our financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be successful in our acquisitions, investments and joint ventures, and may therefore be unable to implement fully our business strategy.

As part of our growth strategy, we may make acquisitions and investments in companies and businesses or establish joint ventures. For example, on November 21, 2005, we merged Chantek into ChipMOS Taiwan, and on December 1, 2005, we merged ChipMOS Logic TECHNOLOGIES INC., or ChipMOS Logic, into ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp., or ThaiLin. In November 2004, we acquired certain testing and assembly equipment from First International Computer Testing and Assembly, or FICTA, as well as a 67.8% stake in First Semiconductor Technology Inc., which interest we transferred to First Semiconductor Technology Inc. in April 2005 for approximately US\$2 million. In September 2007, we acquired all outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Taiwan through a share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan. The success of our acquisitions, investments and joint ventures depends on a number of factors, including:

- our ability to identify suitable investment, acquisition or joint venture opportunities;
- our ability to reach an agreement for an acquisition, investment or joint venture opportunity on terms that are satisfactory to us or at all;
- the extent to which we are able to exercise control over the acquired or joint venture company;
- our ability to align the economic, business or other strategic objectives and goals of the acquired company with those of our company; and
- our ability to successfully integrate the acquired or joint venture company or business with our company.

If we are unsuccessful in our acquisitions, investments and joint ventures, we may not be able to implement fully our business strategy to maintain or grow our business.

Table of Contents

We depend on key personnel, and our revenue could decrease and our costs could increase if we lose their services.

We depend on the continued service of our executive officers and skilled engineering, technical and other personnel. We will also be required to hire a substantially greater number of skilled employees in connection with our expansion plans. In particular, we depend on a number of skilled employees in connection with our LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services, and the competition for such employees in Taiwan and Mainland China is intense. We may not be able to either retain our present personnel or attract additional qualified personnel as and when needed. Moreover, we do not carry key person insurance for any of our executive officers nor do we have employment contracts with any of our executive officers or employees, and, as a result, none of our executive officers or employees is bound by any non-competition agreement. If we lose any of our key personnel, it could be very difficult to find and integrate replacement personnel, which could affect our ability to provide our services, resulting in reduced net revenue and earnings. In addition, we may need to increase employee compensation levels in order to retain our existing officers and employees and to attract additional personnel. As of March 31, 2008, 13% of the workforce at our facilities is foreign workers employed by us under work permits that are subject to government regulations on renewal and other terms. Consequently, if the regulations in Taiwan relating to the employment of foreign workers were to become significantly more restrictive or if we are otherwise unable to attract or retain these workers at reasonable cost, we may be unable to maintain or increase our level of services and may suffer reduced net revenue and earnings.

Adverse publicity about our current or former directors, executive officers or other key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Adverse publicity about our current or former directors, executive officers or other key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business. Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our chairman and chief executive officer, was indicted by the Taipei District Prosecutor's Office in December 2005. See "— The ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, our former director, could have a material adverse effect on our business and cause our stock price to decline." Moreover, two of our former directors, Messrs. Hung-Chiu Hu and Jwo-Yi Miao, are currently on criminal trial in the Taipei District Court, and another former director, Mr. Robert Ma Kam Fook, is under criminal investigation by the Taipei Prosecutor's Office, in connection with alleged embezzlement during the 1990s at Pacific Electric Wire & Cable Co., Ltd., or Pacific Electric, a company incorporated in Taiwan and, until April 28, 2004, listed on the Taiwan Stock Exchange. Messrs. Hu and Miao have been indicted for offenses including breach of trust and violation of the Taiwan Commercial Accounting Law and the Taiwan Securities and Exchange Law. Mr. Ma is under investigation in connection with alleged money laundering activities related to the alleged offenses of Mr. Hu. Any adverse publicity from the investigation, trial or conviction of Messrs. Cheng, Hu, Miao or Ma could have a material adverse effect on our business and reputation or cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

Risk Relating to Our Relationship with Mosel

ChipMOS Taiwan entered into certain transactions that, if determined to have constituted impermissible financings or purchases of assets or equity of Mosel under ROC law, could result in the resignations of members of our management. As a result, our business operations could be disrupted and the market price of our common shares could decline.

ROC law limits the ability of a company incorporated in Taiwan to purchase any equity interest in companies, directly or indirectly, holding more than 50% of its issued and outstanding voting securities or registered capital or to provide loans or other financing to any company. ChipMOS Taiwan purchased NT\$242 million worth of Mosel shares in 2002. Lee and Li, our ROC special counsel, has advised us that these purchases do not violate relevant ROC law that prohibits a subsidiary from buying or taking collateral in shares of companies holding, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of its issued and outstanding voting securities or registered capital, because Mosel's indirect interest (calculated as the product of (i) Mosel's percentage interest in ChipMOS Bermuda and (ii) ChipMOS Bermuda's percentage interest in ChipMOS Taiwan) in ChipMOS Taiwan was less than 50% and ChipMOS Bermuda is incorporated outside of Taiwan. In 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan disposed of NT\$84 million of Mosel shares, and

Table of Contents

in August 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan further disposed of the remaining Mosel shares for approximately NT\$30 million. ChipMOS Taiwan no longer owns any Mosel shares. Lee and Li has advised that under relevant ROC law, there is no similar restriction or limitation on a subsidiary's disposal of its parent's equity shares, if the previous acquisitions of such shares complied with relevant ROC law. See Notes 4 and 18 to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F for details. However, we understand that there is no applicable judicial precedent and there is some doubt as to how a court would rule if presented with the situation.

If it were to be determined that any of the transactions described above constituted an impermissible financing or purchase of assets of Mosel by ChipMOS Taiwan or an impermissible purchase of Mosel's equity by ChipMOS Taiwan, then ChipMOS Taiwan's then chairman and any responsible officers would be jointly and severally liable to ChipMOS Taiwan for any losses suffered by ChipMOS Taiwan and may also be severally liable criminally for any breach of fiduciary duties that resulted in losses and damages suffered by ChipMOS Taiwan. Moreover, certain of these transactions may not have been in full compliance with ChipMOS Taiwan's then applicable internal procedures due to the failure to have received an appropriate valuation opinion prior to entering into such purchases. The failure to comply fully with ChipMOS Taiwan's then applicable internal procedures could constitute evidence of a failure by the then chairman of ChipMOS Taiwan and responsible officers to comply fully with their fiduciary duties, which could result in them being held criminally liable for any breach of fiduciary duties that resulted in losses and damages to ChipMOS Taiwan. If members of our current management were held to have breached their fiduciary duties or become criminally liable for the transactions described above, they may become obliged, whether under law or otherwise, to resign from their respective positions at ChipMOS Bermuda and our affiliates. Any loss of the services of these persons could disrupt our business, damage our reputation, and cause the market price of our common shares to decline.

Risks Relating to Countries in Which We Conduct Operations

The investment in Mainland China by our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, through ChipMOS Shanghai, and the related contractual arrangements may result in Mosel or Siliconware Precision violating ROC laws governing investments in Mainland China by ROC companies or persons. Any sanctions on Mosel or Siliconware Precision as a result of any violation of ROC laws may cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to decrease its ownership in us significantly or cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to take other actions that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders.

ROC laws and regulations generally prohibit investment by ROC entities in Mainland China in most aspects of the semiconductor testing and assembly industry. "Investment" is defined for this purpose to mean:

- establishing a new company or enterprise in Mainland China;
- increasing one's equity interest in an existing company or enterprise in Mainland China;
- acquiring shares of an existing company or enterprise in Mainland China (other than shares of publicly traded companies, acquisition of which is prohibited under current policy of the Investment Commission of the ROC Ministry of Economic Affairs, or the Investment Commission); or
- establishing or expanding a branch office in Mainland China.

We provide our services in Mainland China through ChipMOS Shanghai, a company incorporated under the laws of the PRC and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind. Modern Mind is a company incorporated under the laws of the British Virgin Islands and is wholly-owned by Jesper Limited, a company incorporated under the laws of the British Virgin Islands. While we do not own any equity interest in Modern Mind, we control Modern Mind through our ownership of a demand note issued by Modern Mind, convertible into common shares with a controlling equity interest in Modern Mind at a conversion rate of one common share of Modern Mind for every US\$1.00 if repayment is not made when due. Under accounting principles that are applicable to us, Modern Mind is our controlled consolidated subsidiary. In addition, we have obtained from Jesper Limited an irrevocable option to acquire the common shares of Modern Mind then owned by Jesper Limited. Payment under the demand notes is fully and unconditionally

Table of Contents

guaranteed by Jesper Limited and secured by a pledge agreement in respect of the entire equity interest in Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai. We have also entered into other contractual arrangements with regard to ChipMOS Shanghai. For more information, see “Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD.”

As the regulations described above are applicable only to entities organized within the ROC with respect to specified investments in Mainland China made by these entities, in the opinion of Lee and Li, our ROC special counsel, ChipMOS Bermuda’s indirect control over ChipMOS Shanghai through the ownership of demand notes issued by Modern Mind and the above contemplated contractual arrangements are in compliance with all existing ROC laws and regulations. There are, however, substantial uncertainties regarding the interpretation and application of ROC laws and regulations, including the laws and regulations governing the enforcement and performance of our contractual arrangements. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that ROC regulatory authorities will not take a view contrary to the opinion of our ROC special counsel.

In addition, under current applicable ROC regulations, if a company incorporated in the ROC has directly or indirectly invested in a company incorporated outside of the ROC and has controlling power over the management and operations of such non-ROC company, any investment by such non-ROC company in the PRC will constitute an investment by the controlling ROC company that is subject to ROC laws and regulations. As a result, for the purposes of these regulations, any investment (within the meaning of the ROC laws regulating investments in Mainland China) by ChipMOS Bermuda in ChipMOS Shanghai may be deemed to be an investment in Mainland China by Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision, if Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision is determined to have controlling power over our management and operations. While the regulations do not define what constitutes “controlling power over management and operations,” we understand from our ROC special counsel, Lee and Li, that, due to Mosel’s and/or Siliconware Precision’s equity interest in us and representatives on our board of directors, any conversion of the convertible notes or demand notes into shares of Modern Mind or other acquisition of shares of Modern Mind or ChipMOS Shanghai by ChipMOS Bermuda may be deemed an investment in Mainland China by Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision and require approval by the Investment Commission, and be subject to the prohibitions described in the first paragraph of this risk factor. As a result, so long as Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision is deemed to have controlling power over ChipMOS Bermuda’s management and operations, ChipMOS Bermuda may have to choose not to convert its convertible notes or demand notes into common shares of Modern Mind in order to avoid any violations by Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision under these regulations. As a result, any significant ownership of our common shares by Mosel and/or Siliconware Precision could materially and adversely restrict our ability and flexibility in structuring our investment in Mainland China and thereby affect our business prospects.

If Mosel or Siliconware Precision were determined to be in violation of the applicable ROC laws and regulations governing investments in Mainland China, Mosel or Siliconware Precision may be ordered by the Investment Commission to cease such investment activities in Mainland China within a specified period of time and may be subject to a fine of between NT\$50 thousand and NT\$25 million. Mosel or Siliconware Precision could comply with the order of the Investment Commission either by causing us to terminate our investment activities in Mainland China or by taking actions that will cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to cease having controlling power over our management and operations. If Mosel or Siliconware Precision does not comply with the order of the Investment Commission, the ROC government can impose on the chairman of Mosel or Siliconware Precision up to two years’ imprisonment, a fine of up to NT\$25 million, or both. We cannot provide any assurance that any actions taken by Mosel or Siliconware Precision to address any orders by the Investment Commission will be in the best interest of our other shareholders. See “— Risks Relating to Our Relationship with Mosel—Potential conflicts of interest with our major shareholder and its affiliates may cause us to turn down orders from other customers.” Any termination or disposal of ChipMOS Shanghai’s operations in Mainland China could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or prospects, as well as the market price of our common shares.

Table of Contents

ROC laws and regulations prohibit certain technology cooperation between ROC persons or entities with PRC persons or entities, and our current technology transfer arrangements between ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Shanghai may be found to be in violation of such prohibition, which may result in the termination of such technology transfer arrangements and therefore have a material adverse effect on the operations of ChipMOS Shanghai and our financial condition and results of operations.

ROC laws and regulations prohibit any transfer of semiconductor testing and assembly technologies to any person or entity located in Mainland China, except for transfers involving certain low-end semiconductor testing and assembly technologies, such as conventional wire bond assembly technology, if certain requirements are met. The ROC Ministry of Economic Affairs has the ultimate administrative authority in interpreting such laws and regulations. Under a technology transfer agreement, dated August 1, 2002, ChipMOS Bermuda licensed to ChipMOS Shanghai certain testing and assembly-related technologies that were then controlled by ChipMOS Bermuda, which included technologies that were licensed to ChipMOS Bermuda by ChipMOS Taiwan. ChipMOS Bermuda also provided ChipMOS Taiwan with technical support and consulting services under this agreement. On April 7, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda entered into an assignment agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan, pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan transferred all of the technologies it owned as of that date to ChipMOS Bermuda, including those previously licensed to ChipMOS Bermuda. On April 12, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda entered into an assignment agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan, pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan assigned and transferred fifty percent of the title to ownership of and interest in all of the technologies and intellectual property it owned as of that date to ChipMOS Bermuda. ChipMOS Bermuda will continue to license such technologies to ChipMOS Shanghai pursuant to the above mentioned technology transfer agreement dated August 1, 2002.

In the opinion of Lee and Li, our ROC special counsel, our technology transfer arrangements as described above are in compliance with all applicable ROC laws and regulations. However, substantial uncertainties regarding the interpretation and application of those laws and regulations exist. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that ROC regulatory authorities will not take a view contrary to the opinion of our ROC special counsel. If ChipMOS Taiwan were determined to be in violation of applicable ROC laws and regulations governing technology cooperation with PRC persons and entities, ChipMOS Taiwan may be ordered by the Investment Commission to terminate such activity within a specified period of time and may be subject to a fine of between NT\$50 thousand and NT\$25 million. In addition, if ChipMOS Taiwan does not comply with the order of the Investment Commission, the ROC government can impose on the chairman of ChipMOS Taiwan up to two years' imprisonment, a fine of up to NT\$25 million, or both. Any termination of our current technology transfer to ChipMOS Shanghai could materially adversely affect our Mainland China operations and our financial condition, results of operations or prospects, as well as the market price of our common shares.

Our current ownership structure and contractual arrangements with Jesper Limited, Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai may not be effective in providing operational control of our Mainland China operations.

We provide our services in Mainland China through ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind. While we do not own any equity interest in Modern Mind, we have a controlling interest in Modern Mind through our ownership of a demand note issued by Modern Mind. In 2004, we restructured our control of ChipMOS Shanghai and the way we provide our services in Mainland China through contractual arrangements with Jesper Limited, Modern Mind, and ChipMOS Shanghai. See “— The investment in Mainland China by our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, through ChipMOS Shanghai, and the related contractual arrangements may result in Mosel or Siliconware Precision violating ROC laws governing investments in Mainland China by ROC companies or persons. Any sanctions on Mosel or Siliconware Precision as a result of any violation of ROC laws may cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to decrease its ownership in us significantly or cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to take other actions that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders” for further details on these contractual arrangements. These contractual arrangements, however, may not be as effective in providing control over our Mainland China operations as would direct ownership in ChipMOS Shanghai.

Our ability to direct the operations we conduct through our subsidiaries and affiliated companies that we do not fully own may be limited by legal duties owed to other shareholders of such companies.

Certain of our operations are conducted through companies that we do not fully own. For example, certain current consolidated operations are conducted through ThaiLin, our 38.2% subsidiary as of March 31, 2008, and ChipMOS Shanghai, in which we exercise control without holding any direct or indirect equity interest. We also conduct other activities through our affiliated entities.

Table of Contents

In accordance with the various laws of the relevant jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries and affiliates are organized, each of our subsidiaries and affiliates and their respective directors owe various duties to their respective shareholders. As a result, the actions we wish our subsidiaries or affiliates to take could be in conflict with their or their directors' legal duties owed to their other shareholders. When those conflicts arise, our ability to cause our subsidiaries or affiliates to take the action that we desire may be limited.

Any future outbreak of avian influenza, severe acute respiratory syndrome or other new or unusual diseases may materially affect our operations and business.

An outbreak of a contagious disease such as avian influenza or severe acute respiratory syndrome, for which there is inadequate treatment or no known cure or vaccine, may potentially result in a quarantine of infected employees and related persons, and adversely affect our operations at one or more of our facilities or the operations of our customers or suppliers. We cannot predict at this time the impact any future outbreak could have on our business and results of operations.

We face substantial political risk associated with doing business in Taiwan, particularly due to recent domestic political events and the strained relations between the Republic of China and the People's Republic of China, that could negatively affect our business and the market price of our common shares.

Our principal executive offices and most of our testing and assembly facilities are located in Taiwan. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations and the market price of our common shares may be affected by changes in ROC governmental policies, as well as social instability and diplomatic and social developments in or affecting Taiwan which are outside our control. For example, the ROC has a unique international political status. The PRC government regards Taiwan as a renegade province and does not recognize the legitimacy of the ROC. Although significant economic and cultural relations have been established during recent years between the ROC and the PRC, relations have often been strained. In March 2005, the PRC government enacted an "Anti-Secession Law" codifying its policy of retaining the right to use military force to gain control over Taiwan, particularly under what it considers as highly provocative circumstances, such as a declaration of independence by Taiwan or the refusal by the ROC to accept the PRC's stated "one China" policy. Past developments in relations between the ROC and the PRC have on occasion depressed the market prices of the securities of Taiwanese or Taiwan-related companies, including our own. Relations between the ROC and the PRC and other factors affecting military, political or economic conditions in Taiwan could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the market price and the liquidity of our common shares.

We are vulnerable to natural disasters and other events disruptive to our business and operations.

We currently provide most of our testing services through our facilities in the Hsinchu Industrial Park and the Hsinchu Science Park in Taiwan and the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone, and all of our assembly services through our facilities in the Southern Taiwan Science Park in Taiwan and the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone. Significant damage or other impediments to these facilities as a result of natural disasters, industrial strikes or industrial accidents could significantly increase our operating costs.

Taiwan is particularly susceptible to earthquakes and typhoons. For example, in late 1999, Taiwan suffered severe earthquakes that caused significant property damage and loss of life, particularly in the central part of Taiwan. These earthquakes damaged production facilities and adversely affected the operations of many companies involved in the semiconductor and other industries. We experienced NT\$1 million in damages to our machinery and equipment, NT\$6 million in damages to our facilities, NT\$1 million in damages to our inventory and five days of delay in our production schedule as a result of these earthquakes.

In January and February 2008, certain parts of Mainland China, particularly in the southern, central and eastern regions, experienced reportedly the most severe winter weather in the country in recent decades, which resulted in significant and extensive damages to factories, power lines, homes, automobiles, crops and other properties, blackouts, transportation and communications disruptions and other losses in the affected areas. In addition, in May 2008, certain semiconductor companies with facilities in eastern Mainland China have experienced production disruption reportedly due to power stoppages caused by the failure of certain electricity

Table of Contents

supply system in the area where the plant located. We cannot assure you that our facilities in the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone will not be adversely affected by future snowstorms, power shortages, earthquake or other similar events.

In addition, the production facilities of many of our suppliers and customers and providers of complementary semiconductor manufacturing services, including foundries, are located in Taiwan and Mainland China. If our customers are affected, it could result in a decline in the demand for our testing and assembly services. If our suppliers and providers of complementary semiconductor manufacturing services are affected, our production schedule could be interrupted or delayed. As a result, a major earthquake, snowstorm, other natural disaster or other disruptive event in Taiwan or Mainland China could severely disrupt the normal operation of business and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Relating to Our Corporate Structure

Our ability to receive dividends and other payments from our subsidiaries may be restricted by commercial, statutory and legal restrictions, and thereby materially adversely affect our ability to grow, fund investments, make acquisitions, pay dividends, repay or repurchase outstanding indebtedness and otherwise fund and conduct our business.

We are a holding company, and our most significant asset is our ownership interest in ChipMOS Taiwan. Although we control ChipMOS Shanghai through Modern Mind, we do not hold any equity interest in these entities due to ROC regulatory restrictions on investments in Mainland China. As long as we do not hold any equity interest in these entities, we are not entitled to any dividends distributed by these entities and our contractual arrangements may not effectively prevent these entities from declaring any dividends to their shareholders. Dividends we receive from our subsidiaries, if any, will be subject to taxation.

The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends, repay intercompany loans from us or make other distributions to us is restricted by, among other things, the availability of funds and the terms of various credit arrangements entered into by our subsidiaries, as well as statutory and other legal restrictions. In addition, although there are currently no foreign exchange control regulations which restrict the ability of our subsidiaries located in Taiwan to distribute dividends to us, we cannot assure you that the relevant regulations will not be changed and that the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute dividends to us will not be restricted in the future. A Taiwan company is generally not permitted to distribute dividends or to make any other distributions to shareholders for any year in which it did not have either earnings or retained earnings (excluding reserves). In addition, before distributing a dividend to shareholders following the end of a fiscal year, the company must recover any past losses, pay all outstanding taxes and set aside 10% of its annual net income (less prior years' losses and outstanding taxes) as a legal reserve until the accumulated legal reserve equals its paid-in capital, and may set aside a special reserve.

In addition, PRC law requires that our PRC-incorporated subsidiary only distributes dividends out of its net income, if any, as determined in accordance with PRC accounting standards and regulations. Under PRC law, it is also required to set aside at least 10% of its after-tax net income each year into its reserve fund until the accumulated legal reserve amounts to 50% of its registered capital. PRC-incorporated companies are further required to maintain a bonus and welfare fund at percentages determined at their sole discretion. The reserve fund and the bonus and welfare fund are not distributable as dividends. Moreover, a ROC-incorporated company is only able to declare dividends at its annual general meeting of shareholders, which cannot occur until after completion of its annual financial statements. Any limitation on dividend payments by our subsidiaries could materially adversely affect our ability to grow, fund investments, make acquisitions, pay dividends, repay or repurchase outstanding indebtedness, and otherwise fund and conduct our business.

Table of Contents

Siliconware Precision and Mosel, our two largest shareholders, have significant influence over our company and may cause us to take actions that may not be, or refrain from taking actions that may be, in our best interest or the best interest of our other shareholders.

Siliconware Precision and Mosel directly and indirectly owned approximately 14.5% and 13.4% of our common shares as of March 31, 2008, respectively. As the two largest shareholders that own more than 10% of our common shares respectively, Siliconware Precision and Mosel have significant influence over all matters submitted to our shareholders for approval and other corporate actions, such as:

- election of directors;
- timing and manner of dividend distributions;
- approval of contracts between us and Siliconware Precision or Mosel or their respective affiliates, which could involve conflicts of interest; and
- open market purchase programs or other purchases of our common shares.

Siliconware Precision and Mosel's substantial interests in our company could also:

- delay, defer or prevent a change in who controls us;
- discourage bids for our shares at a premium over the market price; and
- adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

In addition, one of our directors, Mr. Hsing-Ti Tuan, also acts as a director of ProMOS, a subsidiary of Mosel. As a result, conflicts of interest between Mr. Tuan's duty to us and ProMOS and/or Mosel may arise. For an example of such a conflict of interest, see "— Risks Relating to Countries in Which We Conduct Operations—The investment in Mainland China by our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, through ChipMOS Shanghai, and the related contractual arrangements may result in Mosel or Siliconware Precision violating ROC laws governing investments in Mainland China by ROC companies or persons. Any sanctions on Mosel or Siliconware Precision as a result of any violation of ROC laws may cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to decrease its ownership in us significantly or cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to take other actions that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders." We cannot give any assurances that when conflicts of interest arise, Mr. Tuan will act in our interests, or that conflicts of interest will be resolved in our favor.

Moreover, because Siliconware Precision and Mosel have potential power to direct or influence our corporate actions, we may be required to engage in transactions that may not be agreeable to our other shareholders or that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders.

Our ability to make further investments in ChipMOS Taiwan may be dependent on regulatory approvals. If ChipMOS Taiwan is unable to receive the equity financing it requires, its ability to grow and fund its operations may be materially adversely affected.

As ChipMOS Taiwan is not a listed company, it generally depends on us to meet its equity financing requirements. Any capital contribution by us to ChipMOS Taiwan may require the approval of the relevant ROC authorities. For example, any capital contribution by us to ChipMOS Taiwan will require the approval of the authorities of the Science Park Administration. We may not be able to obtain any such approval in the future in a timely manner, or at all. If ChipMOS Taiwan is unable to receive the equity financing it requires, its ability to grow and fund its operations may be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Common Shares

Volatility in the price of our common shares may result in shareholder litigation that could in turn result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

The financial markets in the United States and other countries have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations, and market prices of technology companies have been and continue to be extremely volatile. Volatility in the price of our common shares may be caused by factors outside of our control and may be unrelated or disproportionate to our results of operations. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a public company's securities, shareholders have frequently instituted securities class action litigation against that company. Litigation of this kind could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

Certain provisions in our constitutive documents and in our severance agreements with our executive officers make the acquisition of us by another company more difficult and costly and therefore may delay, defer or prevent a change of control.

Our bye-laws provide that our board of directors is divided into three classes of directors, each class to be re-elected only once every three years. As a result, shareholders would not generally be able to replace a majority of the directors until after two annual general meetings. In addition, any extraordinary corporate transaction such as a merger, amalgamation or consolidation, or a sale or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets, cannot be done without the approval of shareholders representing 70% of the total voting rights of all shareholders having the right to vote at such general meeting called to consider such extraordinary transaction. These provisions in our constitutive documents may increase the difficulty faced by a party which seeks to acquire control of our board or to approve an extraordinary transaction.

In 2007, we entered into change in control severance agreements with certain executive officers pursuant to which we agreed to pay certain severance payments if a change in control event (as defined in the change in control severance agreements) occurs and the employment of such executive officer is terminated by our company other than for cause or by such executive officer for good reasons within two years following the occurrence of the change in control event. These change in control agreements may increase the cost of a party seeking to effect a change in control of our company.

Future sales, pledge or issuance of common shares by us or our current shareholders could depress our share price and you may suffer dilution.

Sales of substantial amounts of shares in the public market, the perception that future sales may occur, or the pledge of a substantial portion of our common shares could depress the prevailing market price of our shares. As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately 84 million shares outstanding, including approximately 48 million shares of which are freely tradable within the United States without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act. Siliconware Precision, Mosel, Trivium Capital Management LLC, or Trivium Capital, Powertech Technology and ProMOS, our five largest shareholders, owned 12,174,998, 11,194,644, 9,618,815, 4,060,633 and 4,060,633 common shares as of March 31, 2008, respectively, representing in the aggregate 49.0% of our outstanding common shares as of March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2008, we had US\$71 million aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes outstanding (including US\$7 million aggregate principal amount held by ThaiLin, our 38.2% owned consolidated subsidiary) and US\$99 million aggregate principal amount of the 2006 notes outstanding. The 2004 notes and the 2006 notes are convertible into our common shares at the conversion price of US\$6.28 per share and US\$6.85 per share, respectively, in each case subject to certain adjustments.

Mosel in the past decided to sell a significant portion of our common shares in order to raise funds. On December 9, 2005, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form F-3, pursuant to which we may offer up to approximately US\$194 million of additional common shares or debt securities which may be convertible into common shares. We have included 8,000,000 common shares held by Mosel in our shelf registration statement filed on December 9, 2005. In June 2006, Mosel sold 6,956,522 common shares through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Giant Haven, under our shelf registration statement and, as a result, the number of remaining common shares held by Mosel included in our shelf registration statement is 1,043,478. In addition, in March 2007, we issued 12,174,998 common shares pursuant to a share purchase and subscription agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, and we entered into a registration rights agreement in March 2007 with Siliconware Precision, pursuant to which we granted to Siliconware Precision certain rights to require us to register these common shares for sale under the Securities Act. In July 2007, Mosel sold 8,121,266 common shares through Giant Haven to ProMOS and Powertech Technology, and we then granted Giant Haven, ProMOS and Powertech Technology certain rights to require us to register these common shares for sale under the Securities Act. Furthermore, Siliconware Precision, Mosel, ProMOS and Powertech Technology may be able to sell, in any three-month period, such number of

Table of Contents

common shares up to the greater of (i) one percent of our outstanding common shares or (ii) the average weekly trading volume of our common shares as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market during the four calendar weeks prior to any such sales pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

On September 14, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda issued 604,124 common shares pursuant to a share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan, under which ChipMOS Bermuda exchanged one common share for every 8.4 ChipMOS Taiwan shares then outstanding. Following the completion of the share exchange transaction, ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary. We plan to issue, from time to time, additional shares in connection with employee compensation and to finance possible future capital expenditures, investments or acquisitions. See “Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Share Option Plan and Share Appreciation Rights Plan” for a discussion of the Share Option Plan that we have adopted for the benefit of all of our directors, officers, employees and consultants. The issuance of additional shares may have a dilutive effect on other shareholders and may cause the price of our common shares to decrease.

In addition, the indictment relating to Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu alleges that embezzled funds were used in investments by PacMOS, which, as of March 31, 2008, owned 3.8% of our outstanding common shares. As a result, PacMOS may be ordered by relevant authorities to dispose of its investments made with any embezzled funds, which may result in a sale of our shares by PacMOS. A sale of a significant number of our shares by PacMOS or our other current shareholders could depress our share price.

Conversion of our outstanding convertible notes will dilute the ownership interest of existing shareholders and future issuances of our securities could dilute your ownership.

In November 2004, we issued US\$85 million of the 2004 notes, which bear interest at an annual rate of 1.75%. In December 2004, we repurchased and cancelled US\$699,000 of the 2004 notes. As of March 31, 2008, the 2004 notes are convertible into our common shares at a conversion price of US\$6.28, which was adjusted from the initial conversion price of US\$7.85 pursuant to the terms of the convertible notes. In September 2006, we issued US\$100 million of the 2006 notes, which bear interest at an annual rate of 3.375% and have an initial conversion price of US\$6.85 per share, subject to certain adjustments. The conversion of some or all of these convertible notes will dilute the ownership interest of existing shareholders. Any sales in the public market of the common shares issuable upon such conversion could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common shares. In addition, the existence of these convertible notes may encourage short selling by market participants because the conversion of the notes could depress the price of our common shares. In October 2006, we made an induced conversion offer to the holders of our 2004 notes pursuant to which we offered to each holder, as incentive for such holder to convert its 2004 notes, a cash payment equal to 7% of the principal amount of the 2004 notes converted by such holder. Pursuant to the induced conversion offer, noteholders converted US\$7,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes into 1,114,649 common shares and received from us aggregate cash consideration of approximately US\$490,000. On November 3, 2006, we repurchased US\$6,300,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes pursuant to the noteholders’ put option under the indenture. In November 2007, ThaiLin, our 38.2% owned consolidated subsidiary, purchased US\$7 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 note. In addition, on November 29, 2007, we repurchased US\$1,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2006 notes. Under the indenture, each noteholder of the 2006 notes will have the right to require us to repurchase its notes on September 29, 2008 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. As of March 31, 2008, no other conversion of these convertible notes had taken place.

Item 4. Information on the Company

Overview

We believe that we are one of the leading independent providers of semiconductor testing and assembly services. Specifically, we believe that we are one of the leading independent providers of testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors globally and for advanced memory products in Taiwan. The depth of our engineering expertise and the breadth of our testing and assembly technologies enable us to provide our customers with advanced and comprehensive testing and assembly services. In addition, our geographic presence in Taiwan and Mainland China is attractive to customers wishing to take advantage of the logistical and cost efficiencies stemming from our close proximity to foundries and producers of consumer electronic products in Taiwan and Mainland China. Our production facilities are located in Hsinchu and Tainan, Taiwan and Shanghai, Mainland China.

Table of Contents

Industry background

Semiconductor Industry Trends

Growth in the semiconductor industry is largely driven by end-user demand for consumer electronics, communications equipment and computers, for which semiconductors are critical components. Highly cyclical, the worldwide semiconductor industry has experienced peaks and troughs over the last decade, with a severe downturn at the end of 2000 that was followed by a modest recovery in late 2002. Since then, the industry expanded until 2007, when the industry commenced another downturn that is still continuing.

Selected Key Semiconductor Markets

Various sectors of the semiconductor industry are expected to benefit from the anticipated growth in demand for new and improved electronic products and applications. These sectors include the memory semiconductor market, the LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor market and the mixed-signal semiconductor market.

Memory Semiconductor Market

The memory market is expected to grow in the medium to long term as memory content in consumer electronics and PC applications increases due to increasing operating system requirements, increasing use of graphics in gaming and other applications, continued growth of broadband content and a transition to 64-bit PC architecture. The memory market is dominated by two segments—DRAM and flash memory. Growth in the DRAM market is expected to be driven by the increases in average memory size per PC and PC unit shipment resulting from the launch of Microsoft's Vista operating system and the introduction of new DRAM technology. The flash memory market is expected to continue to experience in the medium to long term strong growth due to increasing memory requirements for cellular handsets, digital cameras and digital audio and video devices.

LCD and Other Flat-Panel Display Driver Semiconductor Market

Flat-panel displays are used in applications such as PC monitors, notebook computers, television sets, cellular handsets and digital cameras. Thin-film-transistor LCDs, or TFT-LCDs, account for about three-fourths of the flat-panel display market. The end-user demand for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor experienced a significant downturn in 2007. However, we currently expect the market for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors to recover in the second half of 2008.

Mixed-Signal Semiconductor Market

The communications market is one of the main drivers of growth in the semiconductor industry. Mixed-signal semiconductors, which are chips with analog functionality covering more than half of the chip area, are largely used in the communications market. The increasing use of digital technology in communications equipment requires chips with both digital and analog functionality for applications such as modems, network routers, switches, cable set-top boxes and cellular handsets. As the size and cost of cellular handsets and other communications-related devices have decreased, components have increased in complexity. Mixed-signal semiconductors, such as LCD controllers and DVD controllers, are also used in consumer electronic products.

Overview of the Semiconductor Manufacturing Process

The manufacturing of semiconductors is a complex process that requires increasingly sophisticated engineering and manufacturing expertise. The manufacturing process may be broadly divided into the following stages:




Table of Contents

<u>Process</u>	<u>Description</u>
Circuit Design	The design of a semiconductor is developed by laying out circuit patterns and interconnections.
Wafer Fabrication	Wafer fabrication begins with the generation of a photomask, a photographic negative onto which a circuit design pattern is etched or transferred by an electron beam or laser beam writer. Each completed wafer contains many fabricated chips, each known as a die.
Wafer Probe	Each individual die is then electrically tested, or probed, for defects. Dies that fail this test are discarded, or, in some cases, salvaged using laser repair.
Assembly	The assembly of semiconductors serves to protect the die, facilitates its integration into electronic systems and enables the dissipation of heat. The process begins with the dicing of the wafers into chips. Each die is affixed to a leadframe-based or organic substrate-based package. Then, electrical connections are formed, in many cases by connecting the terminals on the die to the inner leads of the package using fine metal wires. Finally, each chip is encapsulated for protection, usually in a molded epoxy enclosure.
Final Test	Assembled semiconductors are tested to ensure that the device meets performance specifications. Testing takes place on specialized equipment using software customized for each application. For memory semiconductors, this process also includes “burn-in” testing to screen out defective devices by applying very high temperatures and voltages.

Outsourcing Trends in Semiconductor Manufacturing

Historically, integrated device manufacturers, or IDMs, designed, manufactured, tested and assembled semiconductors primarily at their own facilities. In recent years, there has been a trend in the industry to outsource stages in the manufacturing process to reduce the high fixed costs resulting from the increasingly complex manufacturing process. Virtually every significant stage of the manufacturing process can be outsourced. The independent semiconductor manufacturing services market currently consists of wafer fabrication and probing services and semiconductor testing and assembly services. Most of the world’s major IDMs now use some independent semiconductor manufacturing services to maintain a strategic mix of internal and external manufacturing capacity. We believe that many of these IDMs are significantly reducing their investments in new semiconductor testing and assembly facilities.

Table of Contents

The availability of technologically advanced independent semiconductor manufacturing services has also enabled the growth of “fabless” semiconductor companies that focus exclusively on semiconductor design and marketing and outsource their fabrication, testing and assembly requirements to independent companies.

We believe the outsourcing of semiconductor manufacturing services, and in particular of testing and assembly services, will increase for many reasons, including the following:

Significant Capital Expenditure Requirements . Driven by increasingly sophisticated technological requirements, wafer fabrication, testing and assembly processes have become highly complex, requiring substantial investment in specialized equipment and facilities and sophisticated engineering and manufacturing expertise. In addition, product life cycles have been shortening, magnifying the need to continually upgrade or replace manufacturing, testing and assembly equipment to accommodate new products. As a result, new investments in in-house fabrication, testing and assembly facilities are becoming less desirable for IDMs because of the high investment costs, as well as difficulties in achieving sufficient economies of scale and utilization rates to be competitive with the independent service providers. Independent foundry, testing and assembly companies, on the other hand, are able to realize the benefits of specialization and achieve economies of scale by providing services to a large base of customers across a wide range of products. This enables them to reduce costs and shorten production cycles through high capacity utilization and process expertise.

Increasing Focus on Core Competencies . As the costs of semiconductor manufacturing facilities increase, semiconductor companies are expected to further outsource their wafer fabrication, testing and assembly requirements to focus their resources on core competencies, such as semiconductor design and marketing.

Time-to-Market Pressure . Increasingly short product life cycles have amplified time-to-market pressure for semiconductor companies, leading them to rely increasingly on independent companies as a key source for effective wafer fabrication, testing and assembly services.

Semiconductor Testing and Assembly Services Industry

Growth in the semiconductor testing and assembly services industry is driven by increased outsourcing of the various stages of the semiconductor manufacturing process by IDMs and fabless semiconductor companies.

The Semiconductor Industry and Conditions of Outsourcing in Taiwan and Mainland China

Taiwan is one of the world’s leading locations for outsourced semiconductor manufacturing. The semiconductor industry in Taiwan has developed such that the various stages of the semiconductor manufacturing process have been disaggregated, thus allowing for specialization. The disaggregation of the semiconductor manufacturing process in Taiwan permits these semiconductor manufacturing service providers to focus on particular parts of the production process, develop economies of scale, maintain higher capacity utilization rates and remain flexible in responding to customer needs. There are several leading service providers in Taiwan, each of which offers substantial capacity, high-quality manufacturing, leading semiconductor wafer fabrication, test, assembly and process technologies, and a full range of services. These service providers have access to an educated labor pool and a large number of engineers suitable for sophisticated manufacturing industries. As a result, many of the world’s leading semiconductor companies outsource some or all of their semiconductor manufacturing needs to Taiwan’s semiconductor manufacturing service providers and take advantage of the close proximity among facilities. In addition, companies located in Taiwan are very active in the design and manufacture of electronic systems, which has created significant local demand for semiconductor devices.

Mainland China has emerged as a similarly attractive location for outsourced semiconductor manufacturing. Mainland China is an attractive manufacturing location for electronic products because companies can take advantage of a well-educated yet low-cost labor force, cost savings due to tax benefits and a large domestic market. These factors have driven a rapid relocation of much of the electronics industry manufacturing and supply chain to Mainland China. An increasing number of global electronic systems manufacturers and contract manufacturers are relocating production facilities to Mainland China. We believe that these electronic

Table of Contents

product manufacturers and contract manufacturers will source an increasing portion of their demand for semiconductors from semiconductor suppliers located in Mainland China in order to reduce production cycle times, decrease costs, simplify supply chain logistics and meet local content requirements. In line with this trend, we have in recent years expanded our operations in Mainland China.

Overview of the Company

We provide a broad range of back-end testing services, including engineering testing, wafer probing and final testing of memory and mixed-signal semiconductors. We also offer a broad selection of leadframe-based and organic substrate-based package assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors. Our advanced leadframe-based packages include thin small outline packages, or TSOPs, and our advanced organic substrate-based packages include fine-pitch ball grid array, or fine-pitch BGA, packages. In addition, we provide gold bumping, testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors by employing TCP, COF and COG, technologies.

Semiconductors tested and assembled by us are used in personal computers, graphics applications, such as game consoles and personal digital assistants, or PDAs, communications equipment, such as cellular handsets, and consumer electronic products and display applications, such as flat-panel displays. In 2007, 48.8% of our net revenue was derived from testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors, 34.3% from assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors, and 16.9% from LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services.

Our Structure and History

We are a holding company, incorporated in August 2000 under the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended. We provide most of our services in Taiwan through our wholly-owned subsidiary, ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC., or ChipMOS Taiwan, and its subsidiaries and investees. We also provide services in Mainland China through ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD., or ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, or Modern Mind, which is one of our controlled consolidated subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2008, Siliconware Precision Industries Co. Ltd., or Siliconware Precision, owned approximately 14.5% of our common shares, and Mosel Vitelic Inc., or Mosel, indirectly owned approximately 13.4% of our common shares.

The following chart illustrates our corporate structure and our equity interest in each of our principal subsidiaries and affiliates as of March 31, 2008. ⁽¹⁾




Table of Contents

- (1) Under ROC Financial Accounting Standards and the regulations of the Taiwan Securities and Futures Bureau, we are required to consolidate the financial results of any subsidiaries in which we hold a controlling interest or voting interest in excess of 50%. In 2003, we consolidated the financial results of ChipMOS Taiwan, ChipMOS Japan, ChipMOS USA, ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited, or ChipMOS Hong Kong, Modern Mind and its wholly-owned subsidiary, ChipMOS Shanghai, and ThaiLin. From January 12 and 28, 2004 onwards, we also consolidated the financial results of Advanced Micro Chip Technology Co., Ltd. (which was liquidated in October 2004) and ChipMOS Logic (which was merged into ThaiLin in December 2005), respectively, and from April 1, 2004 onwards, we also consolidated the financial results of Chantek (which was merged into ChipMOS Taiwan in November 2005). Starting from April 30, 2004, our financial results also included the financial results of WWT, which was subsequently merged into ChipMOS Logic. Starting from November 1, 2004, our financial statements also included the results of First Semiconductor Technology, Inc. in which ChipMOS Taiwan acquired a 67.8% equity interest on November 1, 2004 and transferred back this interest to First Semiconductor Technology, Inc. on April 29, 2005.
- (2) As of March 31, 2008, 3,899,999 shares of ChipMOS Hong Kong were issued to us and one share was issued to Shih-Jye Cheng, our chairman and chief executive officer, representing 100% of the then issued share capital of ChipMOS Hong Kong. Shih-Jye Cheng holds the one share issued to him as trustee for and on behalf of our company.
- (3) On March 27, 2007, we completed a share purchase and subscription transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, under which we and ChipMOS Taiwan purchased all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision subscribed to 12,174,998 of our newly issued common shares through a private placement. Following such transaction, on September 14, 2007, we completed a share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan pursuant to which we exchanged one common share for every 8.4 ChipMOS Taiwan shares. Following the completion of the share exchange transaction, ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary.
- (4) We control Modern Mind through our ownership of a convertible note issued by Modern Mind that may be converted into a controlling equity interest in Modern Mind. We do not currently own any equity interest in Modern Mind. ChipMOS Shanghai is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind.

Below is a description of our principal consolidated subsidiaries:

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ChipMOS Taiwan was incorporated in Taiwan in July 1997 as a joint venture company of Mosel and Siliconware Precision and with the participation of other investors. Its operations consist of the testing and assembly of semiconductors as well as gold bumping and memory module manufacturing. We acquired our interest in ChipMOS Taiwan by issuing our common shares to ChipMOS Taiwan's shareholders in exchange for their 70.3% shareholding in ChipMOS Taiwan in January 2001. In October 2001, ChipMOS Taiwan issued 6,911,732 common shares as employee bonuses. In December 2002, we issued 531,175 common shares in exchange for 5,633,442 ChipMOS Taiwan common shares held by these employees.

On June 16, 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan and Chantek, a 68.0% subsidiary of ChipMOS Taiwan, agreed to merge in a stock-for-stock transaction. Under the merger agreement, as amended on September 2, 2005, shareholders of Chantek (other than ChipMOS Taiwan) were entitled to elect to receive cash or ChipMOS Taiwan shares in exchange for their Chantek shares at the ratio of 3.6 to 1. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan paid NT\$81 million in cash and issued 6 million (which represented approximately 0.7% of ChipMOS Taiwan's outstanding shares immediately after the completion of the transaction) shares to Chantek shareholders pursuant to the merger agreement. The transaction closed on November 21, 2005.

On March 27, 2007, we completed a share purchase and subscription transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, under which we and ChipMOS Taiwan purchased all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision subscribed to 12,174,998 of our newly issued common shares through a private placement. As of March 31, 2007, we held 99.1% of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Taiwan.

On September 14, 2007, we completed a share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan pursuant to which we exchanged one common share for every 8.4 ChipMOS Taiwan shares. In connection with the share exchange transaction, ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan paid in the aggregate NT\$ 53 million (US\$ 2 million) in cash to purchase fractional shares and shares held by dissenting shareholders, and ChipMOS Bermuda issued 604,124 new common shares. Following the completion of the share exchange transaction, ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited. ChipMOS Hong Kong (formerly ChipMOS Far East Limited) was incorporated in Hong Kong in November 2002. It is engaged in semiconductor testing and assembly services and trading of spare parts and tools. Effective May 31, 2005, the name of ChipMOS Far East Limited was changed to ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited. As of March 31, 2008, we held 100% of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Hong Kong.

Table of Contents

MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD . Modern Mind was incorporated in the British Virgin Islands in January 2002. Modern Mind conducts its operations through ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary incorporated in Mainland China in June 2002. ChipMOS Shanghai is engaged in wafer testing and semiconductor assembly and testing. We acquired a 100% equity interest in Modern Mind on December 12, 2002, and then transferred it to Jesper Limited on December 31, 2002. In 2003, we acquired from Jesper Limited a convertible note in the amount of US\$37.5 million issued by Modern Mind that may be converted into a controlling equity interest in Modern Mind at a conversion rate of one ordinary share of Modern Mind for every US\$1.00 if the repayment is not made when due. In 2004, we restructured our control of ChipMOS Shanghai and our Mainland China operations. On July 29, 2004, we replaced the US\$37.5 million convertible note previously issued by Modern Mind in its entirety with a US\$62.8 million demand note issued by Modern Mind, with the difference representing a US\$25 million loan that we extended to Modern Mind from the net proceeds of our July 2004 offering of common shares. In addition, we extended a loan in the aggregate amount of US\$50 million to Modern Mind from the net proceeds of our November 2004 convertible debt offering in exchange for demand notes issued by Modern Mind in the same aggregate amount. As of March 31, 2008, the aggregate amount of total loans we extended to Modern Mind was US\$122.8 million. The demand notes are convertible at any time into common shares representing, immediately after the conversion, almost 100% of the then outstanding common shares of Modern Mind at a conversion rate of US\$1.00 for each common share of Modern Mind. Payment under the demand notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Jesper Limited and secured by a pledge agreement in respect of the entire equity interest in Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai. We have obtained from Jesper Limited an irrevocable option to acquire at any time the common shares of Modern Mind then owned by Jesper Limited.

In addition, on April 22, 2004, ChipMOS Hong Kong and ChipMOS Shanghai entered into an exclusive services agreement, pursuant to which ChipMOS Shanghai will provide its services exclusively to ChipMOS Hong Kong or customers designated by ChipMOS Hong Kong. Under the exclusive services agreement, ChipMOS Hong Kong will purchase and consign to ChipMOS Shanghai all of the equipment required to render those services. The exclusive services agreement has a term of ten years, which is automatically renewable for an additional ten-year period unless either party provides written notice of intention to terminate at least 30 days prior to the expiration of such ten-year term. In addition, ChipMOS Hong Kong may terminate the exclusive services agreement at any time by giving 30 days' prior written notice.

For risks associated with our investment in Mainland China and these contractual arrangements, see “Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Countries in Which We Conduct Operations—The investments in Mainland China by our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, through ChipMOS Shanghai, and the related contractual arrangements may result in Mosel or Siliconware Precision violating ROC laws governing investments in Mainland China by ROC companies or persons. Any sanctions on Mosel or Siliconware Precision as a result of any violation of ROC laws may cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to decrease its ownership in us significantly or cause Mosel or Siliconware Precision to take other actions that may not be in the best interest of our other shareholders” and “Item 3. Key Information – Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Countries in Which We Conduct Operations—Our current ownership structure and contractual arrangements with Jesper Limited, Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai may not be effective in providing operational control of our Mainland China operations.”

ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. ThaiLin was incorporated in Taiwan in May 1996, and is listed on the GreTai Securities Market in Taiwan. It is engaged in the provision of semiconductor testing services. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired a 41.8% interest in ThaiLin in December 2002. Under applicable accounting principles, ThaiLin was consolidated into our consolidated financial statements in 2003 because ChipMOS Taiwan was deemed to exert significant control over ThaiLin through common directors and management.

In August 2004, ThaiLin completed a NT\$1,000 million convertible bond offering, and ChipMOS Taiwan purchased bonds in an amount of NT\$100 million in that offering to maintain its percentage ownership in ThaiLin. ChipMOS Taiwan converted these convertible bonds in March 2005.

On August 15, 2005, ThaiLin entered into a merger agreement with ChipMOS Logic, whereby ChipMOS Logic agreed to be merged into ThaiLin, with ThaiLin as surviving entity. Under the merger agreement, shareholders of ChipMOS Logic received one common share of ThaiLin in exchange for 2.8 common shares of ChipMOS Logic. As a result, ThaiLin issued approximately

Table of Contents

43 million shares (which represented approximately 14.4% of ThaiLin's outstanding shares immediately after the completion of the transaction) to ChipMOS Logic shareholders. The transaction closed on December 1, 2005.

On March 4, 2008, ChipMOS Taiwan made a loan in an amount of NT\$145 million (US\$4 million) to Taiwan Kolin Co. Ltd., or Kolin, a major shareholder of ThaiLin. The loan is repayable within one year and bears interest at a rate of 4.69% per annum. The loan is secured by a pledge by Kolin of 11 million common shares of ThaiLin.

As of March 31, 2008, ChipMOS Taiwan held (excluding the ThaiLin common shares pledged to us in connection with the loan to Kolin) a 38.2% interest in ThaiLin. Mr. S.J. Cheng, our chairman and chief executive officer and the director and chairman of ChipMOS Taiwan, is also a director and the chairman of ThaiLin. In addition, four of the seven directors of ThaiLin are appointed by ChipMOS Taiwan.

Advanced Micro Chip Technology Co., Ltd. AMCT was incorporated in Taiwan in March 2000. It provided gold bumping services, which are used in connection with the assembly of LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. In February 2003, ChipMOS Taiwan acquired a 23.1% interest in AMCT and increased its ownership during 2003 to 30.8% as of December 31, 2003. ChipMOS Taiwan purchased additional interests in AMCT in January, February and March 2004. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan held a 99.7% equity interest in AMCT as of April 30, 2004. ChipMOS Taiwan completed the integration of all of AMCT's business operations into ChipMOS Taiwan in April 2004 and completed the liquidation of AMCT in October 2004.

CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. Chantek was incorporated in Taiwan in May 1989 and was listed on the GreTai Securities Market in Taiwan until November 16, 2005. It provides semiconductor assembly services for low-density volatile and non-volatile memory semiconductors, consumer semiconductors and microcontroller semiconductors. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired its ownership interest in Chantek in September 2002.

PlusMOS was incorporated in Taiwan in March 2000 as a joint venture between ChipMOS Taiwan and Mosel for the manufacture, design and sale of DRAM modules. On April 1, 2004, PlusMOS was merged into Chantek in a stock-for-stock merger pursuant to which shareholders of PlusMOS received 1.1 common shares of Chantek in exchange for one common share of PlusMOS. The merger was approved by the shareholders of Chantek and PlusMOS in December 2003. Upon consummation of this merger, ChipMOS Taiwan directly held a 34.2% interest in Chantek, which is the surviving entity. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan became the controlling shareholder of Chantek. Starting from April 1, 2004, we began consolidating Chantek into our consolidated financial results and increased our interest in Chantek to 68.0% on November 30, 2004.

On November 21, 2005, Chantek was merged into ChipMOS Taiwan, with ChipMOS Taiwan as the surviving entity. For additional information regarding the merger agreement, see “— ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.” above.

ChipMOS Logic TECHNOLOGIES INC. ChipMOS Logic was incorporated in Taiwan in January 2004, with ChipMOS Taiwan holding a 62.5% interest and ThaiLin holding a 37.5% interest. ChipMOS Logic is engaged in logic testing services. On April 30, 2004, WWT, a Taiwan-based company engaged in logic testing services, merged into ChipMOS Logic, with ChipMOS Logic as the surviving entity, in a stock-for-stock merger pursuant to which shareholders of WWT received one common share of ChipMOS Logic in exchange for 10 common shares of WWT. Upon consummation of the merger between WWT and ChipMOS Logic, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin owned approximately 52.9% and 24.6%, respectively, of ChipMOS Logic, with the original management team of WWT, two original shareholders of WWT, including one creditor bank, and the management team of ChipMOS Logic owning the remaining interest.

On December 1, 2005, ChipMOS Logic was merged into ThaiLin, with ThaiLin as the surviving entity. For additional information regarding the merger agreement, see “— ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp.” above.

First Semiconductor Technology, Inc. First Semiconductor Technology, Inc. was incorporated in the United States of America in June 1998 and engages in IC logic testing services. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired a 67.8% ownership interest in First Semiconductor

Table of Contents

Technology, Inc. on November 1, 2004 in connection with the purchase of certain assets and equipment from First International Computer Testing and Assembly, and transferred this interest to First Semiconductor Technology, Inc. on April 29, 2005 pursuant to a share repurchase agreement.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to reinforce our position as a leading independent provider of semiconductor testing and assembly services, concentrating principally on memory, mixed-signal and LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. The principal components of our business strategy are set forth below.

Focus on Providing Our Services to High-Growth Segments of the Semiconductor Industry.

We intend to continue our focus on developing and providing advanced testing and assembly services for high-growth segments of the semiconductor industry, such as memory, mixed-signal and LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. In 2007, our revenue from testing and assembly of semiconductors for these segments accounted for all of our net revenue. We believe that our investments in equipment and research and development in some of these areas allow us to offer a differentiated service from our competition. In order to continue to benefit from the expected growth in these segments, we intend to continue to invest in capacity to meet the testing and assembly requirements of these key semiconductor market segments.

Continue to Invest in the Research and Development of Advanced Testing and Assembly Technologies.

We believe that our ability to progressively provide more advanced testing and assembly services to customers is critical to our business. In addition, advanced semiconductor testing and assembly services typically generate higher margins due to the greater expertise required and the more sophisticated technologies used. We will continue to invest in the research and development of advanced testing and assembly technologies. For example, we are expanding our capabilities in fine-pitch BGA and the testing and assembly of COFs. We have also introduced fine-pitch COF based on our proprietary technology and COG testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors.

In addition, we will continue to pursue the development of new testing and assembly technologies jointly with domestic and foreign research institutions and universities. We expect to focus our research and development efforts in the following areas:

- developing new software conversion programs to increase the capabilities of our testers;
- developing technologies for wafer-level burn-in and testing before assembly;
- developing advanced assembly technologies for high-speed memory devices;
- developing fine-pitch bumping, chip probing and bonding technologies for LCD drivers;
- improving manufacturing yields for new assembly technologies;
- developing environmentally friendly assembly services that focus on eliminating the lead and halogen elements from the materials employed in the package and reducing the toxicity of gaseous chemical wastes; and
- implementing of radio frequency identification (RFID) logistics management system for the wafer probing process.

In 2007, we spent approximately 1.4% of our net revenue on research and development. We will continue to invest our resources to recruit and retain experienced research and development personnel. As of March 31, 2008, our research and development team comprised 291 persons.

Table of Contents

Build on Our Strong Presence in Taiwan and Expand Our Operations Outside Taiwan.

We intend to build on our strong presence in key centers of semiconductor and electronics manufacturing to further grow our business. Currently, most of our operations are in Taiwan, one of the world's leading locations for outsourced semiconductor manufacturing. This presence provides us with several advantages. First, our proximity to other semiconductor companies is attractive to customers who wish to outsource various stages of the semiconductor manufacturing process. Second, our proximity to many of our suppliers, customers and the end-users of our customers' products enables us to be involved in the early stages of the semiconductor design process, enhances our ability to quickly respond to our customers' changing requirements and shortens our customers' time-to-market. Third, we have access to an educated labor pool and a large number of engineers who are able to work closely with our customers and other providers of semiconductor manufacturing services.

As with our operations in Taiwan, we intend to similarly benefit from our operations in Mainland China. We intend to invest in and expand our operations in Mainland China, increasing our testing and assembly services for memory semiconductors.

Depending on customers demands, market conditions and other relevant considerations, we may from time to time look into other opportunities to expand our operations outside Taiwan.

Expand Our Offering of Vertically Integrated Services.

We believe that one of our competitive strengths is our ability to provide vertically integrated services to our customers. Vertically integrated services consist of the integrated testing, assembly and direct shipment of semiconductors to end-users designated by our customers. Providing vertically integrated services enables us to shorten lead times for our customers. As time-to-market and cost increasingly become sources of competitive advantage for our customers, they increasingly value our ability to provide them with comprehensive back-end services. Through ChipMOS Taiwan, ThaiLin and ChipMOS Shanghai, we are able to offer vertically integrated services for a broad range of products, including memory, mixed-signal and LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. We believe that these affiliations, which offer complementary technologies, products and services as well as additional capacity, will continue to enhance our own development and expansion efforts into new and high-growth markets. We intend to establish new alliances with leading companies and, if suitable opportunities arise, engage in merger and acquisition activities that will further expand the services we can provide.

Focus on Increasing Sales through Long-Term Agreements with Key Customers.

From time to time, we strategically agree to commit a portion of our testing and assembly capacity to certain of our customers. We intend to focus on increasing sales to key customers through long-term capacity agreements, with less focus on our business with smaller customers or customers who do not place orders on a regular basis. The customers with which we currently have long-term agreements include ProMOS, Himax Technologies, Inc., or Himax, Novatek Microelectronics Corp., Ltd., or Novatek, a leading NOR flash maker in the U.S., and a leading PC peripheral chip provider in the U.S. See "— Customers" below for a more detailed discussion of these long-term agreements. Under certain of those long-term agreements, we have agreed to reserve capacity for our customers and our customers have agreed to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity (which is subject in certain cases to reduction by the customers). As of March 31, 2008, approximately 25.7% of our total capacity was reserved under this type of agreement. Under certain other long-term agreements, we have agreed to acquire equipment or reserve capacity for our customers and our customers have undertaken to compensate us to a certain extent if they fail to sufficiently utilize the acquired equipment or reserved capacity. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 18.5% of our total capacity was reserved under this type of agreement. We believe that these long-term agreements help to insulate us from volatility in our capacity utilization rates and help us develop close relationships with our customers.

Principal Products and Services

The following table presents, for the periods shown, revenue by service segment as a percentage of our net revenue.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
Testing			
Memory testing revenue	39.4%	43.0%	46.1%
Mixed-signal testing revenue	3.1	2.9	2.7
Total testing revenue	42.5	45.9	48.8
Assembly			
Memory assembly revenue	33.9	30.6	32.1
Mixed-signal assembly revenue	3.2	1.7	2.2
Total assembly revenue	37.1	32.3	34.3
LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly revenue	20.4	21.8	16.9
Total net revenue	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Table of Contents

Memory and Mixed-Signal Semiconductors

Testing

We provide testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors:

Memory. We provide testing services for a variety of memory semiconductors, such as SRAM, DRAM and flash memory. To speed up the time-consuming process of memory product testing, we provide multi-site testing, which can test up to 256 devices simultaneously. The memory semiconductors we test are used primarily in desktop computers, notebook computers and handheld consumer electronic devices and wireless communication devices.

Mixed-Signal. We conduct tests on a wide variety of mixed-signal semiconductors, with lead counts ranging from the single digits to over 1024 and operating frequencies of up to 600 MHz. The semiconductors we test include those used for networking and wireless communications, data communications, graphics and disk controllers for home entertainment and personal computer applications. We also test a variety of application specific integrated circuits, or ASICs, for applications such as cellular handsets, digital still cameras and personal digital assistants.

The following is a description of our pre-assembly testing services:

Engineering Testing. We provide engineering testing services, including software program development, electrical design validation, reliability and failure analyses.

- *Software Program Development.* Design and test engineers develop a customized software program and related hardware to test semiconductors on advanced testing equipment. A customized software program is required to test the conformity of each particular semiconductor to its particular function and specification.
- *Electrical Design Validation.* A prototype of the designed semiconductor is submitted to electrical tests using advanced test equipment, customized software programs and related hardware. These tests assess whether the prototype semiconductor complies with a variety of different operating specifications, including functionality, frequency, voltage, current, timing and temperature range.
- *Reliability Analysis.* Reliability analysis is designed to assess the long-term reliability of the semiconductor and its suitability of use for its intended applications. Reliability testing may include operating-life evaluation, during which the semiconductor is subjected to high temperature and voltage tests.
- *Failure Analysis.* If the prototype semiconductor does not perform to specifications during either the electrical validation or reliability analysis process, failure analysis is performed to determine the reasons for the failure. As part of this analysis, the prototype semiconductor may be subjected to a variety of tests, including electron beam probing and electrical testing.

Table of Contents

Wafer Probing . Wafer probing is the step immediately before the assembly of semiconductors and involves visual inspection and electrical testing of the processed wafer for defects to ensure that it meets our customers' specifications. Wafer probing employs sophisticated design and manufacturing technologies to connect the terminals of each chip for testing. Defective chips are marked on the surface or memorized in an electronic file, known as a mapping file, to facilitate subsequent processing.

Laser Repairing . In laser repairing of memory products, specific poly or metal fuses are blown after wafer probing to enable a spare row or column of a memory cell to replace a defective memory cell.

After assembly, we perform the following testing services:

Burn-In Testing . This process screens out unreliable products using high temperature, high voltage and prolonged stress to ensure that finished products will survive a long period of end-user service. This process is used only for memory products.

Top Marking . By using either a laser marker or an ink marker, we mark products according to our customers' specifications, including the logo, product type, date code and lot number.

Final Testing . Assembled semiconductors are tested to ensure that the devices meet performance specifications. Tests are conducted using specialized equipment with software customized for each application in different temperature conditions ranging from minus 45 degrees celsius to 85 degrees celsius. One of the tests includes speed testing to classify the parts into different speed grades.

Final Inspection and Packing . Final inspection involves visual or auto-inspection of the devices to check for any bent leads, inaccurate markings or other construction defects. Packing involves dry packing, packing-in-tube and tape and reel. Dry pack involves heating semiconductors in a tray at 125 to 150 degrees celsius for about two hours to remove the moisture before the semiconductors are vacuum-sealed in an aluminum bag. Packing-in-tube involves packing the semiconductors in anti-static tubes for shipment. Tape and reel pack involves transferring semiconductors from a tray or tube onto an anti-static embossed tape and rolling the tape onto a reel for shipment to customers.

Assembly

Our assembly services generally involve the following steps:

<i>Wafer Lapping</i>	The wafers are ground to their required thickness.
<i>Die Saw</i>	Wafers are cut into individual dies, or chips, in preparation for the die-attach process.
<i>Die Attach</i>	Each individual die is attached to the leadframe or substrate.
<i>Wire Bonding</i>	Using gold wires, the I/O pads on the die are connected to the package inner leads.
<i>Molding</i>	The die and wires are encapsulated to provide physical support and protection.
<i>Marking</i>	Each individual package is marked to provide product identification.
<i>Dejunking and Trimming</i>	Mold flash is removed from between the lead shoulders through dejunking, and the dambar is cut during the trimming process.

Table of Contents

<i>Electrical Plating</i>	A solderable coating is added to the package leads to prevent oxidization and to keep solder wettability of the package leads.
<i>Ball Mount and Reflow</i>	Each electrode pad of the substrate is first printed with flux, after which solder balls are mounted, heated and attached to the electrode pad of the substrate through a reflow oven.
<i>Forming/Singulation</i>	Forming involves the proper configuration of the device packages leads, and singulation separates the packages from each other.

We offer a broad range of package formats designed to provide our customers with a broad array of assembly services. The assembly services we offer customers are leadframe-based packages, which include thin small outline packages, and organic substrate-based packages, including fine-pitch BGA.

The differentiating characteristics of these packages include:

- the size of the package;
- the number of electrical connections which the package can support;
- the electrical performance and requirements of the package; and
- the heat dissipation requirements of the package.

As new applications for semiconductor devices require smaller components, the size of packages has also decreased. In leading-edge packages, the size of the package is reduced to just slightly larger than the size of the individual chip itself in a process known as chip scale packaging.

As semiconductor devices increase in complexity, the number of electrical connections required also increases. Leadframe-based products have electrical connections from the semiconductor device to the electronic product through leads on the perimeter of the package. Organic substrate-based products have solder balls on the bottom of the package, which create the electrical connections with the product and can support large numbers of electrical connections.

Leadframe-Based Packages . These are generally considered the most widely used package category. Each package consists of a semiconductor chip encapsulated in a plastic molding compound with metal leads on the perimeter. This design has evolved from a design plugging the leads into holes on the circuit board to a design soldering the leads to the surface of the circuit board.

The following diagram presents the basic components of a standard leadframe-based package for memory semiconductors:




Table of Contents

To satisfy the demand for miniaturization of portable electronic products, we are currently developing and will continue to develop increasingly smaller versions of leadframe-based packages to keep pace with continually shrinking semiconductor device sizes. Our advanced leadframe-based packages generally are thinner and smaller, have more leads and have advanced thermal and electrical characteristics when compared to traditional packages. As a result of our continual product development, we offer leadframe-based packages with a wide range of lead counts and sizes to satisfy our customers' requirements.

The following table presents our principal leadframe-based packages, including the number of leads in each package, commonly known as lead-count, a description of each package and the end-user applications of each package.

<u>Package</u>	<u>Lead-count</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>End-User Applications</u>
Plastic Leaded Chip Carrier (PLCC)	32-44	Package with leads on four sides used in consumer electronics products in which the size of the package is not vital	Copiers, printers, scanners, personal computers, electronic games, monitors
Plastic Dual-in-line Package (PDIP)	16-56	Package with insertion leads on longer sides used in consumer electronics products	Electronic games, monitors, copiers, printers, audio and video products, personal computers
Thin Small Outline Package I (TSOP I)	28-56	Designed for high volume production of low lead-count memory devices, including flash memory, SRAM and MROM	Notebook computers, personal computers, still and video cameras and standard connections for peripherals for computers
Thin Small Outline Package II (TSOP II)	24-86	Designed for memory devices, including flash memory, SRAM, SDRAM and DDR DRAM	Disk drives, recordable optical disk drives, audio and video products, consumer electronics, communication products
Quad Flat Package (QFP)	44-208	Flat structure with 4-sided peripheral leads designed for SRAM, graphic processors, personal computer chipsets and mixed-signal devices	Wireless communication products, notebook computers, personal computers, consumer electronics
Quad Flat No Lead (QFN)	8-68	Thermal enhanced quad flat no lead package providing small footprint (chip scale), light weight with good thermal and electrical performance	Wireless communication products, notebook computers, PDAs, consumer electronics
Low-Profile Quad Flat Package (LQFP)	80-128	Low-profile and light weight package designed for ASICs, digital signal processors, microprocessors/controllers, graphics processors, gate arrays, SSRAM, SDRAM, personal computer chipsets and mixed-signal devices	Wireless communication products, notebook computers, digital cameras, cordless/radio frequency devices
Thin Quad Flat Package (TQFP)	64-128	Designed for lightweight portable electronics requiring broad performance characteristics and mixed-signal devices	Notebook computers, personal computers, disk drives, office equipment, audio and video products and wireless communication products
Small Outline Package (SOP)	8-44	Designed for low lead-count memory and logic semiconductors, including SRAM and micro-controller units	Personal computers, consumer electronics, audio and video products, communication products
Multi-Chip Package (TSOP with organic substrate)	24-86	Our patented design for memory devices, including SRAM, DRAM and SDRAM	Notebook computers, personal computers, disk drives, audio and video products, consumer products, communication products

Table of Contents

Organic Substrate-based Packages . As the number of leads surrounding a traditional leadframe-based package increases, the leads must be placed closer together to reduce the size of the package. The close proximity of one lead to another can create electrical shorting problems and requires the development of increasingly sophisticated and expensive techniques to accommodate the high number of leads on the circuit boards.

The BGA format solves this problem by effectively creating external terminals on the bottom of the package in the form of small bumps or balls. These balls are evenly distributed across the entire bottom surface of the package, allowing greater pitch between the individual terminals. The ball grid array configuration enables high-pin count devices to be manufactured less expensively with less delicate handling at installation.

Our organic substrate-based packages employ a fine-pitch BGA design, which uses a plastic or tape laminate rather than a leadframe and places the electrical connections, or leads, on the bottom of the package rather than around the perimeter. The fine-pitch BGA format was developed to address the need for the smaller footprints required by advanced memory devices. Benefits of ball grid array assembly over leadframe-based assembly include:

- smaller size;
- smaller footprint on a printed circuit board;
- better electrical signal integrity; and
- easier attachment to a printed circuit board.

The following diagram presents the basic component parts of a fine-pitch BGA package:




Table of Contents

The following table presents the ball-count, description and end-user applications of organic substrate-based packages we currently assemble:

<u>Package</u>	<u>Connections</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>End-User Applications</u>
Mini BGA	36-208	Low-cost and space-saving assembly designed for low input/output count, suitable for semiconductors that require a smaller package size than standard BGA	Memory, analog, flash memory, ASICs, radio frequency devices, personal digital assistants, cellular handsets, communication products, notebook computers, wireless systems
Fine-Pitch BGA	54-119	Our patented design for DRAM products that require high performance and chip scale package (CSP)	Notebook computers, cellular handsets, global positioning systems, personal digital assistants, wireless systems
Very Thin Fine-Pitch BGA	48-176	Similar structure of Mini BGA package with thinner and finer ball pitch that is designed for use in a wide variety of applications requiring small size, high reliability and low unit cost	Handheld devices, notebook computers, disk drives, wireless and mobile communication products
Land Grid Array (LGA)	44-53	Thinner and lighter assembly designed essential to standard BGA without solder balls, suitable for applications that require high electrical performance	Disk drives, memory controllers, wireless, mobile communication products
Multi-Chip BGA	36-208	Designed for assembly of two or more memory chips (to increase memory density) or combinations of memory and logic chips in one BGA package	Notebook computers, digital cameras, personal digital assistants, global positioning systems, sub-notebooks, board processors, wireless systems
Stacked-Chip BGA	66-208	Designed for assembly of two or more memory chips or logic and memory chips in one CSP, reducing the space required for memory chips	Cellular handsets, digital cameras, personal digital assistants, wireless systems, notebook computers, global positioning systems

LCD and Other Flat-Panel Display Driver Semiconductors

We also offer testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. We employ TCP, COF and COG technologies for testing and assembling LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. In addition, we offer gold bumping services to our customers.

Gold bumping technology, which can be used in TCP, COF and COG technologies, is a necessary interconnection technology for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. Most gold bumping services are performed on six- or eight-inch wafers. Gold bumping technology provides the best solution for fine-pitch chips and is able to meet the high production requirement for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors or other chips that require thin packaging profiles.

The gold bumping fabrication process uses thin film metal deposition, photolithography and electrical plating technologies. A series of barrier and seed metal layers are deposited over the surface of the wafer. A layer of thick photoresist material is spin-coated over these barrier and seed layers. A photomask is used to pattern the locations over each of the bond pads that will be bumped. UV exposure and developing processes open the photoresist material, which defines the bump shape. The gold bump is then electroplated over the pad and the deposited barrier metal layers. Once the plating is complete, a series of etching steps are used to remove the photoresist material and the metal layers that are covering the rest of the wafer. The gold bump protects the underlying materials from being etched. The gold bumped wafers will go through an annealing furnace to soften the gold bumps to fit the hardness requirement of TCP, COF and COG assembly processes.

Table of Contents


Tape Carrier Package Technology

TCPs offer a high number of inputs and outputs, a thin package profile and a smaller footprint on the circuit board, without compromising performance. Key package features include surface mount technology design, fine-pitch tape format and slide carrier handling. Because of their flexibility and high number of inputs and outputs, TCPs are primarily employed either for STN-LCD or TFT-LCD driver semiconductors.

Testing of TCPs . We conduct full function testing of LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors with a specially designed probe handler to ensure reliable contact to the test pads on the TCP tape. We can test STN-LCD or TFT-LCD driver semiconductors with frequencies of up to 750 MHz and at voltages up to 40V. The test is performed in a temperature-controlled environment with the device in tape form. The assembled and tested LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors in tape form are packed between spacer tapes together with a desiccant in an aluminum bag to avoid contact during shipment.

Assembly of TCPs . TCPs use a tape-automated bonding process to connect die and tape. The printed circuit tape is shipped with a reel. The reel is then placed onto an inner lead bonder, where the LCD or other flat-panel display driver semiconductor is configured onto the printed circuit tape. The resulting TCP component consists of the device interconnected to a three-layer tape, which includes a polyamide-down carrier film, an epoxy-based adhesive layer and a metal layer. The tape metallization area of the interconnections is tin plated over a metal layer. The silicon chip and inner lead area is encapsulated with a high temperature thermoset polymer after inner lead bonding. The back face of the chip is left un-sealed for thermal connection to the printed circuit board.

The following diagram presents the basic components of a TCP:



Chip-on-Film Technology

In 2001, we commenced testing and assembly services using COF technology. We have developed this proprietary technology from our existing TCP technology, and it has been widely accepted by our customers. The primary use of the COF module is to replace the liquid crystal module, or LCM, in certain applications. LCM is mainly employed in handheld electronics, such as PDAs and cellular handsets.

COF technology provides several additional advantages. For example, COF is able to meet the size, weight and higher resolution requirements in electronic products, such as flat-panel displays. This is because of its structural design, including an adhesive-free two-layer tape that is highly flexible, bending strength and its capacity to receive finer patterning pitch.

The TCP and COF assembly process involves the following steps:

- | | |
|----------------------|---|
| <i>Wafer Lapping</i> | Wafers are ground to their required thickness. |
| <i>Die Saw</i> | Wafers are cut into individual dies, or chips, in preparation for inner lead bonding. |

Table of Contents

<i>Inner Lead Bonding</i>	An inner lead bonder machine connects the chip to the printed circuit tape.
<i>Potting</i>	The package is sealed with an epoxy.
<i>Potting Cure</i>	The potting cure process matures the epoxy used during the potting stage with high temperatures.
<i>Marking</i>	A laser marker is used to provide product identification.
<i>Marking Cure</i>	The marking cure process matures the marking ink by subjecting the semiconductor to high temperatures.

Chip-on-Glass Technology

COG technology is an electronic assembly technology that is used increasingly in assembling LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors for communications equipment. Compared to the traditional bonding process for TCP or COF, the new COG technology requires lower bonding temperature. In addition, the COG technology reduces assembly cost as it does not use tapes for interconnection between the LCD panel and the printed circuit board.

The COG assembly technology involves the following steps:

<i>Wafer Lapping</i>	Wafers are ground to their required thickness.
<i>Die Saw</i>	Wafers are cut into individual dies, or chips, in preparation for the pick and place process.
<i>Pick and Place</i>	Each individual die is picked and placed into a chip tray.
<i>Inspection and Packing</i>	Each individual die in a tray is visually or auto-inspected for defects. The dies are packed within a tray in an aluminum bag after completion of the inspection process.

Other Services

Drop Shipment

We offer drop shipment of semiconductors directly to end-users designated by our customers. We provide drop shipment services, including assembly in customer-approved and branded boxes, to a majority of our testing and assembly customers. Since drop shipment eliminates the additional step of inspection by the customer prior to shipment to end-users, quality of service is a key to successful drop shipment service. We believe that our ability to successfully execute our full range of services, including drop shipment services, is an important factor in maintaining existing customers as well as attracting new customers.

Software Development, Conversion and Optimization Program

We work closely with our customers to provide sophisticated software engineering services, including test program development, conversion and optimization, and related hardware design. Generally, testing requires customized testing software and related hardware to be developed for each particular product. Software is often initially provided by the customer and then converted by us at our facilities for use on one or more of our testing machines and contains varying functionality depending on the specified testing procedures. Once a conversion test program has been developed, we perform correlation and trial tests on the semiconductors.

Table of Contents

Customer feedback on the test results enables us to adjust the conversion test programs prior to actual testing. We also typically assist our customers in collecting and analyzing the test results and recommend engineering solutions to improve their design and production process.

Customers

We believe that the following factors have been, and will continue to be, important factors in attracting and retaining customers:

- our advanced testing and assembly technologies;
- our strong capabilities in testing and assembling LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors;
- our focus on high-density memory products and mixed-signal communications products; and
- our reputation for high quality and reliable customer-focused services.

As part of our strategy, we have been focusing on increasing sales to key customers through long-term agreements, with less focus on our business with smaller customers and customers who do not place orders on a regular basis. The number of our customers as of March 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were 110, 130 and 90, respectively. Our top 15 customers in terms of revenue in 2007 included (in alphabetical order):

Cypress Semiconductor Corp.
Elite Semiconductor Memory Technology Inc.
Etron Technology, Inc.
FIDELIX CO., LTD.
Hynix Semiconductor Inc.
Himax Technologies, Inc.
Integrated Circuit Solution Inc.
Macronix International Co., Ltd.
Micron Semiconductor Asia Pte. Ltd.
Novatek Microelectronics Corp., Ltd.
Powerchip Semiconductor Corp.
ProMOS Technologies Inc.
Sandisk Global Ltd.
Standard Microsystems Corp.
Spansion LLC

Table of Contents

In 2005, our largest customer was ProMOS, our second-largest customer was Powerchip Semiconductor Corp., or Powerchip, and our third-largest customer was Himax, accounting for 28%, 15% and 9% of our net revenue, respectively. In 2006, our largest customer was ProMOS, our second-largest customer was Powerchip and our third-largest customer was Himax, accounting for approximately 27%, 14% and 11% of our net revenue, respectively. In 2007, our largest customer was ProMOS, our second-largest customer was Spansion, and our third-largest customer was Powerchip, accounting for approximately 29%, 16% and 10% of our net revenue, respectively. As of March 31, 2008, ProMOS owned approximately 4.8% of our common shares.

The majority of our customers does not enter into long-term contracts with us, and instead purchase our services through purchase orders and provide us every month with three-month non-binding rolling forecasts. The price for our services is typically agreed upon at the time when a purchase order is placed.

As part of our strategy, we have been focusing on increasing sales to key customers through long-term agreements, with less focus on our business with smaller customers and customers who do not place orders on a regular basis. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we strategically entered into or extended several long-term agreements with some of our key customers, including ProMOS, Himax, Novatek, a leading NOR flash maker in the U.S., and a leading PC peripheral chip provider in the U.S., under which we reserved capacity for the customers primarily through 2006 to 2012 and the customers committed to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity (which is subject in certain cases to reduction by the customers). These agreements generally provide that the price of our services will be agreed upon at the time our customers place the orders under the agreement. If we are unable to test and assemble the agreed number of semiconductors in any given month, such customers may generally use a third party to cover the shortfall. However, under these agreements, we are generally entitled to cure any shortfall in the following month. If we fail to do so, we may generally be liable for damages up to the amount equal to the number of shortfall units in the given month multiplied by the average selling price per unit in that month. If a customer fails to place orders according to the reserved capacity, we are generally entitled to damages based on our costs for the equipment, tooling costs, costs for personnel dedicated to the provisions of capacity to such customer, and the costs for raw materials. As of March 31, 2008, 25.7% of our total capacity was reserved pursuant to this type of agreement. Pursuant to the long-term agreement we have entered into with ProMOS in July 2007, ProMOS agreed to provide ChipMOS with six month rolling forecast on testing and assembly service orders to be placed to us, and ProMOS guarantees that such orders will represent no less than certain percentage of ProMOS' total production volume of these products (excluding OEM products). In March 2008, ProMOS failed to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity. We are currently evaluating whether or not to seek compensation from ProMOS.

Under certain other long-term agreements, including our agreement with a leading NOR flash maker in the U.S., we have agreed to acquire equipment or reserve capacity for our customers and our customers have agreed to compensate us to a certain extent if they fail to sufficiently utilize the installed equipment or reserved capacity. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 18.5% of our total capacity was reserved under this type of agreement.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the percentage breakdown of our net revenue, categorized by geographic region based on the jurisdiction in which each customer is headquartered.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
Taiwan	79%	78%	72%
United States	11	15	21
Korea	4	3	3
Japan	3	3	1
Hong Kong SAR	1	1	1
Others	2	— ⁽¹⁾	2
Total	100%	100%	100%

(1) Less than 1%.

Table of Contents

Qualification and Correlation by Customers

Our customers generally require that our facilities undergo a stringent “qualification” process during which the customer evaluates our operations, production processes and product reliability, including engineering, delivery control and testing capabilities. The qualification process typically takes up to eight weeks, or longer, depending on the requirements of the customer. For test qualification, after we have been qualified by a customer and before the customer delivers semiconductors to us for testing in volume, a process known as “correlation” is undertaken. During the correlation process, the customer provides us with test criteria, information regarding process flow and sample semiconductors to be tested and either provides us with the test program or requests that we develop a new or conversion program. In some cases, the customer also provides us with a data log of results of any testing of the semiconductor that the customer may have conducted previously. The correlation process typically takes up to two weeks, but can take longer depending on the requirements of the customer.

Sales and Marketing

We maintain sales and marketing offices in Taiwan, Hong Kong, Japan, Mainland China and the United States. Our sales and marketing strategy is to focus on memory semiconductors in Taiwan, Japan, Korea and the United States, mixed-signal semiconductors in Taiwan, Japan and the United States, LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors in Japan, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Mainland China, and module manufacturing in Taiwan and Mainland China. As of March 31, 2008, our sales and marketing efforts were primarily carried out by teams of sales professionals, application engineers and technicians, totaling 42 staff members. Each of these teams focuses on specific customers and/or geographic regions. As part of our emphasis on customer service, these teams:

- actively participate in the design process at the customers’ facilities;
- resolve customer testing and assembly issues; and
- promote timely and individualized resolutions to customers’ issues.

We conduct marketing research through our in-house customer service personnel and through our relationships with our customers and suppliers to keep abreast of market trends and developments. Furthermore, we do product and system bench marking analyses to understand the application and assembly technology evolution, such as analysis on mobile handsets and CD-/DVD-ROM players. In addition, we regularly collect data from different segments of the semiconductor industry and, when possible, we work closely with our customers to design and develop testing and assembly services for their new products. These “co-development” or “sponsorship” projects can be critical when customers seek large-scale, early market entry with a significant new product.

We have appointed a non-exclusive sales agent for promoting our services for memory semiconductors in the United States, Japan and Korea. Our sales agent helps us promote and market our services, maintain relations with our existing and potential customers and communicate with our customers on quality, specific requirements and delivery issues. We generally pay our sales agent a commission of 2.5% to 3% of our revenue from services for memory semiconductors in the United States, Japan and Korea. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we paid approximately NT\$42 million, NT\$36 million and NT\$25 million (US\$1 million), respectively, in commissions to our sales agent.

Research and Development

We believe that research and development is critical to our future success. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we spent approximately NT\$274 million, or 2%, NT\$275 million, or 1%, NT\$322 million (US\$10 million), or 1%, respectively, of our net revenue on research and development. We intend to sustain these efforts.

Our research and development efforts have focused primarily on improving the efficiency, production yields and technologies of our testing and assembly services. From time to time, we jointly develop new technologies with universities and research institutions. For testing, our research and development efforts focus particularly on complex, high-speed, high-parallel, high-pin count, high-density, and fine-pitch semiconductor wafers and packages. Our projects include:

- development of testing environments for simultaneous wafer probing and package testing;

Table of Contents

- development/conversion of test programs;
- development of wafer-level burn-in;
- development of wafer-level testing;
- development of multi-chip testing;
- implementation of a radio frequency identification (RFID) logistics management system to monitor the wafer probing process;
- testing new products using existing machines; and
- providing customers remote access to monitor test results.

We are also continuing development of interface designed to provide for high frequency testing by minimizing electrical noise.

For assembly, our research and development efforts focus on:

- high performance;
- fine pitch;
- miniaturization;
- multi-chip assembly;
- multi-chip modules;
- stacked-dice chip scale package;
- thinner and more flexible assembly such as COF packages;
- three-dimensional assembly; and
- developing environmentally friendly assembly services.

Our projects include developing multi-chip package, flip-chip technologies, environmentally friendly products, 12-inch wafer technologies, fine-pitch wire bonding technologies, 50-micron wafer thinning technology, advanced packages for DDR III, COF modules, fine-pitch LCD driver bumping, testing and assembly technologies, and advanced probe card technologies. We work closely with our customers to design and modify testing software and with equipment vendors to increase the efficiency and reliability of testing and assembly equipment. Our research and development operations also include a mechanical engineering group, which currently designs handler kits for semiconductor testing and wafer probing, as well as software to optimize capacity utilization.

As of March 31, 2008, we employed 291 employees in our research and development activities. In addition, other management and operational personnel are also involved in research and development activities but are not separately identified as research and development professionals.

Table of Contents

We maintain laboratory facilities to analyze the characteristics of semiconductor packages by computer simulation, and verify their performance by measurement tools. The implementation of computer simulation substantially reduces the time required to validate the feasibility of a package design for a given application, as compared with physical testing methods.

Quality Control

We believe that our reputation for high quality and reliable services has been an important factor in attracting and retaining leading international semiconductor companies as customers for our testing and assembly services. We are committed to delivering semiconductors that meet or exceed our customers' specifications on time and at a competitive cost. We maintain quality control staff at each of our facilities.

As of March 31, 2008, we employed 443 personnel for our quality control activities. Our quality control staff typically includes engineers, technicians and other employees who monitor testing and assembly processes in order to ensure high quality. We employ quality control procedures in the following critical areas:

- sales quality assurance: following market trends to anticipate customers' future needs;
- design quality assurance: when developing new testing and assembly processes;
- supplier quality assurance: consulting with our long-term suppliers;
- manufacturing quality assurance: through a comprehensive monitoring program during mass production; and
- service quality assurance: quickly and effectively responding to customers' claims after completion of sale.

All of our facilities have been QS 9000 certified by the International Automotive Sector Group. In addition, our facilities in Hsinchu and Tainan have been ISO 9002 certified. With respect to our quality management system, on November 26, 2003, ChipMOS Taiwan obtained ISO/TS 16949:2002 quality system certification. ThaiLin and ChipMOS Shanghai also obtained ISO/TS 16949:2002 quality system certification on September 6, 2005 and January 28, 2006, respectively.

QS 9000 quality standards provide for continual improvement with an emphasis on the prevention of defects and reduction of variation and waste in the supply chain, and a QS 9000 certification is required by certain semiconductor manufacturers as a threshold indicator of a company's quality control standards. An ISO 9002 certification is required by many countries for sales of industrial products. ISO/TS 16949:2002 certification system seeks to integrate quality management standards into the operation of a company, and emphasizes the supervision and measurement of process and performance.

In addition to the quality management system, we also earned the 1998 QC Group Award from The Chinese Society of Quality, which is equivalent to the similar award from the American Society of Quality. Our laboratories have also been awarded Chinese National Laboratory accreditation under the categories of reliability test, electricity and temperature calibration.

Our testing and assembly operations are carried out in clean rooms where air purity, temperature and humidity are controlled. To ensure the stability and integrity of our operations, we maintain clean rooms at our facilities that meet U.S. federal 209E class 100, 1,000, 10,000 and 100,000 standards. A class 1,000 clean room means a room containing less than 1,000 particles of contaminants per cubic foot.

Table of Contents

We have established manufacturing quality control systems that are designed to ensure high-quality services to our customers and maintain reliability and high production yields at our facilities. We employ specialized equipment for manufacturing quality and reliability control, including:

- Joint Electron Device Engineering Council (JEDEC) standardized temperature cycling, thermal shock and pressure cook reliability tests;
- high and low temperature storage life tests, temperature and humidity bias and highly accelerated temperature/humidity stress test (HAST); and
- high resolution scanning acoustic tomography, scanning electronic microscope and X-Ray microscopy for physical failure analysis, curve tracer and semi-probe station for electrical failure analysis.

In addition, to enhance our performance and our research and development capabilities, we also installed a series of high-cost equipment, such as temperature humidity bias testers, low temperature storage-life testers and highly accelerated stress testers. We believe that many of our competitors do not own this equipment.

As a result of our ongoing focus on quality, we achieved monthly assembly yields of an average of 99.96% for our memory and mixed-signal assembly packages, 99.86% for our COF packages and 99.74% for our COG packages in 2007. The assembly yield, which is the industry standard for measuring production yield, is equal to the number of integrated circuit packages that are shipped back to customers divided by the number of individual integrated circuits that are attached to leadframes or organic substrate.

Facilities

We provide testing services through our four facilities in Taiwan and one facility in Shanghai, with one facility at each of the following locations: Chupei, the Hsinchu Industrial Park, the Hsinchu Science Park, the Southern Taiwan Science Park and the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone. We provide assembly services through our facility at the Southern Taiwan Science Park and our facility at the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone. We own the land for our Hsinchu Industrial Park testing facility and Chupei facility and possess the land use right to the land on which our Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone facility is located until 2052, and we lease the land for our Hsinchu Science Park testing facility and Southern Taiwan Science Park facility from the Science Park Administration under three 20-year leases. Three leases for our Hsinchu Science Park facility will expire in 2008, 2017 and 2026, respectively, and the lease for our Southern Taiwan Science Park facility will expire in 2017.

In March 2002, Modern Mind entered into a cooperation agreement with the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone Development Group Company under which Modern Mind has agreed to construct a permanent wholly-owned facility in the Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone to provide testing and assembly services. Modern Mind commenced construction of the facility in Shanghai in June 2002 and moved into the new facility in August 2005, with the grand opening of the new facility in November 2005. Modern Mind currently offers testing and assembly services of memory semiconductors. In connection with the Shanghai operations, Modern Mind has invested US\$122.5 million in ChipMOS Shanghai for the new facility and related equipment and Modern Mind has committed to invest an additional US\$127.5 million by December 7, 2008 in the facility and related equipment. In May 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai received a written consent-in-principle from the relevant PRC regulatory authority to decrease the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai from US\$250 million to US\$130 million in response to an application by us in March 2008. If we obtain the final approval for the decrease in the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai, Modern Mind's obligation for contribution of the unpaid registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai would be reduced from US\$127.5 million to US\$7.5 million. There is no assurance, however, that we will obtain such final approval.

On August 24, 2004, we, through ThaiLin and ChipMOS Taiwan, entered into an agreement for the acquisition of certain testing and assembly assets of FICTA, including 52 testers, 133 wire bonders, machinery, equipment, raw materials, spare parts and related patents.

In December 2004, we sold our Kaohsiung testing facility to Radiant Opto-Electronics Corporation.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the location, primary use and size of each of our facilities, and the principal equipment installed at each facility, as of March 31, 2008.

<u>Location of Facility</u>	<u>Primary Use</u>	<u>Floor Area</u>	<u>Principal Equipment</u>
Chupei, Hsinchu	Wafer Testing/Gold Bumping	25,954 square meters	3 steppers 9 sputters 257 testers
Hsinchu Industrial Park, Taiwan — ThaiLin	Testing	27,124 square meters	140 testers 32 burn-in ovens
Hsinchu Science Park, Taiwan	Testing/Module	40,294 square meters	129 testers 92 burn-in ovens
Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan	Assembly/Testing	109,676 square meters	424 wire bonders 140 inner-lead bonders 195 testers
Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone, Mainland China	Assembly/Testing/Modules and Subsystem Manufacturing	66,817 square meters	15 testers 148 wire bonders 19 burn-in ovens

Raw Materials

Semiconductor testing requires minimal raw materials. Substantially all of the raw materials used in our memory and mixed-signal semiconductor assembly processes are interconnect materials such as leadframes, organic substrates, gold wire and molding compound. Raw materials used in the LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly process include carrier tape, resin, spacer tape, plastic reel, aluminum bags, and inner and outer boxes. Cost of raw materials represented 15%, 13% and 15% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

We do not maintain large inventories of leadframes, organic substrates, gold wire or molding compound, but generally maintain sufficient stock of each principal raw material for approximately one to two months' production based on blanket orders and rolling forecasts of near-term requirements received from customers. In addition, several of our principal suppliers dedicate portions of their inventories, typically in amounts equal to the average monthly amounts supplied to us, as reserves to meet our production requirements. However, shortages in the supply of materials experienced by the semiconductor industry have in the past resulted in occasional price adjustments and delivery delays. See "Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—If we are unable to obtain raw materials and other necessary inputs from our suppliers in a timely and cost-effective manner, our production schedules would be delayed and we may lose customers and growth opportunities and become less profitable" for a discussion of the risks associated with our raw materials purchasing methods. For example, with the exception of aluminum bags and inner and outer boxes, which we acquire from local sources, the raw materials used in our TCP/COF process and for modules are obtained from a limited number of Japanese suppliers.

Equipment

Testing of Memory and Mixed-Signal Semiconductors

Testing equipment is the most capital-intensive component of the memory and mixed-signal semiconductors testing business. Upon the acquisition of new testing equipment, we install, configure, calibrate and perform burn-in diagnostic tests on the equipment. We also establish parameters for the testing equipment based on anticipated requirements of existing and potential customers and considerations relating to market trends. As of March 31, 2008, we operated 541 testers for testing memory and mixed-signal semiconductors. We generally seek to purchase testers with similar functionality that are able to test a variety of different semiconductors. We purchase testers from major international manufacturers, including Advantest Corporation, Verigy Ltd. and Credence Systems Corporation.

Table of Contents

In general, particular semiconductors can be tested using a limited number of specially designed testers. As part of the qualification process, customers will specify the machines on which their semiconductors may be tested. We often develop test program conversion tools that enable us to test semiconductors on multiple equipment platforms. This portability among testers enables us to allocate semiconductor testing across our available testing capacity and thereby improve capacity utilization rates. If a customer requires the testing of a semiconductor that is not yet fully developed, the customer consigns its testing software programs to us to test specific functions. If a customer specifies testing equipment that is not widely applicable to other semiconductors we test, we require the customer to furnish the equipment on a consignment basis.

We will continue to acquire additional testing equipment in the future to the extent market conditions, cash generated from operations, the availability of financing and other factors make it desirable to do so. Some of the equipment and related spare parts that we require have been in short supply in recent years. Moreover, the equipment is only available from a limited number of vendors or is manufactured in relatively limited quantities and may have lead time from order to delivery in excess of six months.

Assembly of Memory and Mixed-Signal Semiconductors

The number of wire bonders at a given facility is commonly used as a measure of the assembly capacity of the facility. Typically, wire bonders may be used, with minor modifications, for the assembly of different products. We purchase wire bonders principally from Shinkawa Co., Ltd. and Kulicke & Soffa Industries Inc. As of March 31, 2008, we operated 572 wire bonders. In addition to wire bonders, we maintain a variety of other types of assembly equipment, such as wafer grinders, wafer mounters, wafer saws, die bonders, automated molding machines, laser markers, solder platers, pad printers, dejunkers, trimmers, formers, substrate saws and lead scanners.

Gold Bumping, Testing and Assembly of LCD and Other Flat-Panel Display Driver Semiconductors

We acquired TCP-related equipment from Sharp to begin our TCP-related services. We subsequently purchased additional TCP-related testers from Yokogawa Electric Corp. and Advantest Corporation and assembly equipment from Shibaura Mechatronics Corp., Athlete FA Corp. and Sharp Takaya Electronics Corp. As of March 31, 2008, we operated three steppers and nine sputters for gold bumping and 140 inner-lead bonders for assembly and 195 testers for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors. We are currently in the process of purchasing additional testing equipment. The testing equipment can be used for the TCP, COF and COG processes, while the inner-lead bonders are only used in the TCP and COF processes. The same types of wafer grinding, auto wafer mount and die saw equipment is used for the TCP, COF and COG processes. In addition, auto inspection machines and manual work are used in the COG process, which is more labor-intensive than the TCP and COF processes.

Competition

The independent testing and assembly markets are very competitive. Our competitors include large IDMs with in-house testing and assembly capabilities and other independent semiconductor testing and assembly companies, especially those offering vertically integrated testing and assembly services, such as Advanced Semiconductor Engineering Inc., Amkor Technology, Inc., ASE Test Limited, International Semiconductor Technology Ltd., King Yuan Electronics Co., Ltd., Powertech Technology Inc., Siliconware Precision, STATS ChipPAC Ltd. and United Test and Assembly Center Ltd. We believe that the principal measures of competitiveness in the independent semiconductor testing industry are:

- engineering capability of software development;
- quality of service;
- flexibility;

Table of Contents

- capacity;
- production cycle time; and
- price.

In assembly services, we compete primarily on the basis of:

- production yield;
- production cycle time;
- process technology, including our COF technology for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor assembly services;
- quality of service;
- capacity;
- location; and
- price.

IDMs that use our services continually evaluate our performance against their own in-house testing and assembly capabilities. These IDMs may have access to more advanced technologies and greater financial and other resources than we do. We believe, however, that we can offer greater efficiency and lower costs while maintaining an equivalent or higher level of quality for three reasons:

- first, we offer a broader and more complex range of services as compared to the IDMs, which tend to focus their resources on improving their front-end operations;
- second, we generally have lower unit costs because of our higher utilization rates; and
- finally, we offer a wider range of services in terms of complexity and technology.

Intellectual Property

As of March 31, 2008, we held 431 patents in Taiwan, one patent in the United Kingdom, one patent in France, one patent in Germany, 24 patents in the United States and 15 patents in the People's Republic of China relating to various semiconductor testing and assembly technologies. These patents will expire at various dates through 2026. As of March 31, 2008, we also had a total of 95 pending patent applications in the United States, 176 in Taiwan, and 199 in the People's Republic of China. In addition, we have registered "ChipMOS" and its logo and "InPack" as trademarks in Taiwan, and "ChipMOS" and its logo as trademarks in the United States, the People's Republic of China, Singapore, Hong Kong, Korea, Japan and in the European Community.

We expect to continue to file patent applications where appropriate to protect our proprietary technologies. We may need to enforce our patents or other intellectual property rights or to defend ourselves against claimed infringement of the rights of others through litigation, which could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our resources. See "Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—Disputes over intellectual property rights could be costly, deprive us of technologies necessary for us to stay competitive, render us unable to provide some of our services and reduce our opportunities to generate revenue" and "Item 8. Financial Information—Legal Proceedings."

Table of Contents

On April 7, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda entered into an assignment agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan, as amended on May 14 and October 11, 2004, pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan transferred all of the technologies it owned as of that date to ChipMOS Bermuda for a purchase price of US\$19.7 million, which was paid in November 2004.

On April 7, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda entered into a patent license agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan, which was amended on July 8, 2004, October 11, 2004 and December 30, 2004, pursuant to which ChipMOS Bermuda grants to ChipMOS Taiwan a non-exclusive royalty-bearing license with respect to certain patents and patent applications until the last expiration date of the term of these patents. Under the patent license agreement, ChipMOS Taiwan will pay ChipMOS Bermuda a royalty in the aggregate of US\$20 million, payable in 80 quarterly installments of US\$250 thousand each.

On June 3, 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan entered into a license agreement with Sharp Corporation, or Sharp, pursuant to which we acquired a perpetual license to use TCP testing and assembly technology for a lump sum royalty payment of 10 million Japanese yen (approximately US\$87,000), which we paid in July 2006. This license agreement superseded the previous license agreement with Sharp entered into in February 2000 pursuant to which Sharp licensed to us TCP-related technology and intellectual property rights for five years starting from February 10, 2000 for a royalty fee based on the service fees paid to us by our customers. Our royalty obligations under the February 2000 license agreement were fully paid.

On April 12, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda entered into an assignment agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan, pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan assigned and transferred fifty percent of the title to, ownership of and interest in all of the technologies and intellectual property it owned as of that date to ChipMOS Bermuda for a purchase price of US\$6.4 million, which was paid in full in June 2007.

Environmental Matters

Semiconductor testing does not generate significant pollutants. The semiconductor assembly process generates stationary acid and alkali pollution, principally at the plating stages. Liquid waste is produced when silicon wafers are ground thinner and diced into chips with the aid of diamond saws and cooled with running water and during the gold bumping process. In addition, excess material on leads and moldings are removed from assembled semiconductors in the trimming and dejunking processes, respectively. We have installed various types of liquid and gaseous chemical waste-treatment equipment at our semiconductor assembly and gold bumping facilities. Since 2001, we have adopted certain environmentally-friendly production management systems, and have implemented certain measures intended to bring our assembly process in compliance with the Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive 2002/95/EC issued by the European Union. We believe that we have adopted adequate and effective environmental protection measures that are consistent with semiconductor industry practices in Taiwan and Mainland China. In addition, we believe we are in compliance in all material respects with current environmental laws and regulations applicable to our operations and facilities.

All of our facilities in Taiwan and Mainland China have been certified as meeting the ISO 14001 environmental standards of the International Organization for Standardization, and all of our facilities in Taiwan have been further certified as meeting the OHSAS18001 standards, of the International Organization for Standardization. Our testing facility at the Hsinchu Science Park won both the "Plant Greenery and Beautification Award" in 1999, 2000 and 2002 and the "Safety & Health Excellent Personnel Award" in 2001 from the Science Park Administration, the "Green Office Award" from the Environment Protection Administration of the ROC in 2000 and the "Outstanding Voluntary Protection Program Award" by the Labor Affairs Commission of the ROC in 1999. Our assembly facility at the Southern Taiwan Science Park won the "Green Office Award" from the Environment Protection Administration of the ROC in 2001. In 2003, we won several environmental awards, including the "Environmental Protection Excellent Unit Award," the "Plant Greenery and Beautification Award," the "Environment Maintain Award" and the "Safety & Health Excellent Personnel Award," each awarded by the Science Park Administration. We will continue to implement programs, measures and related training to reduce industrial waste, save energy and control pollution. In 2001, ChipMOS Taiwan completed a lead-free process control program, which offers a lead-free method in a semiconductor package, a lead-free plating, a lead-free solder ball and a lead-free reliability method and specification. In 2005, ChipMOS Shanghai completed a similar lead-free process control program.

Insurance

We maintain insurance policies on our buildings, equipment and inventories. These insurance policies cover property damages due to all risks, including but not limited to, fire, lightning and earthquakes. The maximum coverage of property insurance for ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin is approximately NT\$47,396 million and NT\$5,936 million, respectively. ChipMOS Shanghai also maintains property insurance policies for a maximum coverage of approximately RMB911 million.

Insurance coverage on facilities under construction is maintained by us and our contractors, who are obligated to procure necessary insurance policies and bear the relevant expenses of which we are the beneficiary.

We also maintain insurance on the wafers delivered to us while these wafers are in our possession and during transportation from suppliers to us and from us to our customers.

Table of Contents

Employees

See “Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Employees” for certain information relating to our employees.

Taxation

See “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—Taxation” for certain information regarding the effect of PRC and ROC tax regulations on our operations.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Overview

We provide a broad range of back-end testing services, including wafer probing and final testing of memory and mixed-signal semiconductors. We also offer a broad selection of leadframe-based and organic substrate-based package assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors. Our advanced leadframe-based packages include thin small outline packages, or TSOPs, and our advanced organic substrate-based packages include fine-pitch ball grid array, or fine-pitch BGA, packages. In addition, we provide gold bumping, testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors by employing TCP, COF and COG, technologies. In 2007, our consolidated net revenue was NT\$23,598 million (US\$728 million) and our net income was NT\$2,219 million (US\$68 million).

We are a holding company, incorporated in Bermuda on August 1, 2000. We provide most of our services through our wholly-owned subsidiary, ChipMOS Taiwan, and its subsidiaries and investees. ChipMOS Taiwan was incorporated in Taiwan in July 1997 as a joint venture company of Mosel and Siliconware Precision and with the participation of other investors. Following the completion of the share exchange transaction between our company and ChipMOS Taiwan on September 14, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan became a wholly-owned subsidiary of our company. In Taiwan, we conduct testing operations in our facilities at the Hsinchu Science Park and the Hsinchu Industrial Park, gold bumping and wafer testing in our facility at Chupei, and testing and assembly operations in our facility at the Southern Taiwan Science Park. We also conduct operations in Mainland China through ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind, which is one of our controlled consolidated subsidiaries. ChipMOS Shanghai operates a testing and assembly facility at the Qingpu Industrial Zone in Shanghai. Through our subsidiaries, we also have equity interests in other companies that are engaged in the semiconductor industry. See “Item 4. Information on the Company—Overview of the Company” for more details.

The following key trends are important to understanding our business:

Capital Intensive Nature of Our Business. Our operations, in particular our testing operations, are characterized by relatively high fixed costs. We expect to continue to incur substantial depreciation and other expenses as a result of our previous acquisitions of testing and assembly equipment and facilities. Our profitability depends in part not only on absolute pricing levels for our services, but also on capacity utilization rates for our testing and assembly equipment. In particular, increases or decreases in our capacity utilization rates could significantly affect our gross margins since the unit cost of testing and assembly services generally decreases as fixed costs are allocated over a larger number of units.

The current generation of advanced testers typically cost between US\$2 million and US\$5 million each, while wire bonders used in assembly typically cost approximately US\$65,000 each and inner-lead bonders for TCP and COF assembly cost approximately US\$300,000 each and COG chip sorters cost approximately US\$140,000 each. We begin depreciating our equipment when it is placed into commercial operation. There may be a time lag between the time when our equipment is placed into commercial operation and when it achieves high levels of utilization. In periods of depressed semiconductor industry conditions, we may experience lower than expected demand from our customers and a sharp decline in the average selling prices of our testing and assembly services, resulting in an increase in depreciation expenses relative to net revenue. In particular, the capacity utilization rates for our testing equipment may be severely affected during a semiconductor industry downturn as a result of the decrease in outsourcing demand from integrated device manufacturers, or IDMs, which typically maintain larger in-house testing capacity than in-house assembly capacity.

Highly Cyclical Nature of the Semiconductor Industry. Highly cyclical, the worldwide semiconductor industry has experienced peaks and troughs over the last decade, with a severe downturn beginning in the fourth quarter of 2000 that was followed by a recovery in early 2003. The significant decrease in market demand for semiconductors that began in 2000 adversely affected our results of operations for 2001 and 2002. Beginning in 2007, the semiconductor industry commenced another significant downturn which is still continuing. During periods of decreased demand for assembled semiconductors, some of our customers may forego, delay or simplify final testing of certain types of semiconductors, such as DRAM, which may further decrease demand and average selling prices for our services and intensify our difficulties.

Table of Contents

Declining Average Selling Prices of Our Testing and Assembly Services . The semiconductor industry is characterized by a general decrease in prices for products and services over the course of their product and technology life cycles. The rate of decline is particularly steep during periods of intense competition and adverse market conditions. The average selling prices of our testing and assembly services experienced sharp declines during such periods as a result of intense price competition from other independent testing and assembly companies that attempt to maintain high capacity utilization levels in the face of reduced demand.

To offset the effects of decreasing average selling prices, we will continue to seek to:

- improve production efficiency and maintain high capacity utilization rates;
- concentrate on testing of high-demand, high-growth semiconductors;
- develop new assembly technologies; and
- implement new technologies and platforms to shift into higher margin services.

Market Conditions for the End-User Applications for Semiconductors . Market conditions in the semiconductor industry, to a large degree, track those for their end-user applications. Any deterioration in the market conditions for the end-user applications of semiconductors that we test and assemble may reduce demand for our services and, in turn, materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Our net revenue is largely attributable to fees from testing and assembling semiconductors for use in personal computers, consumer and portable electronic products, display applications and communications equipment. The markets for these products are intensely competitive, and a significant decrease in demand could put pricing pressure on our testing and assembly services and negatively affect our earnings. The oversupply of DRAM products in the second half of 2007 and the weak demand in the DRAM market in the first quarter of 2008 resulted in significant reductions in the price of DRAM products, which in turn drove down the average selling prices for our testing and assembly services for DRAM products in the second half of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008.

Change in Product Mix . Declines in average selling prices have been partially offset over the last three years by a change in our revenue mix. In particular, revenue from testing and assembly of LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors and 12-inch wafer processing have increased as a percentage of our total net revenue. We intend to continue focusing on testing and assembling more semiconductors that provide higher margins and developing and offering new technologies in testing and assembly services, in order to mitigate the effects of declining average selling prices on our profitability.

Recent Acquisitions

On June 16, 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan and Chantek entered into a merger agreement, whereby Chantek agreed to be merged into ChipMOS Taiwan, with ChipMOS Taiwan as the surviving entity. Under the merger agreement, as amended on September 2, 2005, shareholders of Chantek (other than ChipMOS Taiwan) were entitled to elect to receive cash or ChipMOS Taiwan shares in exchange for their Chantek shares at the ratio of 3.6 to 1. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan paid NT\$81 million in cash and issued 6 million shares to Chantek shareholders pursuant to the merger agreement. The transaction closed on November 21, 2005.

On August 15, 2005, ThaiLin entered into a merger agreement with ChipMOS Logic, whereby ChipMOS Logic agreed to be merged into ThaiLin, with ThaiLin as surviving entity. Under the merger agreement, shareholders of ChipMOS Logic received one common share of ThaiLin in exchange for 2.8 common shares of ChipMOS Logic. The transaction closed on December 1, 2005, and as of March 31, 2008, ChipMOS Taiwan held a 38.2% interest in ThaiLin.

Table of Contents

On February 13, 2007, we entered into a share purchase and subscription agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision under which we and ChipMOS Taiwan agreed to purchase all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision agreed to subscribe for 12,174,998 of our newly issued common shares through a private placement. The transaction closed on March 27, 2007, and as of March 31, 2007, we held 99.1% of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Taiwan.

On April 12, 2007, we entered into a share exchange agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan pursuant to which we agreed to exchange one common share for every 8.4 ChipMOS Taiwan shares outstanding. The transaction closed on September 14, 2007, and we issued 604,124 common shares to the holders of the ChipMOS Taiwan common shares in exchange for their ChipMOS Taiwan shares, and we and ChipMOS Taiwan paid NT\$53 million in cash to purchase fractional shares and shares held by dissenting shareholders. Following the completion of the share exchange transaction on September 14, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Net Revenue

We conduct our business according to the following main business segments: (1) testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors; (2) assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors; and (3) LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our consolidated net revenue for each segment.

	Year ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	2007 US\$
	(in millions)			
Testing				
Memory	\$ 5,996.4	\$ 8,759.5	\$ 10,856.2	\$ 334.8
Mixed-signal	463.5	580.6	646.2	19.9
Total testing	6,459.9	9,340.1	11,502.4	354.7
Assembly				
Memory	5,166.4	6,240.2	7,576.0	233.6
Mixed-signal	489.5	349.4	523.6	16.1
Total assembly	5,655.9	6,589.6	8,099.6	249.7
LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly	3,098.2	4,445.5	3,995.6	123.2
Total	\$15,214.0	\$20,375.2	\$23,597.6	\$727.6

Our net revenue consists primarily of service fees for testing and assembling semiconductors, and to a lesser extent, fees from equipment rentals to semiconductor manufacturers for engineering testing, less allowances for product returns. We offer testing and assembly services for memory semiconductors, mixed-signal semiconductors and testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors.

Most of our customers do not place purchase orders far in advance and our contracts with customers generally do not require minimum purchases of our products or services. Our customers' purchase orders have varied significantly from period to period because demand for their products is often volatile. We have strategically entered into long-term capacity agreements with some of our customers. Under certain of those long-term agreements, we have agreed to reserve capacity for our customers and our customers have agreed to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity (which is subject in certain cases to reduction by the customers). As of March 31, 2008, approximately 25.7% of our total capacity was reserved under this type of agreement. Under certain other long-term agreements, we have agreed to install equipment or reserve capacity for our customers and our customers have undertaken to compensate us to a certain extent if they fail to sufficiently utilize the installed equipment or reserved capacity. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 18.5% of our total capacity was reserved under this type of agreement. As part of our strategy, we intend to enter into additional long-term capacity agreements in the future. Depending on customer demands, market conditions and other considerations, we may explore opportunities to expand our operations outside Taiwan and Mainland China in connection with future long-term capacity agreements.

Table of Contents

Our financial condition and results of operations have also been, and are likely to continue to be, affected by price pressures on our service fees, which tend to decline in tandem with the declining average selling prices of the products we test and assemble over the course of their product and technology life cycles. In order to maintain our margins, it is necessary to offset the fee erosion by continually improving our production efficiency and maintaining high capacity utilization rates. We also plan to continue to develop and implement new technologies and expand our services into potentially higher-margin segments. These efforts require significant up front investment in advance of incremental revenue, which could impact our margins.

Pricing

We price our testing fees primarily based on the cost of testing the products to our customers' specifications, including the costs of the required material and components, the depreciation expenses relating to the equipment involved and our overhead expenses, and with reference to prevailing market prices. Accordingly, the testing fee for a particular product would principally depend on the time taken to perform the tests, the complexity of the product and the testing process, and the cost of the equipment used to perform the test. For example, testing fees for memory semiconductors are significantly higher than those for other products because of the longer time required and the need for burn-in testing.

We price our assembly services on a per unit basis, taking into account the complexity of the package, our costs, including the costs of the required material and components, the depreciation expenses relating to the equipment involved and our overhead expenses, prevailing market conditions, the order size, the strength and history of our relationship with the customer and our capacity utilization.

We price our testing and assembly services for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors on the basis of our costs, including the costs of the required material and components, the depreciation expenses relating to the equipment involved and our overhead expenses, and the price for comparable services.

We offer volume discounts to all customers who purchase large quantities of our services and special discounts to customers who use our vertically integrated services. We also may offer special payment terms, including longer payment cycles, to key customers during downturns in the market so as to retain business from such key customers.

Revenue Recognition

We generally recognize our revenue upon shipment of tested and assembled semiconductors to locations designated by our customers, including our internal warehouse for customers using our warehousing services. Revenue from product sales is recognized when risks of ownership are transferred to customers, generally upon shipment of the products. We submit invoices at the time of shipment or delivery and generally require customers to pay within 60 days after the last day of the month during which the invoice was sent, except that we require ProMOS, our largest customer, to pay within 75 days. In addition, we extended the invoice settlement cycle for Spansion, our second largest customer, from 60 days to 90 days at their request, commencing in March 2008. We have not experienced any significant collection problems for our services, except for NT\$277 million (US\$9 million) of receivables from Ultima. We received from Ultima 4,250,000 and 4,190,000 shares of Ultima Technology Corp. (BVI) common stock on September 24 and December 18, 2004, respectively, as collateral for the outstanding receivables. We provided an allowance of NT\$194 million and NT\$83 million for these doubtful receivables in 2004 and 2005 respectively. These doubtful receivables were fully written off during 2006. In April 2007, we settled the civil proceedings related to these doubtful receivables with Ultima for NT\$700 thousand (US\$22 thousand) and recorded such amount as other income.

Table of Contents

In January 2008, at the request of ProMOS, we agreed to permit ProMOS to defer payment of aggregate service fees of NT\$450 million (US\$14 million) to February 15, 2009. These service fees were accounts payable to us as of December 31, 2007. The deferred service fees, which were paid in full by ProMOS in March and April 2008, bore interest at a rate of 4.69% per annum, and were recorded as long-term accounts receivables. See Note 18 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Currently all of the service fees payable to us by ProMOS are due within 75 days after the last day of the month during which our invoice was sent.

Related Party Revenues

In 2005, 2006 and 2007, 30%, 28% and 29%, respectively, of our net revenue were derived from related parties. While we believe that our transactions with related parties were entered into on an arm's length basis, we extended them favorable payment terms, as discussed in the preceding paragraph. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions" for more information concerning our related party transactions.

Geography and Currency

The majority of our net revenue is generated from customers headquartered in Taiwan, which represented 79%, 78% and 72% of our net revenue in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. We also generate net revenue from customers in the United States, Korea, Japan and other countries. Our service fees and revenue are generally denominated in the currency of the jurisdiction in which our facilities are located, for example NT dollars for our Taiwan operations and RMB for our Mainland China operations. As we generate most of our net revenue from Taiwanese customers using our Taiwanese operations, and since most of our labor and overhead costs are denominated in NT dollars, we consider the NT dollar to be our functional currency.

See Note 22 to our audited consolidated financial statements and "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk — Market Risks — Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risks" for certain information on our exchange rate risks.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit (Loss)

Our cost of revenue consists primarily of the following: depreciation and amortization expenses, raw material costs, and labor and overhead expenses, which primarily include expensable equipments, sub-contracting fees and rental expenses. Our operations, in particular our testing operations, are characterized by relatively high fixed costs. We expect to continue to incur substantial depreciation and other expenses as a result of our previous and future acquisitions of testing and assembly equipment and facilities, including our investment in our Mainland China operations. Our profitability depends in part not only on absolute pricing levels for our services, but also on our capacity utilization rates. As of March 31, 2008, we had 736 testers, 143 burn-in ovens, 572 wire bonders, 140 inner-lead bonders, three steppers and nine sputters. We use inner-lead bonders for the assembly of LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors using TCP or COF technology, and wire bonders for TSOP, BGA, and some other package assembly technologies. Our average capacity utilization rate for testing of memory and mixed-signal semiconductors was 81% in 2005, 86% in 2006 and 78% in 2007. Our average capacity utilization rate for assembly of memory and mixed-signal semiconductors was 79% in 2005, 75% in 2006 and 86% in 2007. In addition, our average capacity utilization rate for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly was 83% in 2005, 79% in 2006 and 71% in 2007.

Most of our labor and overhead costs are denominated in NT dollars. However, we also incur costs of revenues and operating expenses associated with testing and assembly services in several other currencies, including Japanese yen, US dollars and RMB. In addition, a substantial portion of our capital expenditures, primarily for the purchase of testing and assembly equipment, has been, and is expected to continue to be, denominated in Japanese yen with much of the remainder denominated in US dollars.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our gross profit (loss) and our gross profit (loss) margin as a percentage of net revenue.

	Year ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	2007 US\$
(in millions)				
Gross profit (loss):				
Testing				
Memory	\$2,186.6	\$3,779.3	\$4,200.6	\$129.5
Mixed-signal	(148.9)	(0.4)	174.4	5.4
Total testing	2,037.7	3,778.9	4,375.0	134.9
Assembly				
Memory	1,203.3	1,430.0	1,452.5	44.8
Mixed-signal	(158.5)	18.4	19.7	0.6
Total assembly	1,044.8	1,448.4	1,472.2	45.4
LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly	868.9	894.5	306.3	9.4
Total	\$3,951.4	\$6,121.8	\$6,153.5	\$189.7
Gross profit (loss) margin:				
Testing				
Memory	36.5%	43.1%	38.7%	38.7%
Mixed-signal	(32.1)	(0.1)	27.0	27.0
Total testing	31.6	40.5	38.0	38.0
Assembly				
Memory	23.3	22.9	19.2	19.2
Mixed-signal	(32.4)	5.3	3.8	3.8
Total assembly	18.5	22.0	18.2	18.2
LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly	28.0	20.1	7.7	7.7
Overall	26.0%	30.0%	26.1%	26.1%

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, amortization expenses relating to technology, expenditures to qualify our services for specific customers and other consulting fees and certification fees paid to third parties. Research and development expenses are recognized as they are incurred. We currently expect that research and development expenses will increase in the future as we continue to explore new technologies and service offerings. We also expect to hire additional employees in our research and development department.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of shipping and handling expenses incurred in delivering products to our customers' designated locations, advertising, corporate communications and other marketing expenses, salary expenses for sales and marketing personnel, sales commission, professional service fees, bad debt provision and service support expenses.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist of salaries and related expenses for executive, finance and accounting, and management information systems personnel, professional service fees, and other corporate expenses. They also include stock-based

Table of Contents

compensation that is expensed using the intrinsic value-based method. See “Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees—Share Option Plan and Share Appreciation Rights Plan” for more information concerning our share option plan. We expect general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute terms as we add personnel and incur additional expenses related to the growth of our business and operations, particularly our Mainland China operations.

Other Income (Expenses), Net

Our other income principally consists of interest income, foreign exchange gains, warehouse space rental revenue, gains on sale of investments, gains on disposal of property, plant and equipment, fair value gains on financial assets and gains on embedded derivative. In 2008, our other income may also include certain interest income, including the interest payable by Kolin under a loan repayable to ChipMOS Taiwan in March 2009 that bears interest at a rate of 4.69% per annum. The loan is secured by a pledge by Kolin of 11 million common shares of ThaiLin. See “Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions—Related Party Transactions—ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp.” Our other expenses principally consist of interest expense, investment losses recognized by equity method, fair value loss on financial assets, financing costs, impairment losses, losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment, loss on redemption of convertible notes and foreign exchange losses.

Minority Interests and Interest in Bonuses Paid by Subsidiaries

Minority interests represent the portion of our income that is attributable to the shareholding in our consolidated subsidiaries that we do not own. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, our minority interests were attributable to the minority interests owned by Siliconware Precision and other investors in ChipMOS Taiwan prior to the completion of the share exchange transaction between ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan on September 14, 2007, and the public shareholders’ interest in ThaiLin. In 2005, minority interests also included the portion of our income attributable to the shareholdings in ChipMOS Logic and Chantek that we did not own before ChipMOS Logic was merged into ThaiLin on December 1, 2005 and Chantek was merged into ChipMOS Taiwan on November 21, 2005. Since ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary as a result of the completion of the share exchange transaction we entered into with ChipMOS Taiwan, we expect minority interests to be substantially less in future periods.

Interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries represents our portion of ChipMOS Taiwan’s and ThaiLin’s distributable earnings that are appropriated as bonuses to employees and remuneration to directors and supervisors of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin, as required by ROC regulations and ChipMOS Taiwan’s and ThaiLin’s articles of incorporation. ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin paid bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees of NT\$166 million and NT\$57 million, respectively, in 2005, NT\$242 million and NT\$74 million, respectively, in 2006, and NT\$391 million (US\$12 million) and NT\$82 million (US\$3 million), respectively, in 2007. Please see “— US GAAP Reconciliation” for a discussion of the significant impact such bonuses had on our net income under US GAAP.

Net Income

Our net income was NT\$928 million, NT\$2,121 million and NT\$2,219 million (US\$68 million) in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. We believe our future results will be dependent upon the overall economic conditions in the markets we serve, the competitive environment in which we operate, and our ability to successfully implement our strategy, among other things. For additional information on factors that will affect our future performance, see “Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors.”

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table presents selected operating data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
ROC GAAP:			
Net revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenue	74.0	70.0	73.9
Gross profit margin	26.0	30.0	26.1
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	1.8	1.3	1.4
Sales and marketing	1.6	0.5	0.4
General and administrative	5.2	4.0	4.5
Total operating expenses	8.6	5.8	6.3
Income from operations	17.4	24.2	19.8
Other expenses, net	(3.3)	(1.1)	(2.8)
Income before income tax, minority interests and interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	14.1	23.1	17.0
Income tax expense	(0.7)	(3.1)	(3.3)
Income before minority interests and interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries	13.4	20.0	13.7
Minority interests	(6.4)	(8.8)	(3.1)
Interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	(0.9)	(0.8)	(1.2)
Net income	6.1%	10.4%	9.4%

(1) Refers to bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees.

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2006

Net Revenue . Our net revenue increased by NT\$3,223 million, or 16%, to NT\$23,598 million (US\$728 million) in 2007 from NT\$20,375 million in 2006.

Net revenue from testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors increased by NT\$2,162 million, or 23%, to NT\$11,502 million (US\$355 million) in 2007 from NT\$9,340 million in 2006, mainly due to an increase in net revenue from testing services for memory semiconductor. Net revenue from testing services for memory semiconductors increased by NT\$2,096 million, or 24%, to NT\$10,856 million (US\$335 million) in 2007 from NT\$8,760 million in 2006, principally due to increased capacity, which was partially offset by lower average selling prices for our DRAM products and decreased capacity utilization rates. Net revenue from testing services for mixed-signal semiconductors increased by NT\$65 million, or 11%, to NT\$646 million (US\$20 million) in 2007 from NT\$581 million in 2006, principally due to increased capacity and increased capacity utilization rates.

Net revenue from assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors, which includes revenue from assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors and revenue from our memory module manufacturing business, increased by NT\$1,510 million, or 23%, to NT\$8,100 million (US\$250 million) in 2007 from NT\$6,590 million in 2006. This increase was primarily the result of an increase in net revenue from assembly services for memory semiconductors. Net revenue from assembly services for memory semiconductors increased by NT\$1,336 million, or 21%, to NT\$7,576 million (US\$234 million) in 2007 from NT\$6,240 million in 2006, primarily as a result of increased capacity and increased sales of products with higher average selling prices, such as certain BGA packages for DDR II SDRAM products. Net revenue from assembly services for mixed-signal semiconductors increased by NT\$175 million, or 50%, to NT\$524 million (US\$16 million) in 2007 from NT\$349 million in 2006, principally as a result of the increased capacity utilization rate that resulted from higher customer demand, particularly in the third quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents

Net revenue from LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services decreased by NT\$450 million, or 10%, to NT\$3,996 million (US\$123 million) in 2007 from NT\$4,446 million in 2006. This decrease was principally as a result of the weak demand for LCD and other flat-panel display products in 2007, which in turn led to decreased capacity utilization rates as well as decreased average selling prices for our services.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin . Cost of revenue increased by NT\$3,191 million, or 22%, to NT\$17,444 million (US\$538 million) in 2007 from NT\$14,253 million in 2006, primarily due to the increased overhead expenses of NT\$2,342 million (US\$72 million). Overhead expenses increased principally as a result of an increase in depreciation expenses, an increase in rental expenses, as well as an increase in re-tooling and equipment spare part expenses that resulted from our change in product mix.

Our gross profit increased to NT\$6,154 million (US\$190 million) in 2007 from NT\$6,122 million in 2006. Our gross margin was 26% in 2007, compared to 30% in 2006.

Our gross margin for testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors decreased to 38% in 2007 from 41% in 2006, primarily due to a lower capacity utilization rate, which decreased to 78% in 2007 from 86% in 2006.

Our gross margin for assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors decreased to 18% in 2007 from 22% in 2006, mainly due to a pricing pressure resulted from the decreasing price of end products and the change of our TSOP product mix.

Our gross margin for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services decreased significantly to 8% in 2007 from 20% in 2006, primarily as a result of a decrease in capacity utilization rate from 79% in 2006 to 71% in 2007 as well as decreased average selling prices for our services.

Research and Development Expenses . Research and development expenses increased by NT\$47 million, or 17%, to NT\$322 million (US\$10 million) in 2007 from NT\$275 million in 2006. This increase was primarily due to higher salary expenses associated with an increase in research and development personnel. We currently expect our research and development expenses will increase in the future due to our focus on research and development projects relating to advanced applications, such as multi-chip packages for DDR III SDRAM, low temperature assembly technologies for LCD driver IC, fine pitch LCD driver IC testing and assembly technologies, and radio frequency identification (RFID) logistics management system implementation.

General and Administrative Expenses . General and administrative expenses increased by NT\$257 million, or 32%, to NT\$1,070 million (US\$33 million) in 2007 from NT\$813 million in 2006, primarily due to higher salary expenses that resulted from an increase in general and administrative personnel, as well as increased utilities expenses.

Sales and Marketing Expenses . Sales and marketing expenses decreased by NT\$9 million, or 8%, to NT\$98 million (US\$3 million) in 2007 from NT\$107 million in 2006, primarily due to a decrease of NT\$11 million (US\$339 thousand) in commission expenses. The decrease in commission expenses was mainly a result of our strategy to focus on increasing sales to key customers through long-term agreements.

Other Expenses, Net . Other expenses, net increased by NT\$446 million, or 200%, to NT\$669 million (US\$21 million) in 2007 from NT\$223 million in 2006. This increase was primarily due to the increase in our interest expenses to NT\$865 million (US\$27 million) in 2007 from NT\$399 million in 2006. The significant increase in interest expenses in 2007 was primarily due to the full-year effect of interest on the 2006 notes.

Table of Contents

Income Before Income Tax, Minority Interests and Interest in Bonuses to Directors, Supervisors and Employees Paid by Subsidiaries . As a result of the foregoing, income before income tax, minority interests and interest in bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees paid by subsidiaries decreased by 15% to NT\$3,993 million (US\$123 million) in 2007 from NT\$4,703 million in 2006.

Income Taxes . Income tax expenses increased by NT\$132 million, or 21%, to NT\$768 million (US\$24 million) in 2007 from NT\$636 million in 2006, primarily due to a significant decrease in loss carry forwards and income tax credits.

Minority Interests . Minority interests decreased by NT\$1,079 million, or 60%, to NT\$720 million (US\$22 million) in 2007 from NT\$1,799 million in 2006, primarily as a result of ChipMOS Taiwan becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary in September 2007.

Interest in Bonuses paid by subsidiaries. Interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries increased by 92% to NT\$286 million (US\$9 million) in 2007 from NT\$149 million in 2006 mainly due to increase in bonuses paid by ChipMOS Taiwan and the increase in our share of such bonus, as ChipMOS Taiwan became a wholly-owned subsidiary in 2007.

Net Income . As a result of the foregoing, our net income increased by NT\$98 million to NT\$2,219 million (US\$68 million) in 2007 from NT\$2,121 million in 2006.

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005

Net Revenue . Our net revenue increased by NT\$5,161 million, or 34%, to NT\$20,375 million in 2006 from NT\$15,214 million in 2005.

Net revenue from testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors increased by NT\$2,880 million, or 45%, to NT\$9,340 million in 2006 from NT\$6,460 million in 2005, mainly due to an increase in net revenue from testing services for memory semiconductors. Net revenue from testing services for memory semiconductors increased by NT\$2,764 million, or 46%, to NT\$8,760 million in 2006 from NT\$5,996 million in 2005, principally due to capacity expansion and increased capacity utilization rates for our wafer testing and final testing services for DRAM and flash products.

Net revenue from assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors, which includes revenue from assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors and revenue from our memory module manufacturing business, increased by NT\$934 million, or 17%, to NT\$6,590 million in 2006 from NT\$5,656 million in 2005. This increase was primarily the result of an increase in net revenue from assembly services for memory semiconductors, partially offset by a decrease in net revenue from assembly services for mixed-signal semiconductors. Net revenue from assembly services for memory semiconductors increased by NT\$1,074 million, or 21%, to NT\$6,240 million in 2006 from NT\$5,166 million in 2005, primarily as a result of capacity expansion and increased sales of products with higher average selling prices, such as DDR II SDRAM products, which were partially offset by a decrease in net revenue from memory module manufacturing services. Net revenue from assembly services for mixed-signal semiconductors decreased by NT\$141 million, or 29%, to NT\$349 million in 2006 from NT\$490 million in 2005, principally because we reduced the volume of certain lower margin services in connection with the merger of Chantek into ChipMOS Taiwan.

Net revenue from LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services increased by NT\$1,348 million, or 44%, to NT\$4,446 million in 2006 from NT\$3,098 million in 2005. This increase was principally due to the increase in TCP, COF and COG products that resulted from our capacity expansion and slightly increased capacity utilization rates. The increase in net revenue from LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services was also a result of an increase in average selling prices for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor products.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin . Cost of revenue increased by NT\$2,990 million, or 27%, to NT\$14,253 million in 2006 from NT\$11,263 million in 2005, primarily due to an increase of NT\$2,208 million in overhead expenses. Overhead expenses increased principally as a result of an increase in depreciation expenses and an increase in expenses related to tooling and equipment spare parts.

Our gross profit increased to NT\$6,122 million in 2006 from NT\$3,951 million in 2005. Our gross margin was 30% in 2006, compared to 26% in 2005.

Our gross margin for testing services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors increased to 41% in 2006 from 32% in 2005, primarily due to a higher capacity utilization rate, which increased to 86% in 2006 from 81% in 2005.

Our gross margin for assembly services for memory and mixed-signal semiconductors increased to 22% in 2006 from 19% in 2005. This increase was primarily due to increased sales of higher margin memory semiconductor assembly services, such as fine-pitch BGA package for DDR II SDRAM.

Our gross margin for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services decreased to 20% in 2006 from 28% in 2005, primarily due to a lower capacity utilization rate. The lower capacity utilization rate principally resulted from lower customer demand for our LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services, particularly in the second quarter of 2006.

Research and Development Expenses . Research and development expenses increased by NT\$1 million, or 0.4%, to NT\$275 million in 2006 from NT\$274 million in 2005. This increase was primarily due to the net effect of an increase in professional services fees and a decrease in salary expenses.

Sales and Marketing Expenses . Sales and marketing expenses decreased by NT\$126 million, or 54%, to NT\$107 million in 2006 from NT\$233 million in 2005. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of NT\$117 million in bad debt provisions.

General and Administrative Expenses . General and administrative expenses increased by NT\$20 million, or 3%, to NT\$813 million in 2006 from NT\$793 million in 2005, primarily due to bank fees associated with the NT\$3 billion syndicated loan facility that we obtained in February 2006.

Other Expenses, Net . Other expenses, net decreased by NT\$284 million, or 56%, to NT\$223 million in 2006 from NT\$507 million in 2005. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in impairment loss on property, plant and equipment and other assets, a decrease in impairment loss on long-term investment and a decrease in investment loss recognized by the equity method, which were partially offset by an increase in interest expense.

Income Before Income Tax, Minority Interests and Interest in Bonuses to Directors, Supervisors and Employees Paid by Subsidiaries. As a result of the foregoing, income before income tax, minority interests and interest in bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees paid by subsidiaries increased by 119% to NT\$4,703 million in 2006 from NT\$2,144 million in 2005.

Income Taxes . Income tax expense increased by NT\$525 million, or 469%, to NT\$637 million in 2006 from NT\$112 million in 2005, primarily due to a significant increase in income before income tax, minority interests and interest in bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees paid by subsidiaries and a significant decrease in losses carried forward.

Minority Interests . Minority interests increased by NT\$822 million, or 84%, to NT\$1,799 million in 2006 from NT\$977 million in 2005, primarily due to the increased income of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin.

Net Income . As a result of the foregoing, our net income increased by NT\$1,193 million to NT\$2,121 million in 2006 from NT\$928 million in 2005.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with ROC GAAP. Under ROC GAAP, we are required to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time those estimates, judgments and assumptions are made, and our financial condition or results of operations may be materially impacted if we use different but nonetheless reasonable estimates, judgments or assumptions about those matters for that particular period or if we change our estimates, judgments or assumptions from period to period.

Under ROC GAAP, the significant accounting policies are set forth in Note 2 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. The significant accounting policies that require us to make estimates and assumptions about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain are discussed below. In connection with the reconciliation of our consolidated financial statements to US GAAP, there are no additional accounting policies that we believe are critical to us except as described below under “— Convertible Notes” and “— Share-Based Compensation.”

Allowance for Doubtful Receivables and Sales Returns

Our accounts receivable balance on our balance sheet is affected by our allowances for doubtful accounts and sales returns, which reflect our estimate of the expected amount of the receivables that we will not be able to collect and our estimate of the expected amount of sales returns.

Our determination of the allowance for doubtful receivables is based on our determination of two different types of reserves. The first type of reserve involves an individual examination of available information regarding any customer that we have reason to believe may have an inability to meet its financial obligations. For these customers, we use our judgment, based on the available facts and circumstances, and record a specific reserve for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific reserves are reevaluated and adjusted as additional information is received. The second type of reserve is a general reserve established for all customers based on a range of percentages applied to aging categories. These percentages are based on historical collection and write-off experience. If circumstances change, our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due to us could be reduced by a material amount. As of December 31, 2007, we provided NT\$14 million (US\$432 thousand) for the first type of reserve and NT\$33 million (US\$1 million) for the second type of reserve. As we extended the invoice settlement cycle for Spansion, our second largest customer, from 60 days to 90 days in March 2008, our allowance for doubtful receivables may increase in the future.

Our determination of the allowances for sales returns as of the end of any quarter is based upon calculating an average historical return rate, usually based on the previous three quarters, and multiplying this by the revenue of that quarter. As of December 31, 2007, we provided NT\$213 million (US\$7 million) for the allowance of sales returns.

The allowance we set aside for doubtful receivables and sales returns was NT\$401 million as of December 31, 2005, NT\$161 million as of December 31, 2006 and NT\$260 million (US\$8 million) as of December 31, 2007. The allowances as of December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 represented 9%, 3% and 5%, respectively, of our accounts receivable and other receivables as of those dates. The allowance in 2005, 2006 and 2007 reflected a reduction of NT\$118 million, NT\$884 thousand and NT\$5 million (US\$154 thousand), respectively, in accounts receivable that was charged to marketing expenses. If we were to change our estimate of the allowance for doubtful receivables and sales returns either upward or downward 10%, our operating income would be affected by NT\$6 million (US\$185 thousand) for 2007.

An increase in our allowance for doubtful receivables and sales returns would decrease our recorded revenue and our current assets.

Table of Contents

Inventory Valuation

We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market value. Market value represents net realizable value for finished goods and work in process and replacement value for raw materials. We use the standard cost method to determine the cost of our inventories, adjusted to approximate weighted-average cost at the end of the period. We periodically evaluate the composition of our inventory and identify slow-moving inventories. Inventory items identified as slow-moving are evaluated to determine whether reserves are required.

In 2005, we did not record any inventory valuation allowance because the market price of our inventory was higher than cost in 2005. In 2006 and 2007, we reserved NT\$20 million and NT\$8 million (US\$247 thousand) of inventory valuation allowance, primarily due to the market price of tested and assembled DRAM and SDRAM inventory was below historical cost. In addition, we reserved NT\$94 million in 2005, NT\$88 million in 2006 and NT\$56 million (US\$2 million) in 2007 for identified slow-moving inventories.

As of December 31, 2007, we recorded NT\$64 million (US\$2 million) of inventory valuation allowances. If the prevailing market price of our testing and assembly services had been 10% lower, we would have been required to recognize a valuation allowance of approximately NT\$64 million (US\$2 million) in 2007. A valuation allowance of NT\$64 million in 2007 would have decreased our inventory value and net income by 6.1% and 2.9%, respectively.

Valuation Allowance for Deferred Tax Assets

When we have net operating loss carry forwards, investment tax credits or temporary differences in the amount of tax recorded for tax purposes and accounting purposes, we may be able to reduce the amount of tax that we would otherwise be required to pay in future periods. We recognize all existing future tax benefits arising from these tax attributes as deferred tax assets and then, based on our internal estimates of our future profits, establish a valuation allowance equal to the extent, if any, that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. We record an income tax expense or benefit in our statement of operations when there is a net change in our total deferred tax assets and liabilities in a period. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets depends upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the net operating losses and temporary differences become deductible or the investment tax credits may be utilized. Specifically, our valuation allowances are impacted by our expected future revenue growth and profitability, tax holidays, alternative minimum tax, and the amount of tax credits that can be utilized within the statutory period. In determining the amount of valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2007, we considered past performance, the general outlook of the semiconductor industry, future taxable income and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies.

Because the determination of the amount of valuation allowance is based, in part, on our forecast of future profitability, it is inherently uncertain and subjective. Changes in market conditions and our assumptions may cause the actual future profitability to differ materially from our current expectation, which may require us to increase or decrease the amount of valuation allowance that we have recorded. Because our expectation for future profitability is generally less during periods of reduced revenue, we will be more likely to provide significant valuation allowances with respect to deferred tax assets during those periods of already reduced income.

As of December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, the ending balance for valuation allowances were NT\$794 million, NT\$1,079 million and NT\$834 million (US\$26 million), respectively.

Impairment Loss of Long-Lived Assets

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations if events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount of those items. Assumptions about the carrying value of the long-lived assets require significant judgment on our expected cash flow. Our cash flow estimates are based on historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions. The net carrying value of assets not recoverable is reduced to fair value. Our management periodically reviews the carrying value of our long-lived assets and this review is based upon our projections of anticipated future cash flows. Based on the assessment of our management, in 2007, we recognized NT\$9 million (US\$278 thousand) of impairment loss for long-term investments. While we believe that our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding such cash flows could materially affect our evaluations.

Table of Contents

In determining whether any impairment charges were necessary as of December 31, 2007, we have assumed that the semiconductor industry will continue its growth in the next few years. Based upon our assumption of growth in the semiconductor industry and our other assumptions in our internal budget, for the purpose of determining whether any impairment charges are necessary as of December 31, 2007, we estimate that our future cash flows, on an undiscounted basis, are greater than our long-lived assets of NT\$30,919 million (US\$953 million) as of December 31, 2007. Any increases in estimated future cash flows would have no impact on the reported value of the long-lived assets. In contrast, if our current estimate of future cash flows had decreased, those cash flows would have been less than the reported amount of long-lived assets, and we would have been required to recognize an impairment loss that would have significantly decreased our net income before taxes in 2007.

Goodwill

Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price paid for an acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the acquired net identified tangible and intangible assets. Under US GAAP, and effective on January 1, 2005 under ROC GAAP, we assess the impairment of goodwill on an annual basis, or more frequently whenever triggering events or changes in circumstances indicated that goodwill may be impaired and its carrying value may not be recoverable. Moreover, effective on January 1, 2006, goodwill is no longer amortizable under ROC GAAP. Factors we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include, without limitation, the following:

- a significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and
- a significant decline in our market capitalization relative to net book value.

Application of the goodwill impairment test is highly subjective and requires significant judgment, including the identification of cash generating units, assigning assets and liabilities to the relevant cash generating units, assigning goodwill to the relevant cash generating units, and determining the fair value of the relevant cash generating units. Under ROC GAAP, the fair value of the cash generating units is compared to the associated carrying value including goodwill, while under US GAAP, the fair value of the reporting units is compared to the associated carrying value including goodwill.

Under ROC GAAP, goodwill recorded from the acquisition of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis. Based on our most recent evaluation, the fair value calculated by using the projected cash flow in the next five years was higher than the associated carrying value. As a result, we did not record any impairment charge under ROC GAAP. Under US GAAP, goodwill recorded from the acquisition of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin is evaluated for impairment on an annual basis. Based on our most recent evaluation, the fair value calculated by using the projected cash flow in the next five years was higher than the associated carrying value. As a result, we also did not record any impairment charge under US GAAP.

As of December 31, 2007, goodwill amounted to NT\$917 million (US\$28 million) and NT\$969 million (US\$30 million) under ROC GAAP and US GAAP, respectively.

Convertible Notes

Under US GAAP, we are required to account for the conversion option in the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes as derivative liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 133 "Accounting For Derivative Instruments And Hedging Activities" and Emerging Interpretation Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-19 "Accounting For Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To And Potentially Settled In A Company's Own Stock." The discount attributable to the issuance date aggregate fair value of the conversion option, totaling NT\$1,199 million (US\$37 million), is amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes.

Table of Contents

The change in fair value on revaluation of the embedded derivative liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities at the beginning of the reporting period and their fair value at the end of the reporting period. We are required to record the change in fair value as a loss or gain on embedded derivative liabilities in determining our net income under US GAAP. As of December 31, 2007, the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities amounted to NT\$204 million (US\$6 million) which resulted in a gain on embedded derivative liabilities of NT\$1,157 million (US\$36 million). These gains and losses were taken into account when determining our net income under US GAAP for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The fair value of the embedded derivative is determined using an option pricing model, which requires us to make various assumptions, including among others, the expected volatility of our stock over the life of the option, market interest rates, credit spread and the expected life of the option. In determining these input assumptions, we consider historical trends and other relevant factors which may change from period to period. Because the option pricing model is sensitive to change in the input assumptions, different determinations of the required inputs may result in different fair value estimates of the options.

Under ROC GAAP, we are required to bifurcate and separately account for embedded put and call option features contained in our convertible notes issued after 2005 in accordance with SFAS No. 34 “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement”. We issued the 2006 notes in September 2006 and carried the embedded put and call option features of the 2006 notes on the balance sheet at fair value with gains and losses reflected in our earnings. For more information, see Notes 24p and 25i to our audited consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Share-Based Compensation

Under US GAAP, we are required to account for our employee share option plans under the fair-value-based method and to recognize share-based compensation arrangements as expenses in the consolidated statements of operations, in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) “Share-Based Payments,” which became effective for the first interim period beginning after December 15, 2005. The determination of the fair value of our share options on the date of grant under the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model is affected by the price of our common shares and assumptions of a number of variables, including the risk-free interest rate, the expected life of the options, the estimated fair value of our common shares and the expected price volatility of our common shares over the term of the options. In 2007, the share-based compensation expense amounted to NT\$211 million (US\$7 million), which was taken into account when determining our net income and shareholders’ equity under US GAAP for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Prior to adopting SFAS No. 123(R), share-based compensation arrangements were accounted for under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, which utilized an intrinsic value approach to recognizing compensation expense. Under ROC GAAP, we continue to account for our share-based compensation arrangements under the intrinsic value method. For more information, see Notes 24q and 25h to our audited consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Senior Management’s Discussion with the Audit Committee

Our management has discussed the critical accounting policies described above with the audit committee of our board of directors and the audit committee has reviewed our disclosure relating to the critical accounting policies in this section.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have funded our operations and growth primarily through the issuance of equity, a mixture of short- and long-term loans and cash flow from operations. As of December 31, 2007, our primary sources of liquidity were cash and cash equivalents (excluding restricted cash and cash equivalents) of NT\$5,134 million (US\$158 million), short-term loans of NT\$12,095 million (US\$373 million) available to us in undrawn facilities, which have expired or will expire before December 2008, and long-term loans of NT\$6,740 million (US\$208 million) available to us in undrawn facilities, which have expired or will expire before December 2013.

Table of Contents

Liquidity

The following table sets forth our cash flows with respect to operating activities, investing activities, financing activities and the effect of exchange rate changes on cash for the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	2007 US\$
	(in millions)			
Net cash provided by (used in):				
Operating activities	\$ 8,822.6	\$ 7,316.4	\$ 10,882.9	\$ 335.6
Investing activities	(7,622.5)	(14,988.2)	(12,212.1)	(376.6)
Financing activities	(1,519.9)	8,947.9	528.1	16.3
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	77.7	12.8	38.8	1.2
Net increase (decrease) in cash	<u>\$ (242.1)</u>	<u>\$ 1,288.9</u>	<u>\$ (762.3)</u>	<u>\$ (23.5)</u>

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled NT\$10,883 million (US\$336 million) in 2007, compared to NT\$7,316 million in 2006. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities primarily reflected a decrease in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss and an increase in depreciation expenses, which were partially offset by a decrease in minority interests. The decrease in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss was NT\$1,289 million (US\$40 million) in 2007, compared to an increase in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss of NT\$1,743 million in 2006. The decrease in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss in 2007 primarily resulted from a decrease in our holding of investment funds, as well as a decrease in the value of such holdings. Depreciation expenses increased to NT\$6,719 million (US\$207 million) in 2007 from NT\$5,489 million in 2006, primarily the result of additional equipment acquired in connection with our capacity expansion. Minority interests were NT\$720 million (US\$22 million) in 2007 compared to NT\$1,799 million in 2006, mainly because ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary in 2007 as a result of the completion of our share purchase and subscription transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision and our share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled NT\$7,316 million in 2006, compared to NT\$8,823 million in 2005. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities primarily reflected an increase in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss, which was NT\$1,743 million in 2006, compared to a decrease in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss of NT\$2,646 million in 2005. The increase in financial assets held at fair value through profit and loss in 2006 primarily resulted from an increase in our holdings of investment funds, as well as an increase in the value of such holdings. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was also due to an increase in accounts receivable of NT\$1,118 million in 2006, compared to an increase in accounts receivables of NT\$713 million in 2005. The increase in accounts receivable in 2006 was primarily the result of increased sales. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was partially offset by an increase in net income, which was NT\$2,121 million in 2006 compared to NT\$928 million in 2005, as well as an increase in depreciation expenses, which was NT\$5,489 million in 2006 compared to NT\$4,241 million in 2005. The increase in depreciation expenses was primarily the result of additional equipment acquired in connection with our capacity expansion program.

Table of Contents

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities totaled NT\$12,212 million (US\$377 million) in 2007, compared to NT\$14,988 million in 2006. The decrease in net cash used in investing activities was primarily the result of a decrease in capital expenditures, which was partially offset by an increase in cash payments incurred in connection with acquisitions of subsidiaries. Capital expenditures were NT\$6,633 million (US\$205 million) in 2007, compared to NT\$15,190 million in 2006. We incurred significantly higher capital expenditures in 2006 primarily due to the capacity expansion for our agreement with Spansion. Cash payments incurred in connection with acquisitions of subsidiaries, which were NT\$5,305 million (US\$164 million) in 2007 compared to nil in 2006, related primarily to our acquisition of Siliconware Precision's shares of ChipMOS Taiwan in March 2007 and our share exchange transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan on September 14, 2007. See "Item 4. Information on the Company – Our Structure and History."

Net cash used in investing activities totaled NT\$14,988 million in 2006, compared to NT\$7,623 million in 2005. The increase in net cash used in investing activities was primarily the result of an increase in capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were NT\$15,190 million in 2006, compared to NT\$7,651 million in 2005, primarily due to the acquisition of equipment required under our agreement with Spansion, as well as capacity expansion for our testing and assembly services for DDR II SDRAM and LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled NT\$528 million (US\$16 million) in 2007, compared to NT\$8,948 million in 2006. Net cash provided by financing activities reflected the proceeds from new long-term loans of NT\$172 million (US\$5 million) in 2007, compared to the proceeds from long-term loans of NT\$6,279 million in 2006. In addition, net cash provided by financing activities in 2006 was significantly higher partly due to the proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 notes of NT\$3,191 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled NT\$8,948 million in 2006, compared to net cash used in financing activities of NT\$1,520 million in 2005. Net cash provided by financing activities primarily reflected the proceeds from long-term loans of NT\$6,279 million and the proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 notes of NT\$3,191 million.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2007 for the periods indicated:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total NT\$	Less than 1 year NT\$	2-3 years NT\$ (in millions)	4-5 years NT\$	More than 5 years NT\$
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	\$19,315.9	\$ 7,455.5 ⁽³⁾	\$ 9,410.7	\$2,285.5	\$ 164.2
Short-term loans ⁽¹⁾	1,261.1	1,261.1	—	—	—
Operating leases	3,687.7	1,426.3	2,025.8	49.4	186.2
Capital commitments	834.2	834.2	—	—	—
Investment ⁽²⁾	4,135.0	4,135.0	—	—	—
Total contractual cash obligations	\$29,233.9	\$15,112.1	\$11,436.5	\$2,334.9	\$ 350.4

(1) Includes interest payments. Assumes level of relevant interest rates remains at December 31, 2007 level throughout all relevant periods.

Table of Contents

- (2) Represents Modern Mind's outstanding commitment to make equity investments of US\$127.5 million in ChipMOS Shanghai. In May 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai received a written consent-in-principle from the relevant PRC regulatory authority to decrease the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai from US\$250 million to US\$130 million. If we obtain the final approval for the decrease in the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai, Modern Mind's obligation for contribution of the unpaid registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai would be reduced from US\$127.5 million to US\$7.5 million. See "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD."
- (3) Includes potential obligation in connection with the right of the holders of the 2006 notes to cause ChipMOS Bermuda to repurchase the 2006 notes on September 29, 2008 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus any accrued but unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the date of repurchase. If the holders of the 2006 notes do not exercise the right to cause the repurchase of the convertible notes, the notes will be due in 2011 subject to the terms and conditions of the notes. See "— Capital Resources."

In addition to the commitments set forth in the contractual obligations table above, we have certain outstanding purchase orders relating to the procurement of raw materials for which there are no definite delivery dates or deadlines.

Capital Resources

Capital expenditures in 2005 were funded by NT\$8,823 million in cash flows from operations. Capital expenditures in 2006 were funded by NT\$7,316 million in cash flows from operating activities and NT\$8,948 million in cash flows from financing activities, including proceeds from long-term debt facilities and the issuance of the 2006 notes. Capital expenditures in 2007 were funded by NT\$10,883 million (US\$336 million) in cash flows from operating activities and NT\$528 million (US\$16 million) in cash flows from financing activities, mainly comprising new bank borrowings.

We have budgeted capital expenditures of approximately US\$132 million for 2008 and US\$150 million for 2009. Our budgeted capital expenditures for 2008 include our currently anticipated capital expenditures to purchase equipment under our long-term customer agreements. See "Item 3. Key Information – Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—We are required to make significant capital expenditures in connection with our long-term customer agreements, and our business and results of operations would be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain sufficient funding or if our customers do not fulfill their purchase commitments." In June 2007, we obtained syndicated loan facilities of NT\$6,000 million (US\$185 million) from banks in Taiwan to fund our acquisition of new testing and assembly equipment. We anticipate, subject to market conditions, issuing additional debt, convertible debt or equity securities and raising short- or long-term borrowings to fund our capital expenditures.

Our budgeted capital expenditures for 2008 also include capital expenditures by ChipMOS Shanghai for its semiconductor testing and assembly services. ChipMOS Shanghai also has an outstanding unpaid registered capital of US\$127.5 million required to be fully paid by December 7, 2008. In May 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai received a written consent-in-principle from the relevant PRC regulatory authority to decrease the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai from US\$250 million to US\$130 million in response to an application by us in March 2008. If we obtain the final approval for the decrease in the registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai, Modern Mind's obligation for contribution of the unpaid registered capital of ChipMOS Shanghai would be reduced from US\$127.5 million to US\$7.5 million. There is no assurance, however, that we will obtain the final approval. See "Item 3. Key Information – Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—If Modern Mind fails to invest an additional US\$127.5 million into ChipMOS Shanghai by December 7, 2008, ChipMOS Shanghai's business license may become automatically void and ChipMOS Shanghai may have to be liquidated, which could hurt our growth prospects and potential future profitability." If we fail to obtain such approval, we plan to fund the payment of the remaining capital requirement by issuing additional debt or equity securities or obtaining long-term borrowings.

On September 29, 2008, the holders of the 2006 notes have the right to require us to repurchase the 2006 notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus any accrued but unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the date of repurchase. We intend to fund our repurchase obligations with dividends from our subsidiaries and the issuance of additional debt or equity securities or long-term borrowings.

As of December 31, 2007, we had long-term loans of NT\$12,934 million (US\$399 million) (including current portions of such long-term loans of NT\$3,686 million (US\$114 million)). As of December 31, 2007, NT\$9,668 million (US\$298 million) of our long-term loans were collateralized by equipment, NT\$1,994 million (US\$61 million) were collateralized by land and buildings (including land use rights) and NT\$16 million (US\$493 thousand) were collateralized by time deposits. Of our long-term loans, in the aggregate:

- NT\$11,924 million (US\$368 million) were floating rate loans with a rate between 2.945% and 4.58% as of December 31, 2007 repayable quarterly, semi-annually or totally until December 2013;

Table of Contents

- NT\$316 million (US\$10 million) were fixed rate loans with a rate between 1% and 4.69% as of December 31, 2007 repayable quarterly until April 2010; and
- US\$21 million (NT\$694 million) were floating rate loans with a rate between 5.5175% and 6.3725% as of December 31, 2007 repayable quarterly, or totally until April 2010.

Other than the NT\$16 million 1% fixed rate industrial research and development advancement loan, all of our outstanding long-term loans as of December 31, 2007 were drawdowns under various bank loans and syndicated loan facilities. As of December 31, 2007, we had entered into the following syndicated loan facilities:

- On March 21, 2003, we obtained a syndicated loan facility in the amount of NT\$1,000 million. This loan facility is separated into two parts with its respective term of seven years and five years. This loan facility is secured by ThaiLin's facilities and the testing equipment at Chupei. As of December 31, 2007, this loan facility was fully drawn.
- On December 31, 2003, we obtained a syndicated loan facility in the amount of NT\$2,000 million for a term of four years. This loan facility is secured by our facilities at the Southern Taiwan Science Park and our testing and assembly equipment located within our facilities at Chupei, the Hsinchu Science Park and the Southern Taiwan Science Park. As of December 31, 2007, this loan facility was fully drawn.
- On July 27, 2004, we obtained a syndicated loan facility in the amount of NT\$1,000 million for a term of five years. This loan facility is secured by our facilities at the Southern Taiwan Science Park and our testing and assembly equipment located within our facilities at Chupei, the Hsinchu Science Park and the Southern Taiwan Science Park. As of December 31, 2007, this loan facility was fully drawn.
- On June 7, 2005, we obtained a syndicated loan facility in the amount of NT\$1,000 million for a term of four years. This loan facility is secured by our facilities at the Hsinchu Science Park. As of December 31, 2007, this loan facility was fully drawn.
- In January 2006, we obtained a syndicated loan facility from banks in Taiwan in the amount of NT\$6,000 million for a term of five years. This loan facility is secured by our facilities at the Hsinchu Science Park and our testing and assembly equipment located within our facilities at Chupei, the Hsinchu Science Park and the Southern Taiwan Science Park. As of December 31, 2007, this loan facility was fully drawn.
- In February 2006, we obtained a syndicated loan facility from banks in Taiwan in the amount of NT\$3,000 million for a term of six years. This loan facility is secured by ThaiLin's facilities at Chupei. As of December 31, 2007, NT\$1,260 million (US\$39 million) was drawn under this loan facility.
- In June 2007, we obtained a syndicated loan facility from banks in Taiwan in the amount of NT\$6,000 million (US\$185 million) for a term of five years. This loan facility is secured by our facilities at the Southern Taiwan Science Park and equipment located within our facilities at Chupei, the Hsinchu Science Park and the Southern Taiwan Science Park. As of December 31, 2007, NT\$1,000 million (US\$31 million) was drawn under this loan facility.

Table of Contents

On November 3, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda issued US\$85 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes, which bear interest at 1.75% per annum, and on September 29, 2006, ChipMOS Bermuda issued US\$100 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2006 notes, which bear interest at 3.375% per annum. The noteholders may convert the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes into our common shares at the conversion price of US\$6.28 per share (adjusted down from the initial conversion price of US\$7.85 per share) and US\$6.85 per share, respectively, subject to certain adjustments. In December 2004, we repurchased and cancelled US\$699,000 of the 2004 notes. In October 2006, we made an induced conversion offer to the holders of the 2004 notes pursuant to which we offered to each holder, as incentive for such holder to convert its 2004 notes, a cash payment equal to 7% of the principal amount of the 2004 notes. Pursuant to the offer, noteholders converted US\$7,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes into 1,114,649 common shares and received from us aggregate cash consideration of approximately US\$490,000. On November 3, 2006, we repurchased US\$6,300,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes pursuant to the noteholders' put option under the indenture. On November 9, 2007, ThaiLin, our 38.2% owned consolidated subsidiary, purchased US\$7,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2004 note. In addition, on November 29, 2007, we repurchased US\$1,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the 2006 notes. As of March 31, 2008, we had US\$71 million aggregate principal amount of the 2004 notes outstanding (including the US\$7 million aggregate principal amount held by ThaiLin), and US\$99 million aggregate principal amount of the 2006 notes outstanding. For a discussion of the accounting for the conversion feature of the convertible notes under US GAAP, see “— Critical Accounting Policies—Convertible Notes” and “— US GAAP Reconciliation.” Under the indenture, each noteholder of the 2006 notes has the right to require us to repurchase its 2006 notes on September 29, 2008 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

In addition, if certain fundamental changes occur, the noteholders have the right to require us to purchase the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus any accrued and unpaid interest on those notes to, but excluding, the repurchase date. Generally, a “fundamental change” will be deemed to have occurred if:

- any “person” other than us and our subsidiaries is or becomes the beneficial owner of more than 50% of our common shares;
- during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constituted our board of directors cease for any reason to constitute a majority of our board of directors then in office;
- the termination of trading of our common shares; or
- certain mergers or consolidation involving us or the sale of all or substantially all of our assets.

The noteholders' right to require us to repurchase the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes upon the occurrence of a fundamental change is subject to a number of exceptions, including a trading price exception pursuant to which the repurchase right will not be exercisable if the trading price of our common shares exceeds a certain percentage of the conversion price.

The conversion prices of the 2004 notes and the 2006 notes are subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events, including the declaration of stock dividends on our common shares and the repurchase of our common shares for consideration in excess of the market price.

Certain of our loan agreements and indentures contain covenants that, if violated, could result in the obligations under these agreements becoming due prior to the originally scheduled maturity dates. These covenants include financial covenants that require us to:

- maintain a current assets to current liabilities ratio above 1:1;

Table of Contents

- maintain total indebtedness to shareholders' equity (excluding goodwill and other intangible assets) ratio below 1.2:1;
- maintain total indebtedness to shareholders' equity ratio below 1:1;
- maintain the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to gross interest expense ratio above 2.5:1; and
- maintain a guaranteed to issued capital ratio below 1:2.

As of December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with our financial covenants.

In addition, a substantial portion of our short-term and long-term borrowings may be subject to repayment upon a material deterioration of our financial condition, results of operations or our ability to perform under the loan agreements.

Set forth below are the maturities of our long-term bank loans outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

	(in millions)	
During 2008	NT\$ 3,686	US\$114
During 2009	3,865	119
During 2010	3,009	93
During 2011	1,647	51
During 2012 and onwards	727	22
	<u>NT\$12,934</u>	<u>US\$399</u>

As of December 31, 2007, certain of our land and buildings (including land use rights) and machinery with an aggregate net book value of NT\$3,872 million (US\$119 million) and NT\$12,293 million (US\$379 million), respectively, and time deposits in the aggregate amount of NT\$30 million (US\$925 thousand) were pledged as collateral in connection with our long-term borrowings. Approximately 53% of our net property, plant and equipment in terms of book value was pledged as collateral for our long-term loans.

Our unused credit lines for short-term loans, as of December 31, 2007, totaled NT\$12,095 million (US\$373 million), which will expire by December 2008. As of December 31, 2007, we had available undrawn long-term credit facilities totaling NT\$6,740 million (US\$208 million).

As of December 31, 2007, we had credit loans for imports of machinery in the total amount of NT\$1,106 million (US\$34 million), which are due between January 2008 and June 2008. We also had a short-term credit loan for importing raw materials in the total amount of NT\$143 million (US\$4 million), which is due in February 2008.

We believe our current cash and cash equivalents, cash flow from operations and available credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our capital spending and other capital needs through the end of June 2009, other than our commitments to invest in ChipMOS Shanghai, a wholly-owned subsidiary of our controlled consolidated subsidiary, Modern Mind, and our potential obligation to repurchase the 2006 notes on September 29, 2008. For more information on the potential obligation to repurchase the 2006 notes, see "Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—Our significant amount of indebtedness and interest expense will limit our cash flow and could adversely affect our operations." In order to meet ChipMOS Shanghai's investment commitments and our potential repurchase obligation, we may borrow additional amounts or issue additional debt or equity securities, or both.

Table of Contents

From time to time, we evaluate possible investments and acquisitions in Taiwan, Mainland China and elsewhere and may, if a suitable opportunity arises, acquire additional capacity by making an investment or acquisition at an attractive price. We may finance these expenditures from cash flow from operations, amounts available under existing credit facilities, additional borrowing and the issuance of securities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2007, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

US GAAP Reconciliation

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with ROC GAAP, which differs in certain material respects from US GAAP. The following table sets forth a comparison of our net income, total assets and shareholders' equity in accordance with ROC GAAP and US GAAP for the periods indicated:

	Year ended as of December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	2007 US\$
(in millions)				
Net income in accordance with:				
ROC GAAP	\$ 928.2	\$ 2,121.3	\$ 2,219.2	\$ 68.4
US GAAP	805.4	1,253.1	2,901.7	89.5
Total assets in accordance with:				
ROC GAAP	31,758.0	46,011.9	45,316.1	1,397.4
US GAAP	31,653.6	45,976.1	45,266.0	1,395.8
Shareholders' equity (including minority interests) in accordance with:				
ROC GAAP	19,091.9	22,884.9	22,248.1	686.1
US GAAP	18,825.4	21,430.7	21,650.9	667.6

Note 24 to our audited financial statements describes the principal differences between ROC GAAP and US GAAP as they relate to us, and a reconciliation to US GAAP of certain items, including net income and shareholders' equity. Differences between ROC GAAP and US GAAP which have an effect on our net income as reported under ROC GAAP relate to, among other things, accrual for bonuses to employees, directors and supervisors, share-based compensation and accounting for our convertible notes.

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123R "Share-Based Payment" adopted by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 "Share-Based Payment" by the SEC, share-based compensation transactions are generally required to be accounted for using a fair-value-based method and recognized as expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The standards became effective for the first interim period beginning after December 15, 2005. For more information, see "— Critical Accounting Policies— Share-Based Compensation" and Notes 24q and 25h to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133 "Accounting For Derivative Instruments And Hedging Activities" and EITF Issue No. 00-19 "Accounting For Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To And Potentially Settled In A Company's Own Stock," we are required to bifurcate and separately account for the conversion feature of our convertible notes as embedded derivatives contained in the convertible notes. Under US GAAP, we are required to carry these embedded derivatives on our balance sheet at fair value and changes in fair values of these embedded derivatives are reflected in the consolidated statement of operations. The change in fair value for embedded derivative liabilities for the conversion feature for the year ended December 31, 2007 under US GAAP was approximately NT\$1,157 million (US\$36 million) and the resulting net income for the year ended December 31, 2007 under US GAAP was approximately NT\$2,902 million (US\$89 million). For more information, see Notes 24p and 25i to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Information Derived from US GAAP Measures

To supplement our consolidated income statement for the year ended December 31, 2007 on a ROC GAAP basis, our management uses a non-GAAP measure of net income, which is net income pursuant to US GAAP adjusted to exclude two non-cash items referred to as special items. The two non-cash items excluded are changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities and amortization of discount on convertible notes. These items are considered by the management to be outside of our core operating results. For example, changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities relate heavily to the price of our company's common shares, interest rate and volatility, all of which are difficult to predict and outside of the control of our management.

For these reasons, our management uses non-GAAP adjusted measures of net income and non-GAAP net income per share, both derived from US GAAP measures to evaluate the performance of our core businesses and to estimate future core performance. In addition, this information facilitates our management's internal comparisons to our historical operating results as well as to the operating results of our competitors.

Our management finds these supplemental non-GAAP measures to be useful, and believes these non-GAAP measures are useful to investors in enabling them to perform additional analyses of past, present and future operating performance and as a supplemental means to evaluate our core operating results. However, readers are reminded that non-GAAP numbers are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for, ROC GAAP or US GAAP financial measures. They should be read in conjunction with ROC GAAP and US GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our non-GAAP information may be different from the non-GAAP information provided by other companies.

The following table sets forth, for the year ended December 31, 2007, reconciliation of US GAAP net income to non-GAAP net income:

	Year ended December 31, 2007	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in millions, except for per share data)	
US GAAP Net Income (Basic) ⁽¹⁾	2,901.7	89.5
Special Items (in Non-Operating Income (Expenses), Net)		
Changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities ⁽²⁾	(1,156.8)	(35.7)
Amortization of discount on convertible notes ⁽³⁾	357.5	11.0
Total Special Items	(799.3)	(24.7)
Non-GAAP Adjusted Net Income (Basic)	2,102.4	64.8
US GAAP Net Income Per Share (Basic)	36.13	1.11
Adjustment for special items	(9.95)	(0.30)
Non-GAAP Net Income Per Share (Basic)	26.18	0.81
US GAAP Net Income Per Share (Diluted)	21.07	0.65
Adjustment for special items	—	—
Non-GAAP Net Income Per Share (Diluted)	21.07	0.65

(1) Reflects the US GAAP adjustments as described in Note 24 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

(2) The management of our company believes excluding non-cash special item for the changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities from its non-GAAP financial measure of net income is useful for itself and investors as such gain does not have any impact on cash available to our company.

(3) The management of our company believes excluding non-cash amortization expense of discount on convertible notes from its non-GAAP financial measure of net income is useful for itself and investors as such expense does not have any impact on cash available to our company.

Table of Contents

Taxation

ChipMOS Taiwan was granted a Republic of China income tax exemption for a period of four years on income attributable to the expansion of its production capacity as a result of purchases of new equipment funded by capital increases in 1998, 1999 and 2000. The tax exemption relating to the expansion of production capacity in 1998 and 1999 expired on December 31, 2002. The tax exemption relating to the expansion of production capacity in 2000 expired on December 31, 2005. The tax exemption relating to the expansion of production capacity in 2001 will expire on December 31, 2008, and has resulted in tax savings for ChipMOS Taiwan of approximately NT\$158 million in 2005, approximately NT\$235 million in 2006 and approximately NT\$135 million (US\$4 million) in 2007.

ChipMOS Taiwan is also entitled to other tax incentives generally available to Taiwan companies under the ROC Statute of Upgrading Industries, including tax credits of 30% for certain research and development and employee training expenses (and, if the amount of expenditure exceeds the average amount of expenditure for the preceding two years, 50% of the excess amount may be credited against tax payable) and from 5% to 7% for certain investments in automated equipment and technology. These tax credits must be utilized within five years from the date on which they were earned. In addition, except for the last year of the five-year period, the aggregate tax reduction from these tax credits for any year cannot exceed 50% of that year's income tax liability. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, tax credits resulted in tax savings for ChipMOS Taiwan of approximately NT\$135 million, NT\$295 million and NT\$623 million (US\$19 million), respectively.

ThaiLin was granted a ROC income tax exemption for a period of five years on income attributable to the expansion of its production capacity as a result of purchases of new equipment funded by capital increase in 2002, which will expire on December 31, 2009. It has resulted in tax savings for ThaiLin of approximately NT\$39 million in 2005, approximately NT\$30 million in 2006 and NT\$25 million (US\$771 thousand) in 2007.

ThaiLin is also entitled to other tax incentives generally available to Taiwan companies under the ROC Statute of Upgrading Industries, including tax credits of 5% to 7% for certain investment in automated equipment and technology. These tax credits must be utilized within five years from the date on which they were earned. In addition, except for the last year of the five-year period, the aggregate tax reduction from these tax credits for any year cannot exceed 50% of such year's income tax liability. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, tax credits resulted in tax savings for ThaiLin of approximately NT\$84 million, NT\$101 million and NT\$101 million (US\$3 million), respectively.

Net income generated by ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin after January 1, 1998, which is not distributed in the year following the year the income was generated, is subject to income tax at the rate of 10%. If that net income is subsequently distributed, the income tax previously paid on that income is credited against the amount of withholding tax payable by shareholders, who are not individuals or entities of the Republic of China (for taxation purposes), in connection with the distribution.

The ROC government enacted the ROC Alternative Minimum Tax Act ("AMT Act") that became effective on January 1, 2006. The alternative minimum tax ("AMT") imposed under the AMT Act is a supplemental tax which is payable if the income tax payable pursuant to the ROC Income Tax Act is below the minimum amount prescribed under the AMT Act. The taxable income for calculating the AMT includes most income that is exempted from income tax under various legislations, such as tax holidays and investment tax credits. The AMT rate for business entities is 10%. However, the AMT Act grandfathered certain tax exemptions and tax credits granted prior to the enactment of the AMT. The effects of the AMT on the tax expenses of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin in 2007 were not significant.

Table of Contents

In accordance with the relevant tax rules and regulations of the PRC, ChipMOS Shanghai is entitled to an income tax exemption starting from the first profit making year, with a full exemption available for the first two years and a 50% exemption available for three additional years thereafter. As the first profit-making year for ChipMOS Shanghai was 2004, the profits made in the years 2004 and 2005 were fully exempt, and the profits made in the years 2006 through 2008 were subject to a 50% tax exemption. Any tax losses can only be carried forward for five years.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

Directors and Senior Management

Our board of directors currently comprises nine directors, eight of whom were elected by our shareholders and one of whom was appointed by directors to fill a vacancy on our board. The number of directors, which must not be less than three nor greater than nine according to our by-laws, is set by our directors but so long as a quorum of directors remains in office, casual vacancies on the board may be filled by the board. The quorum for a meeting of the directors is set by the board and otherwise is two in number. The chairman of the board is appointed from among the members of the board.

There is no requirement under Bermuda law that a director be a shareholder.

The following table sets out the names of our directors and executive officers, their positions with our company and their ages as of March 31, 2008. The business address for our directors and executive officers is No.1, R&D Road 1, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>
Shih-Jye Cheng	50	Chairman and Director/Chief Executive Officer	2008
Antonio R. Alvarez	52	Independent Director	2008
Rong Hsu	58	Independent Director	2008
Hsing-Ti Tuan	64	Director	2009
Yeong-Her Wang	52	Independent Director	2009
Shou-Kang Chen	47	Chief Financial Officer and Director	2009
Pierre Laflamme	62	Deputy Chairman and Independent Director	2010
Chao-Jung Tsai	54	Director	2010
Takaki Yamada	58	Independent Director	2010
Adam Hsien	49	Acting President of ChipMOS Shanghai	—
Lafair Cho	46	President of ThaiLin	—
F.J. Tsai	50	President of ChipMOS USA/Vice President, Business Operation Management Center	—
Jessie Lin	43	Vice President, Quality, Reliability & Assurance Center	—
Joyce Chang	47	Vice President, LCDD Production Group	—
Michael Lee	43	Vice President, Wafer Sort Business Unit	—
Ivan Hsu	42	Vice President, Memory Production Group	—
Jesse Huang	42	Vice President, Assembly Production Group	—
David W. Wang	60	Vice President, Research & Strategy Development Center	—

Table of Contents

Shih-Jye Cheng has served as one of our directors and chief executive officer since our inception. He was our deputy chairman from our inception to May 2004 and became our chairman in May 2004. He has also served as a director and president of ChipMOS Taiwan since 1997, the chairman of ChipMOS Taiwan since June 2003, the chairman of ThaiLin since 2002 and a director of Syntax-Brilliant Corporation since November 2005. He was the chairman of ChipMOS Shanghai from 2002 to June 2005, the chairman of Chantek from 2002 to November 2005, the chairman of ChipMOS Logic from January 2004 to November 2005, the chairman of Advanced Micro Chip Technology Co., Ltd. from 2003 to April 2004 and a director of Ultima Electronics Corp. from 2000 to June 2003. He was a division head of the back-end operation of Mosel from 1992 to 1997. Mr. Cheng has a master's degree in business administration from Saginaw Valley State University. Mr. Cheng is currently under indictment of the Taipei District Prosecutor's Office for matters relating to the purchase by ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin of certain repurchase notes in 2004. Although Mr. Cheng was found not guilty by the Taipei District Court on October 1, 2007, the prosecutor appealed the Taipei District Court's decision on October 27, 2007. For more information, please see "Item 3. — Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—The ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, our former director, could have a material adverse effect on our business and cause our stock price to decline."

Antonio R. Alvarez has served as one of our directors since July 2005. Mr. Alvarez has been the president and chief executive officer, and a director of Leadis Technology Inc. since November 2005. Since March 2006, he has been a member of the board of directors of Validity Sensors Inc. He was senior vice president and general manager of the memory products division of Cypress Semiconductor Corporation from 1998 to July 2005, and senior vice-president of research and development from 1991 to 2001. He holds master's and bachelor's degrees in electrical engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology, where he is a member of the advisory board of the Electrical Engineering Department. He is a member of the Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

Rong Hsu has served as one of our directors since July 2005. Mr. Hsu has been the vice president of Spatial Photonics Inc. since May 2006. He was a founder of eLCOS Microdisplay Technology Group where he was the president from April 2001 to December 2005, senior director of operations at Aurora Systems Co. from 1999 to March 2001, director of manufacturing for micro-display systems and testing at S-Vision Co. from 1996 to 1999, manager of manufacturing at nCHIP Co. from 1991 to 1996, research engineer at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory from 1988 to 1991 and senior engineer at Intel Corporation from 1982 to 1988. He has a doctorate degree in material engineering from the University of Maryland, a master's degree in material science from Brown University and a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from National Taiwan University. He is a founding member and senior advisor of the Chinese American Semiconductor Professional Association.

Table of Contents

Hsing-Ti Tuan has served as one of our directors since August 2000. Mr. Tuan currently is the executive vice president and the deputy chairman of ProMOS Technologies Inc. Mr. Tuan has served as a director of ProMOS since 1997. He has been the president of Mosel Vitelic Corp., USA since 1994. He was the acting president of Mosel from November 2004 to December 2005 and previously served as the executive vice president of their research and development division. He was also the vice president of Mosel from 1992 to 1996. Mr. Tuan also serves as a director of Mosel and SyncMOS Technology International. Mr. Tuan holds a master's degree in electrical engineering from Utah State University and a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from National Cheng Kung University in Taiwan.

Yeong-Her Wang has served as one of our directors since July 2004. He has been a professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering of National Cheng Kung University since 1992. He was also an associate dean of the College of Engineering between 1999 and 2003, chairman of the Department of Electrical Engineering between 1996 and 1999, associate director of the Department of Electrical Engineering between 1993 and 1996 and director of the Electrical Factory, College of Engineering of National Cheng Kung University between 1995 and 1996. Mr. Wang holds Ph.D., master's and bachelor's degrees from National Cheng Kung University in Taiwan.

Shou-Kang Chen has served as one of our directors since June 2005. He has served as our chief financial officer, investor relations officer and head of the finance division of ChipMOS Taiwan since 2002. He was the head of our strategy development department from 2000 to 2001. He was the department head of the quality lab of ChipMOS Taiwan from 1998 to 2000. Mr. Chen holds a bachelor's degree in mining and petroleum engineering and a master of science degree and a Ph.D. degree from the graduate school of mining, metallurgy and material science of National Cheng Kung University in Taiwan.

Pierre Laflamme has served as one of our directors since February 2001, and as our deputy chairman since June 2005. Since July 2003, he has been engaged in international consultancy works and also participated in developing new residential housing concepts and projects. Since April 2007, he has been the chairman of the board of Capital BLF Inc., a Capital Pool Company in Canada. He was the president and chief operating officer of SGF Tech Inc. from January 2000 to July 2003. Before that, he was the vice president of high technology investments of Société Générale de Financement du Québec from 1997 to 2000. He was the senior vice president of Solidarity Fund from 1996 to 1997 and a deputy minister of the Quebec Prime Minister's Department from 1994 to 1996. Mr. Laflamme holds a bachelor's degree in Architecture from Université de Montréal.

Chao-Jung Tsai has served as one of our directors since November 2004. Mr. Tsai was a director of ChipMOS Taiwan from January 2001 to December 2005, as a representative of Siliconware Precision, where he has been a director since June 2005 and served as a supervisor from June 2002 to June 2005. He has also been a supervisor of Phoenix Precision Technology Co. Ltd. since June 2005. He was previously president of Grand Cathay Securities Co., Ltd. and assistant vice president of China Trust Commercial Bank Co., Ltd. Mr. Tsai received his bachelor's degree in statistics from National Cheng Kung University and master's degree in management of technology from National Chiao Tung University in Taiwan. He holds Taiwan CPA and CFA licenses.

Takaki Yamada was appointed by our board of directors on July 10, 2006 to fill the vacancy resulting from the death of Mr. Tadao Higashi. Mr. Yamada is currently the president of OKI Semiconductor Manufacturing Company and assistant operation officer of OKI Semiconductor Group, as well as the president of Oki (Thailand) Co., Ltd. He joined OKI Semiconductor Group after graduating from Tokyo Keizai University in Japan, where he received a bachelor's degree in business administration. He was the vice president of OKI Semiconductor Manufacturing Company from April 2004 to March 2006, and was also the president of Silicon Manufacturing Co. Pte. Ltd. from April 2005 to March 2008.

Adam Hsien has served as the acting president of ChipMOS Shanghai since September 2006 and vice president since July 2006. He was executive vice president of Camtech Optronics Inc. from 2004 to 2006 and the director of the bumping operation division of He Jian Technology Inc. in Suzhou from 2003 to 2004. Mr. Hsien received a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Feng Chia University in Taiwan.

Table of Contents

Lafair Cho has served as ThaiLin's president since December 1, 2003 and a director since December 30, 2002. He was vice president of ThaiLin from February 1, 2003 to November 30, 2003. He has also served as vice president of the memory production group of ChipMOS Taiwan from July 2003 to August 2004 and as a director of ChipMOS Taiwan since October 2003. He served as a deputy assistant vice president of the IC testing division of ChipMOS Taiwan from April 2000 to December 2001 and as an assistant vice president of the IC testing division of ChipMOS Taiwan from January 2002 to January 2003. He served as manager of production material control of Mosel from 1993 to 1997. He holds a master's degree in industrial management from National Cheng Kung University in Taiwan.

F.J. Tsai has served as the President of ChipMOS USA since January 2008 and ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of business operation management since November 2005. From 2003 to 2005, he served as the president of Chantek. He also served as an assistant vice president of the strategy development center of ChipMOS Taiwan from 1998 to 2003. He received a master's degree in business administration from National Sun Yat-Sen University in Taiwan.

Jessie Lin has served as ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of quality, reliability and assurance center since June 2004. She was assistant vice president of ChipMOS Taiwan from 2002 to 2004 and deputy assistant vice president of ChipMOS Taiwan from 2000 to 2002. Ms. Lin received a master's degree in industrial engineering from Chung Yuan Christian University in Taiwan.

Joyce Chang has served as ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of LCD Driver production group since June 2004. She was assistant vice president of ChipMOS Taiwan from 2002 to 2004 and manager of ChipMOS Taiwan from 2000 to 2002. Ms. Chang received a bachelor's degree from Chung Yuan Christian University in Taiwan.

Michael Lee has served as ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of wafer sort business unit since June 2004. He was assistant vice president of ChipMOS Taiwan from 2003 to 2004 and assistant vice president of King Yuan ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. from 2000 to 2003. Mr. Lee received a master's degree from National Chiao Tung University in Taiwan.

Ivan Hsu has served as ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of memory production group since December 2004. He was ChipMOS Taiwan's assistant vice president from 2003 to 2004 and deputy assistant vice president from 2002 to 2003. Mr. Hsu received a bachelor's degree from Feng Chia University in Taiwan.

Jesse Huang has served as ChipMOS Taiwan's vice president of assembly production group since April 2007. He was the assistant vice president of assembly engineering division formerly. He received a bachelor's degree in Physics from Soochow University in Taiwan.

David W. Wang joined ChipMOS as vice president of research and strategy development center in 2007. Prior to joining ChipMOS, he served as the president of Fibera, Inc. from 2001 to 2007. Mr. Wang also served as a senior director of Lam Research Corporation in charge of product introduction and regional support teams of its etch group from 1996 to 2001. Mr. Wang also served in the microelectronics division of IBM as a manager in the packaging engineering, materials and process development and marketing departments. He holds a Ph.D. degree from the University of Michigan and is a member of Phi Lambda Upsilon.

Board Practice and Terms of Directorship

Our board of directors consists of three classes of directors. The first class of directors, consisting of Shih-Jye Cheng, Antonio R. Alvarez and Rong Hsu, is up for re-election at the annual general meeting in 2008 and then every third annual general meeting thereafter. The second class, consisting of Hsing-Ti Tuan, Yeong-Her Wang and Shou-Kang Chen, is up for re-election at the annual general meeting in 2009 and then every third annual general meeting thereafter. The third class, consisting of Pierre Laflamme, Chao-Jung Tsai and Takaki Yamada, is up for re-election at the annual general meeting in 2010 and then every third annual general meeting thereafter.

Any director vacates his or her office if he or she:

- is prohibited by law from being a director or ceases to be a director by virtue of the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended;

Table of Contents

- resigns from his or her office;
- becomes bankrupt under the laws of any country or compounds with his or her creditors;
- becomes of unsound mind or a patient for the purpose of any statute or applicable law relating to mental health and the board resolves that his or her office is vacated; or
- is removed by a resolution passed by our shareholders at a special general meeting called for that purpose.

Share Ownership

As of December 31, 2007, none of our directors or executive officers held, for his or her own account, 1% or more of our outstanding common shares.

Compensation and Compensation Committee

The aggregate compensation paid in 2007 to our directors and our executive officers, including cash and share bonuses, was approximately NT\$113 million (US\$3 million). In 2007, we granted options to purchase 389,500 of our common shares to our directors and executive officers as set forth in the table below. These options will vest over a period of four years, with an equal proportion vesting on each of August 31, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, except for certain options granted on August 31, 2007 that vested on the date of grant.

Number of common shares issuable upon exercise of options	Expiration date	Exercise price	Considerations paid for options granted
326,500	August 31, 2013	US\$5.3720	None
63,000	August 31, 2017	US\$5.3720	None

We do not provide our directors with any benefits upon termination of employment.

Our compensation committee currently consists of Antonio R. Alvarez, Pierre Laflamme and Yeong-Her Wang. This committee reviews and recommends to our board of directors the compensation of all our directors and officers on at least an annual basis.

Audit Committee

Under our audit committee charter adopted on February 28, 2001 and amended on May 14, 2004 and December 21, 2004, our audit committee:

- is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our external auditors or any other public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or to perform audit, review or attestation services;
- oversees our accounting principles and policies, financial reporting and internal control over financial reporting, internal audit controls and procedures, financial statements and independent audits;
- meets with management, our external auditors and, if appropriate, the head of the auditing department to discuss audited financial statements, audit reports or other communications, including, without limitation, any audit problems or difficulties relating to our financial statements, any major issues regarding accounting principles and the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting;
- pre-approves, or adopts appropriate procedures to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services, if any, provided to us by our external auditors;

Table of Contents

- establishes our internal complaints procedure for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and for the confidential, anonymous submission thereof by our employees;
- evaluates the independence of and discuss with management the timing and process for implementing the rotation of the audit partners of the outside auditors; and
- reviews and approves all our related party transactions.

The audit committee currently consists of Pierre Laflamme, Rong Hsu and Yeong-Her Wang all of whom are independent directors according to Nasdaq Marketplace Rules requirements. We do not have an audit committee financial expert serving on our audit committee.

Nominations Committee

Under our nominations committee charter adopted on August 26, 2005, our nominations committee:

- identifies individuals qualified to become members of the board of directors, selects or recommends nominees to the board of directors and, in the case of a vacancy of a director, recommends to the board of directors an individual to fill such vacancy;
- develops and recommends to the board of directors standards to be applied in making determinations as to the absence of material relationships between us and a director;
- identifies members of the board of directors qualified to fill vacancies on any committee thereof and recommends the appointment of the identified member(s) to the respective committee;
- assists our management in the preparation of the disclosure in our annual proxy statement regarding the operations of the nominations committee; and
- performs any other duties or responsibilities expressly delegated to the nominations committee by the board of directors from time to time relating to the nomination of members of the board of directors and any committee thereof.

Yeong-Her Wang, Rong Hsu and Pierre Laflamme are currently the members of our nominations committee.

Special Investigation Committee

On December 21, 2004, in connection with alleged embezzlement at Pacific Electric by our former directors, Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu and Mr. Jwo-Yi Miao, and money laundering by our former director, Mr. Robert Ma Kam Fook, our board established a special investigation committee to identify and investigate any past and present dealings between ChipMOS Bermuda, including any of its subsidiaries and affiliates, and Messrs. Hu, Miao and Ma, and any companies or entities affiliated with them. For additional information on the allegations, see “Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—The ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, our former director, could have a material adverse effect on our business and cause our stock price to decline.”

The special investigation committee was solely comprised of Messrs. Pierre Laflamme and Yeong-Her Wang, two of our company’s independent directors. Concurrent with the establishment of the special investigation committee, our board requested the resignations of Mr. Hu and Mr. Miao, who subsequently resigned from our board on June 2, 2005 and June 8, 2005, respectively. On December 21, 2004, our board also accepted the resignation of Mr. Ma. The special investigation committee engaged Ernst & Young as its forensic accounting advisor and Baker & McKenzie as its legal advisor to review transactions that were similar in nature to the

Table of Contents

transactions that allegedly implicated Messrs. Hu, Miao and Ma at Pacific Electric as well as significant related party transactions between ChipMOS Bermuda, including its subsidiaries and affiliates, and Messrs. Hu, Miao and Ma and any companies or entities affiliated with any of them. The special investigation committee also engaged Hong Kong counsel.

On June 23, 2005, the special investigation committee presented its final report to our board of directors. The special investigation committee concluded that the review conducted by Ernst & Young and Baker & McKenzie did not reveal previously unknown information regarding losses suffered by ChipMOS Bermuda, other than a potential liability relating to a credit facility entered into with Trident (Asia) Investments Limited, or Trident, and HSH Nordbank AG, Hong Kong Branch, or Nordbank. The special investigation committee noted that total losses from transactions reviewed by it in the amount of NT\$454 million (US\$14 million), relating to impairment losses and realized losses of certain investments, were reflected in our 2002, 2003 and 2004 financial statements, and a potential decline in the value of our investment in respect of Ultima Technology Corp. (BVI). In 2005, we recognized an impairment loss of NT\$188 million (US\$6 million) as a result of the decline in the value of our investment to Ultima Technology Corp. (BVI). See Note 7 to our audited consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F and “Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions—Related Party Transactions.” The special investigation committee did not make any factual findings as to the business purpose of the transactions reviewed or as to persons at our company responsible for such transactions. On August 26, 2005, our board dissolved the special investigation committee.

The Special Investigation Committee provided the following recommendations to our board of directors:

- reinforce the internal controls related to our company’s investment decisions, including the design and adoption of comprehensive internal control procedures for investments in connection with our company’s implementation of the internal control procedures required to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (“Section 404”);
- strengthen the role of the board of directors in overseeing our company’s investment activities;
- develop an internal control mechanism applicable to our company’s selection of banks that our company will use for deposits so as to address both commercial risks and reputational risks; and
- develop more prudent and conservative procedures regarding the entry by our company into banking or other credit relationships.

As of December 31, 2006, we had taken the following measures to implement the recommendations of the Special Investigation Committee:

- engaged Ernst & Young to advise on the internal control over financial reporting requirements under Section 404, including testing and monitoring the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting;
- enhanced the board of directors’ ability to oversee our financial activities by adopting new internal control procedures, pursuant to which decisions relating to derivatives, loans to others, endorsement and guarantee for third parties, and equity investments, exceeding certain limits, are subject to the board of directors’ approval; and
- reduced the risks inherent in banking or other credit activities by adopting new internal control procedures, under which the application for any credit line or the opening of any account at any overseas banks is required to be approved by the board of directors.

Table of Contents

Special Committee

In connection with the indictment of Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng by the Taipei District Prosecutor's Office, our board of directors formed a special committee to evaluate the circumstances surrounding the indictment. The special committee is comprised of three independent directors, Messrs. Yeong-Her Wang, Rong Hsu and Pierre Laflamme. It has engaged Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis LLP (formerly Preston Gates & Ellis LLP) as its independent international legal counsel and Baker & McKenzie as its independent ROC legal counsel, and Ernst & Young (formerly know as Diwan, Ernest & Young) as its accounting advisor to assist in its evaluation and provide recommendations.

On June 28, 2006, the special committee issued its report, including its findings and recommendations. Based upon the results of its investigation, it found that: (1) Mr. Cheng has declared himself not guilty of the charges described in the indictment; (2) Baker & McKenzie, after reviewing the indictment and the prosecutor's exhibits, have found that the evidence produced by the prosecutor seems to be inadequate and that there is a low probability of the charges in the indictment being founded; (3) the financial advisor to the special committee have found that we suffered no loss (not taking into account exchange rate factors) and that all monies (capital and interest) were remitted back to our subsidiaries involved; (4) we have suffered no identifiable harm to our reputation or our business; and (5) Mr. Cheng has not been impaired by the indictment to perform as our chairman and chief executive officer. The special committee recommended that our board maintains Mr. Cheng as our chairman and chief executive officer with full responsibilities and our board unanimously (with Mr. Cheng having recused himself) resolved to accept and adopt the special committee's recommendation with regard to Mr. Cheng.

Our board of directors also resolved to continue the role of the special committee, for the duration of the ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Cheng to actively monitor any developments of the criminal investigation and take or recommend any appropriate action in light of such developments.

During its engagement by the special committee, Ernst & Young identified certain internal control weaknesses that existed during the relevant period of the special committee's investigation within ChipMOS Taiwan, ThaiLin and ChipMOS Logic (which was merged into ThaiLin on December 1, 2005). These weaknesses were in areas related to segregation of duties and of corporate governance on investment authorizations, insufficiency of training for financial personnel in respect of derivative transactions, and non-compliance with the applicable ROC regulations. These identified internal control weaknesses have either been addressed previously or are in the process of being remedied by our company and our subsidiaries.

In light of the identification of these internal control weaknesses, the special committee recommended that the audit committee of the board of directors lead a special task force and report to the board of the directors as to the effectiveness of the implementation of internal control over financial reporting, with an aim to enhance our company's financial personnel's knowledge of derivative transactions. The board of directors unanimously resolved to accept and adopt the special committee's recommendation in this regard.

In August 2006, we engaged Ernst & Young to design certain employee training sessions regarding derivative transactions and the applicable accounting treatment for these transactions.

See "Item 3. Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Our Business—The ongoing criminal proceeding involving Mr. Shih-Jye Cheng, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hung-Chiu Hu, our former director, could have a material adverse effect on our business and cause our stock price to decline."

Employees

The following table sets forth, as of the dates indicated, the number of our full-time employees serving in the functions indicated:

Function	As of December 31,			As of
	2005	2006	2007	March 31, 2008
General operations	2,632	3,312	3,601	3,390
Quality control	387	460	471	443
Engineering	1,125	1,447	1,580	1,533
Research and development	224	253	296	291
Sales, administration and finance	202	218	225	227
Others	335	391	408	398
Total	4,905	6,081	6,581	6,282

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, as of the dates indicated, a breakdown of the number of our full-time employees by geographic location:

Location	As of December 31,			As of
	2005	2006	2007	March 31, 2008
ChipMOS H.K. Taiwan Branch (Hsinchu)	13	14	15	15
ThaiLin (Hsinchu Industrial Park)	516	783	763	748
ThaiLin (Chupei City)	236	—	—	—
ChipMOS Taiwan Hsinchu Production Group	1,484	1,870	2,013	1,935
ChipMOS Taiwan Southern Taiwan Production Group	2,103	2,757	3,052	2,922
Shanghai	545	649	730	653
Japan and the United States	8	8	8	9
Total	4,905	6,081	6,581	6,282

Our employees are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements. We have not experienced any strikes or work stoppages by our employees and believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

Share Option Plan and Share Appreciation Rights Plan

We adopted a broad-based share option plan in 2001, which was amended at a special general meeting on March 19, 2004 to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the share option plan from 5,800,000 to 9,000,000. In August 2006, we adopted a second broad-based share option plan, which has 7,000,000 shares available for issuance. Each share option plan provides that our directors, officers, employees and those of our affiliates may, at the discretion of our board of directors or a committee, be granted options to purchase our shares at an exercise price of no less than the par value of our common shares. The board or the committee has complete discretion to determine which eligible individuals are to receive option grants, the number of shares subject to each grant, the exercise price of all options granted, the vesting schedule to be in effect for each option grant and the maximum term for which each granted option is to remain outstanding, up to a maximum term of ten years.

In 2005, we did not grant any share options to our employees. In 2005, 312,750 share options were cancelled and 441,094 share options were exercised. In 2006, we granted 2,170,510 share options, with an exercise price of ranging from US\$4.8110 to US\$5.7205 per share. In 2006, 319,200 share options were cancelled and 1,322,143 share options were exercised. In 2007, we granted 1,884,400 share options, with an exercise price ranging from US\$3.6380 to US\$6.4770 per share. In 2007, 228,631 share options were cancelled and 865,612 share options were exercised. As of December 31, 2007, we have 7,348,893 share options outstanding, with an exercise price ranging from US\$0.7650 to US\$6.6300.

In September 2006, we adopted a share appreciation rights plan pursuant to which we may issue up to 2,000,000 cash-settled SARs to our directors, officers, employees and those of our affiliates. Under the share appreciation rights plan, each holder of SARs, issued thereunder will be entitled to receive, on the applicable exercise date, cash in an amount equal to the excess of the market value of our common shares on such date over the exercise price of such rights. Our board of directors or a relevant committee thereof has complete discretion over the administration of the share appreciation rights plan, including determining the recipients of the share appreciation right awards, the number of rights awarded, the exercise date, the exercise price and other relevant terms. Unless earlier terminated by our board of directors or the relevant board committee, the plan will remain effective until September 2016. As of December 31, 2007, we have 1,630,135 SARs outstanding, with an exercise price ranging from US\$3.6380 to US\$6.4770.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

Major Shareholders

The following table sets out certain information as of March 31, 2008 regarding the ownership of our common shares by (1) each person known to us to be the owner of more than five percent of our common shares and (2) the total amount owned by our directors and executive officers as a group.

Identity of person or group	Number of shares owned	Percent Owned
Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd ⁽¹⁾	12,174,998	14.5
Mosel Vitelic Inc. ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	11,194,644	13.4
Highbridge International LLC ⁽⁴⁾	10,669,254	12.7
Trivium Capital Management, LLC ⁽⁵⁾	9,618,815	11.5
Directors and executive officers, as a group ⁽⁶⁾	1,063,117	1.3

- (1) Siliconware Precision completed a share purchase and subscription transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan and us on March 27, 2007, pursuant to which we and ChipMOS Taiwan purchased all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision subscribed for 12,174,998 of our common shares through a private placement. See "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC."
- (2) Mosel owned 10,850,052 shares indirectly through Giant Haven, and 344,592 shares indirectly through Mou-Fu Investment Ltd. Mosel is a public company listed on the Taiwan Stock Exchange whose largest known shareholder owned less than 4.3% of its outstanding shares as of April 21, 2008.
- (3) Excludes shares owned by PacMOS Technologies Holdings Limited, or PacMOS, that may be beneficially owned by Mosel.
- (4) Highbridge International LLC beneficially owned our 2004 notes and 2006 notes, which are convertible into an aggregate of 10,669,254 of our common shares at a conversion price of US\$6.28 and US\$6.85, respectively, as of December 31, 2007, according to the Schedule 13G/A filed by Highbridge International LLC, Highbridge Capital Management, LLC, Glenn Dubin and Henry Swieca on December 31, 2007. As of March 31, 2008, none of the 2004 notes and 2006 notes beneficially owned by Highbridge International LLC had been converted into our common shares.
- (5) According to the Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by Trivium Capital and Trivium Offshore Fund, Ltd. on February 15, 2008, Trivium Capital beneficially owned 9,618,815 of our common shares as of December 31, 2007.
- (6) Excludes Mosel's beneficial ownership of our common shares which may be considered to be beneficially held by some of our directors or officers. Includes shares held by certain family members of certain directors.

As of March 31, 2008, approximately 59% of our common shares were held of record by shareholders located in the United States. All holders of our common shares have the same voting rights with respect to their shares.

Related Party Transactions

Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd.

As of March 31, 2008, Siliconware Precision owned 14.5% of our outstanding common shares. On March 27, 2007, we completed a share purchase and subscription transaction with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, under which we and ChipMOS Taiwan purchased all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision subscribed to 12,174,998 of our newly issued common shares through a private placement. ChipMOS Taiwan became our wholly-owned subsidiary on September 14, 2007. Siliconware Precision is an independent provider of semiconductor testing and packaging services. Siliconware Precision currently has, and is expected to continue to have from time to time in the future, contractual and other business relationships with us. From time to time, Siliconware Precision provides assembly services to us. Often, Siliconware Precision renders these assembly services directly to our customers through customer referrals from us. On January 1, 2001, ChipMOS Taiwan entered into a subcontracting agreement for a term of two years with Siliconware Precision, pursuant to which Siliconware Precision is obligated to provide assembly services to us. This agreement was extended for another two years from January 2004 to December 2005. Sales to Siliconware Precision in 2006 was NT\$83 thousand. In 2005 and 2007, we did not outsource to Siliconware Precision any sales.

Table of Contents

Mosel Vitelic Inc.

As of March 31, 2008, Mosel indirectly owned 13.4% of our outstanding common shares. Mosel designs and manufactures semiconductor products, including SRAM, flash memory, LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors and power-related semiconductors. In the period from July to December 2003, Mosel transferred all of its DRAM business to its affiliate ProMOS. Mosel is also engaged in the semiconductor testing and assembly business through its shareholding in our company. Although Mosel was our second-largest customer in 2003, accounting for 19% of our net revenue in 2003, it ceased to be a key customer of ours following the transfer of its DRAM business to ProMOS, with sales to Mosel accounting for 0.1% of our net revenue in 2004. Mosel and its affiliates currently have, and are expected to continue to have from time to time in the future, contractual and other business relationships with us. Our relationships include the following:

- Rental revenue from Mosel was NT\$5 million and NT\$2 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively.
- In 2005, we purchased integrated circuits for our module business and for resale to other customers from Mosel in an aggregate amount of NT\$12 million.
- In 2005, we paid NT\$593 thousand rental expense to Mosel.
- In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we paid NT\$148 thousand, NT\$144 thousand and NT\$148 thousand (US\$5 thousand) in website fees to Mosel for provision of certain website services.

Registration Rights Agreements with Siliconware Precision, Giant Haven, ProMOS and Powertech Technology Inc.

In March 2007, we issued 12,174,998 common shares pursuant to a share purchase and subscription agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, and we entered into a registration rights agreement, dated March 27, 2007, with Siliconware Precision, pursuant to which Siliconware Precision agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer any of our common shares it acquired in the share purchase and acquisition for a period of nine months after March 27, 2007, and we granted to Siliconware Precision certain rights, including demand registration, “piggyback” registration and Form F-3 registration rights, to require us to register its common shares for sale under the Securities Act. In addition, we entered into a registration rights agreement, dated August 8, 2007, with Giant Haven, ProMOS and Powertech Technology Inc., pursuant to which we granted to Mosel, ProMOS and Powertech Technology Inc. certain registration rights, including customary demand and “piggyback” registration rights, common shares for the sale of our common shares under the Securities Act.

DenMOS Technology Inc.

We do not own any equity interest in DenMOS. As of March 31, 2008, Mosel directly owned 44.1% of common shares of DenMOS. Sales to DenMOS were NT\$271 million, NT\$125 million and NT\$32 million (US\$1 million) in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. We provided storage services to DenMOS and received rental payment from DenMOS for these storage services of NT\$30 thousand in 2005.

On October 15, 2003, we entered into a long-term agreement with DenMOS, under which we reserve a specified amount of capacity for LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductor testing and assembly services to DenMOS and under which DenMOS guarantees to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity for a period of 48 months. This agreement supersedes a similar agreement that we entered into on May 25, 2002. The price for our services under this agreement will be agreed upon, based on our general price list, at the time DenMOS places orders under this agreement. If we are unable to test and assemble the agreed number of LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors, DenMOS may use a third party to cover the shortfall. However, we are entitled to cure any shortfall in the following month. If we fail to do so, we may be liable for damages up to the amount equal to the number of shortfall units in the given month multiplied by the average sales price per unit in that month. If DenMOS fails to place orders according to the reserved capacity, we are entitled to damages based on our costs for the equipment, tooling costs, costs for personnel dedicated to the provisions of capacity to such customer, and the costs for raw materials.

Table of Contents

SyncMOS Technologies Inc.

We do not own any equity interest in SyncMOS Technologies, Inc., or SyncMOS. As of March 31, 2008, Mosel indirectly owned 41.5% of SyncMOS Technologies Inc. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we provided storage services to SyncMOS and rental revenue from SyncMOS was NT\$1,382 thousand, NT\$1,864 thousand and NT\$2,208 thousand (US\$68 thousand), respectively.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD.

ChipMOS Shanghai is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind, which is one of our controlled consolidated subsidiaries. Under a technology transfer agreement dated August 1, 2002, we licensed certain technologies and systems, and agreed to provide certain technical support and consulting services to ChipMOS Shanghai relating to those technologies and systems, and ChipMOS Shanghai paid an aggregate of US\$25 million to us in 2002 for the technology and services we provide under this agreement.

On April 20, 2004, ChipMOS Hong Kong and ChipMOS Shanghai entered into an exclusive services agreement, pursuant to which ChipMOS Shanghai will provide its services exclusively to ChipMOS Hong Kong or customers designated by ChipMOS Hong Kong. Under the exclusive services agreement, ChipMOS Hong Kong will purchase and consign to ChipMOS Shanghai all of the equipment required to render those services. The exclusive services agreement has a term of ten years and will automatically be renewed for periods of ten years, unless terminated by either party at least 30 days prior to the expiration of such ten-year term. In addition, ChipMOS Hong Kong may terminate the exclusive services agreement at any time by giving 30 days' prior notice.

CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD.

In 2003, ChipMOS Taiwan purchased equipment from Chantek at a cost of NT\$10 million and sold equipment to Chantek for NT\$17 million. In addition, ChipMOS Taiwan recognized gains on the disposal of certain properties to Chantek in the amount of NT\$9 million. Chantek leased equipment and provided raw material and semiconductor processing services to ChipMOS Taiwan pursuant to certain agreements between Chantek and ChipMOS Taiwan. Under these agreements, we paid an aggregate of approximately NT\$3 million and NT\$0.2 million to Chantek in 2002 and 2003, respectively. In addition, we paid an aggregate of NT\$8 million in rental fees to Chantek in 2003. We did not pay any fees under these arrangements or any rental fees to Chantek during the period from January to April 2004. From January to April 2004, we had revenues from Chantek of NT\$15 million. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired 3,846,154 shares of common stock of AMCT from Chantek at an aggregate price of NT\$38 million on March 19, 2004.

Chantek has been our consolidated subsidiary since April 2004. On November 21, 2005, Chantek merged into ChipMOS Taiwan, with ChipMOS Taiwan as the surviving entity. For additional information regarding the merger, see "Item 4. Information on the Company—Our Structure and History—ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC."

ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp.

ChipMOS Taiwan leased equipment and transferred certain technology to ThaiLin pursuant to certain agreements between ThaiLin and ChipMOS Taiwan. The rents paid by ThaiLin to ChipMOS Taiwan amounted to an aggregate of approximately NT\$8 million in 2003. In 2003, ThaiLin purchased certain equipment from ChipMOS Taiwan for approximately NT\$245 million, and sold certain equipment to ChipMOS Taiwan for approximately NT\$105 million.

On March 4, 2008, ChipMOS Taiwan made a loan in an amount of NT\$145 million (US\$4 million) to Kolin, a major shareholder of ThaiLin. The loan is repayable within one year and bears interest at a rate of 4.69% per annum. The loan is secured by a pledge by Kolin of 11 million common shares of ThaiLin.

Table of Contents

ThaiLin has been our consolidated subsidiary since December 2003. On December 1, 2005, ChipMOS Logic merged into ThaiLin, with ThaiLin as the surviving entity. See, “Item 4. Information on the Company— Our Structure and History—ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp.”

ProMOS Technologies Inc.

As of March 31, 2008, ChipMOS Taiwan owned 4,201,231 shares, or 0.06% of ProMOS, and ThaiLin owned 3,600,000 shares, or 0.05% of ProMOS. As of March 31, 2008, Mosel directly and indirectly owned 13.1% of ProMOS. Following the transfer of Mosel’s DRAM business to ProMOS in 2003, sales to ProMOS accounted for 28% of our net revenue in 2005, 27% of our net revenue in 2006 and 29% of our net revenue in 2007.

On July 1, 2003, ChipMOS Taiwan entered into a long-term agreement with ProMOS, under which ChipMOS Taiwan reserves a specified amount of capacity for DRAM testing and assembly services to ProMOS and under which ProMOS guarantees to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity. This agreement was superseded in July 2007, when ChipMOS Taiwan and ProMOS entered into a new long-term agreement with similar terms and conditions, except that under the new agreement, ProMOS will give ChipMOS six month rolling forecast on testing and assembly service orders to be placed to us, and ProMOS guarantees that such orders will represent no less than certain percentage of ProMOS’ total production volume of these products (excluding OEM products). The price for the services of ChipMOS Taiwan under this agreement will be agreed upon quarterly, subject to certain price adjustments. If ChipMOS Taiwan is unable to test and assemble the agreed number of DRAM, ProMOS may use a third party to cover the shortfall and ChipMOS Taiwan may be liable for, among other damages, any operation loss of ProMOS caused by such delay or any additional costs in using a third party to cover the shortfall. If ProMOS fails to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity, ChipMOS Taiwan is entitled to damages calculated based on the difference between the value of the reserved capacity and the value of the actual used capacity, provided that the value of the capacity by ChipMOS Taiwan that has been used for other customers shall be deducted. In March 2008, ProMOS failed to place orders in the amount of the reserved capacity. We are currently evaluating whether or not to seek compensation from ProMOS.

Rental revenue from ProMOS in 2005, 2006 and 2007 was NT\$9 million, NT\$9 million and NT\$16 million (US\$493 thousand), respectively.

Mou-Fu Investment Ltd.

As of March 31, 2008, Mosel held directly a 99.9% equity interest in Mou-Fu. In 2005 and 2006, we paid Mou-Fu NT\$3 million and NT\$3 million, respectively, for the provision of shareholders’ services. In 2005 and 2006, we paid Mou-Fu NT\$4 million and NT\$2 million, respectively, for management expenses.

Item 8. Financial Information

Consolidated Financial Statements and Other Financial Information

Please see “Item 18. Financial Statements” and pages F-1 through F-66.

Legal Proceedings

In 2003, we had a tax dispute with the ROC tax authority in the amount of NT\$31 million relating to our income tax in 2000. We submitted our objections to this assessment to the relevant tax authority in March 2004 and we received a request from the ROC tax authority in April 2006 to make the payment of approximately NT\$2 million (US\$62 thousand), which we paid in May 2006.

In February 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA received notice of a lawsuit filed by Tessera Technologies, Inc., or Tessera. The complaint was initially filed in United States District Court for the Northern District of California (Civil Action No. C05-04063CW), or the California court. In an amended complaint, Tessera added ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA, among several other semiconductor companies, as co-defendants. The amended complaint alleges that ChipMOS Taiwan, ChipMOS USA

Table of Contents

and the other co-defendants infringed certain patents owned by Tessera and that ChipMOS Taiwan is in breach of a license agreement with Tessera, or the Tessera license agreement. Tessera also sought unspecified damages and injunctive relief. ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA have responded to the lawsuit by denying Tessera's claims of patent infringement and breach of contract. ChipMOS USA and ChipMOS Taiwan have also raised various counterclaims for declaratory judgment and related affirmative defenses that the Tessera patents are invalid and unenforceable. In May 2007, the California court, with the concurrence of ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA, stayed all litigation in the California court as a result of a related investigation by the International Trade Commission, or ITC, initiated by Tessera against certain other co-defendants. In addition, a co-defendant in the Tessera lawsuit requested the United States Patent and Trademark Office to reexamine the patentability of each of Tessera's patents that are at issue in the case in the California court. The requests were granted and, in February 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office concluded that certain claims of the patents were invalid on the basis of prior art. In April 2008, ChipMOS Bermuda, ChipMOS USA and ChipMOS Taiwan received notice that Tessera requested the ITC to initiate another investigation alleging that the sale for and after importation into the United States as well as importation into the United States of certain small format non-tape based BGA semiconductor packages by ChipMOS Bermuda, ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA infringe three of the five Tessera patents at issue in the case pending in the California court. Tessera sought, among other things, an investigation by the ITC and general exclusion orders to prohibit the infringing products from entry into the United States. The ITC initiated the investigation in May 2008.

In April 2006, ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Hong Kong received an Amended Writ of Summons and Statement of Claim from Pacific Electric, alleging that certain properties held in trust for Pacific Electric were improperly used to secure a HK\$150 million credit facility that ChipMOS Bermuda, ChipMOS Hong Kong and Trident entered into with Nordbank in January 2003 without Pacific Electric's consent, and that Nordbank's security interests in such properties are therefore null and void or otherwise unenforceable. ChipMOS Hong Kong borrowed funds under the Nordbank facility in 2003 and repaid them in 2004, and ChipMOS Bermuda has never borrowed under the facility. According to information provided by Trident, the credit facility was fully paid in November 2006 and there is no outstanding loan balance.

In September 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan filed five lawsuits against Walton Advanced Engineering, Inc. and Walton Chaintech Corporation in Taiwan Kaohsiung District Court and Taiwan Banciao District Court, alleging infringement by these two companies of ChipMOS Taiwan's package related patents for SDRAM, DDR I SDRAM and DDR II SDRAM devices. As of March 31, 2008, all of these lawsuits were pending.

Other than the matters described above, we were not involved in any material litigation in 2007 and are not currently involved in any material litigation.

For certain information regarding legal proceedings relating to certain of our current and former directors, see "Item 3.—Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Adverse publicity about our current or former directors, executive officers or other key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business."

Dividend Policy

To date, we have not distributed any dividends. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the expansion of our business and thus do not expect to pay any cash dividends for the foreseeable future. In addition, we have no current plans to pay stock dividends.

Item 9. The Offer and Listing

Listing

Nasdaq Global Select Market is the principal trading market for our common shares, which are not listed or quoted on any other markets in or outside the United States. Our common shares have been quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (formerly the Nasdaq National Market) under the symbol "IMOS" since June 19, 2001 and our common shares have been quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market since July 1, 2006. The CUSIP number for our common shares is "G2110R106." As of March 31, 2008, there were 83,852,162 common shares issued and outstanding. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low and average closing prices on the Nasdaq National Market or the Nasdaq Global Select Market for our common shares.

Table of Contents

	Nasdaq ⁽¹⁾ Price per share (US\$)		
	Average	High	Low
2003	3.19	9.39	0.85
2004	8.24	15.00	3.60
2005	6.21	7.55	4.80
2006	6.35	8.10	5.45
First Quarter	6.47	8.10	5.45
Second Quarter	6.86	7.37	5.87
Third Quarter	5.80	6.20	5.45
Fourth Quarter	6.03	6.85	5.54
2007	6.42	7.89	4.11
First Quarter	7.22	7.89	6.72
Second Quarter	6.71	7.39	6.04
Third Quarter	6.64	7.51	5.92
December	4.54	4.98	4.11
Fourth Quarter	5.18	6.14	4.11
2008			
January	3.61	4.10	3.37
February	3.52	3.76	3.40
March	3.03	3.38	2.67
First Quarter	3.39	4.10	2.67
April	3.05	3.22	2.88
May	3.06	3.38	2.78
June (through 4, 2008)	3.25	3.27	3.22

(1) Trading in our common shares commenced on June 19, 2001 on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (formerly the Nasdaq National Market).

Item 10. Additional Information

Description of Share Capital

Our authorized share capital consists of 250 million common shares, par value US\$0.01 per share, and 75 million preferred shares, par value US\$0.01 per share.

Common Shares

Each shareholder is entitled to one vote for each common share held on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders. Cumulative voting for the election of directors is not provided for in our bye-laws, which means that the holders of a majority of the shares voted can elect all of the directors then standing for election. The common shares are not entitled to preemptive rights and are not subject to conversion or redemption. Upon the occurrence of a liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, the holders of common shares would be entitled to share ratably in the distribution of all of our assets remaining available for distribution after satisfaction of all liabilities.

Preferred Shares

Currently there are no specific rights attached to the preferred shares. The specific rights of the preferred shares could include rights, preferences or privileges in priority to our common shares and the establishment of such rights or the delegation to the board of directors to establish such rights will need to be approved by our shareholders. As of March 31, 2008, no preferred shares have been issued by our company.

Table of Contents

Bermuda Law

We are an exempted company organized under the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda. The rights of our shareholders are governed by Bermuda law and our memorandum of association and bye-laws. The Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda differs in some material respects from laws generally applicable to United States corporations and their shareholders.

Dividends

Under Bermuda law, a company may pay dividends that are declared from time to time by its board of directors unless there are reasonable grounds for believing that the company is or would be, after the payment, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or that the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities, issued share capital and share premium accounts. The holders of common shares are entitled to receive dividends out of assets legally available for such purposes at times and in amounts as our board of directors may from time to time determine. Any dividend unclaimed for a period of six years from its date of declaration will be forfeited and will revert to our company.

Voting Rights

Under Bermuda law, except as otherwise provided in the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda or our bye-laws, questions brought before a general meeting of shareholders are decided by a majority vote of shareholders present at the meeting. Our bye-laws provide that, subject to the provisions of the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, and except for extraordinary resolutions, any question properly proposed for the consideration of the shareholders will be decided by a simple majority of the votes cast, either on a show of hands or on a poll, with each shareholder present (and each person holding proxies for any shareholder) entitled to one vote on a show of hands, or on a poll, one vote for each fully paid-up common share held by the shareholder. In the case of an equality of votes cast, the chairman of the meeting shall have a second or casting vote. Any resolution for any of the following extraordinary transactions will require the approval of shareholders holding at least 70.0% of the total voting rights of all the shareholders having the right to vote at such meeting:

- a resolution for the merger, amalgamation or other consolidation of us into any other company;
- a resolution for the sale, lease, exchange, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets; or
- a resolution for the adoption of any plan or proposal for the liquidation of our company.

Rights in Liquidation

Under Bermuda law, in the event of liquidation or winding-up of a company, after satisfaction in full of all claims of creditors and subject to the preferential rights accorded to any series of preferred shares, the proceeds of the liquidation or winding-up are distributed pro rata in specie or in kind among the holders of our common shares.

Meetings of Shareholders

Under Bermuda law, a company is required to convene at least one general shareholders' meeting each calendar year. Bermuda law provides that a special general meeting may be called by the board of directors and must be called upon the request of shareholders holding not less than 10% of the paid-up capital of the company carrying the right to vote. Bermuda law also requires that shareholders be given at least five days' advance notice of a general meeting but the accidental omission to give notice to any person does not invalidate the proceedings at a meeting. Under our bye-laws, we must give each shareholder written notice at least five days prior to the annual general meeting, unless otherwise agreed by all shareholders having the right to vote at that annual general meeting, and written notice at least five days prior to any special general meeting, unless otherwise agreed by a majority of shareholders having a right to vote at that special general meeting, and together holding at least 95% of the paid-up capital of the company carrying the right to vote at that meeting.

Table of Contents

Under Bermuda law, the number of shareholders constituting a quorum at any general meeting of shareholders is determined by the bye-laws of the company. Our bye-laws provide that at least two shareholders present in person or by proxy and holding shares representing at least 50% of the total voting rights of all shareholders having the right to vote at the meeting constitute a quorum. Our bye-laws further provide that, in respect of a general meeting adjourned for lack of quorum, at least two shareholders present in person or by proxy holding shares representing 33 ¹/₃ % of the total voting rights of all shareholders having the right to vote at the meeting constitute a quorum.

Access to Books and Records and Dissemination of Information

Members of the general public have the right to inspect the public documents of a company available at the office of the Registrar of Companies in Bermuda. These documents include a company's certificate of incorporation, its memorandum of association (including its objects and powers) and any alteration to its memorandum of association. The shareholders have the additional right to inspect the bye-laws of the company, minutes of general meetings and the company's audited financial statements, which, unless agreed by all shareholders and directors, must be laid before the annual general meeting. The register of shareholders of a company is also open to inspection by shareholders without charge and by members of the general public on the payment of a fee. A company is required to maintain its share register in Bermuda but may, subject to the provisions of Bermuda law, establish a branch register outside Bermuda. We maintain a share register in Hamilton, Bermuda and a branch register in New Jersey, USA. A company is required to keep at its registered office a register of its directors and officers which is open for inspection for not less than two hours each day by members of the public without charge. Bermuda law does not, however, provide a general right for shareholders to inspect or obtain copies of any other corporate records.

Election or Removal of Directors

Under Bermuda law and our bye-laws, directors are elected or appointed at an annual general meeting and serve until re-elected or re-appointed or until their successors are elected or appointed, unless they are earlier removed for cause or resign or otherwise cease to be directors under Bermuda law or our bye-laws.

A director may be removed for cause at a special general meeting of shareholders specifically called for that purpose, provided that the director is served with at least 14 days' notice. The director has a right to be heard at that meeting. Any vacancy created by the removal of a director at a special general meeting may be filled at that meeting by the election of another director in his or her place or, in the absence of any election by the shareholders, by the board of directors.

Board Actions

Our bye-laws provide that the quorum necessary for the transaction of business is two directors of the board, and that questions arising at a properly convened meeting of the board of directors must be approved by a majority of the votes present and entitled to be cast. In the case of an equality of votes, the chairman of the meeting is entitled to a second or casting vote.

The board of directors may appoint any of our directors to act as our managing director or other senior executive, on such terms and conditions as it may determine, including with respect to remuneration.

Amendment of Memorandum of Association and Bye-laws

Bermuda law provides that the memorandum of association of a company may be amended by a resolution passed at a general meeting of shareholders of which due notice has been given. Our bye-laws, other than the bye-laws separating our board of directors into three classes, may be amended by the board of directors if the amendment is approved by a majority of votes cast by our directors and by our shareholders by a resolution passed by a majority of votes cast at a general meeting. Any amendment to our bye-laws separating a board of directors into three classes must be approved by our board of directors and by shareholders of shares representing at least 60% of our outstanding shares.

Table of Contents

Under Bermuda law, the holders of an aggregate of no less than 20% in par value of a company's issued share capital or any class of issued share capital have the right to apply to the Bermuda Court for an annulment of any amendment of the memorandum of association adopted by shareholders at any general meeting, other than an amendment that alters or reduces a company's share capital as provided in the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda. Where an application is made, the amendment becomes effective only to the extent that it is confirmed by the Bermuda Court. An application for the annulment of an amendment of the memorandum of association must be made within 21 days after the date on which the resolution altering the company's memorandum of association is passed and may be made on behalf of the person entitled to make the application by one or more of their number as they may appoint in writing for the purpose. No application may be made by persons voting in favor of the amendment.

Appraisal Rights and Shareholder Suits

Under Bermuda law, in the event of an amalgamation of two Bermuda companies, a shareholder who is not satisfied that fair value has been paid for his or her shares may apply to the Bermuda Court to appraise the fair value of his or her shares. The amalgamation of a company with another company requires the amalgamation agreement to be approved by the board of directors and, except where the amalgamation is between a holding company and one or more of its wholly-owned subsidiaries or between two or more wholly-owned subsidiaries, by meetings of the holders of shares of each company and of each class of such shares. Under Bermuda law, an amalgamation also requires the consent of the Bermuda Minister of Finance, who may grant or withhold his consent at his discretion.

Class actions and derivative actions are generally not available to shareholders under Bermuda law. The Bermuda Court, however, would ordinarily be expected to permit a shareholder to commence an action in the name of a company to remedy a wrong done to the company where the act complained of is alleged to be beyond the corporate power of the company or is illegal or would result in the violation of the company's memorandum of association or bye-laws. Further consideration would be given by the Bermuda Court to acts that are alleged to constitute a fraud against the minority shareholders or, for instance, where an act requires the approval of a greater percentage of the company's shareholders than that which actually approved it.

When the affairs of a company are being conducted in a manner oppressive or prejudicial to the interests of some part of the shareholders, one or more shareholders may apply to the Bermuda Court for an order regulating the company's conduct of affairs in the future or compelling the purchase of the shares by any shareholder, by other shareholders or by the company.

Certain Foreign Issuer Considerations

The following discussion is based on the advice of Appleby, our Bermuda counsel.

The Bermuda Monetary Authority, or BMA, has designated us as non-resident for exchange control purposes. The BMA has also granted its consent under the Exchange Control Act 1972 and regulations promulgated thereunder for the issue or transfer to non-residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes of our common shares, subject to the common shares remaining quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Share Issuance and Transfers by Non-Bermuda and Bermuda Residents

Under Bermuda law, there are no limitations on the rights of non-Bermuda residents to hold or vote their shares of Bermuda companies. Because we have been designated as a non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, there are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to United States residents who are holders of our common shares other than in respect of local Bermuda currency.

Under Bermuda law, we are an exempted company. An exempted company is exempt from the provisions of Bermuda law, which stipulate that at least 60% of the equity must be beneficially owned by Bermuda persons. Persons regarded as residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes require specific consent under the Exchange Control Act 1972 to acquire securities issued by us. The Exchange Control Act 1972 permits companies to adopt bye-law provisions relating to the transfer of securities. None of Bermuda law, our memorandum of association or our bye-laws imposes limitations on the right of foreign nationals or non-residents of Bermuda to hold our shares or vote such shares.

Table of Contents

As an exempted company, we may not participate in certain business transactions, including: (1) the acquisition or holding of land in Bermuda, except (i) land acquired for its business by way of lease or tenancy agreement for a term not exceeding fifty years, or (ii) with the consent of the Minister of Finance granted in his discretion, land by way of lease or tenancy agreement for a term not exceeding twenty-one years in order to provide accommodation or recreational facilities for its officers and employees; (2) the taking of mortgages on land in Bermuda to secure an amount in excess of US\$50 thousand without the consent of the Bermuda Minister of Finance; or (3) the carrying on of business of any kind in Bermuda, except in furtherance of our business carried on outside Bermuda or under a license granted by the Bermuda Minister of Finance. In addition, present BMA policy permits no more than 20% of the share capital of an exempted company to be held by Bermuda persons.

The Bermuda government actively encourages foreign investment in exempted entities like us that are based in Bermuda but do not operate in competition with local business. In addition to having no restrictions on the degree of foreign ownership, we are subject neither to taxes on our income or dividends nor to any foreign exchange controls in Bermuda. In addition, there is no capital gains tax in Bermuda, and profits can be accumulated by us without limitation.

Director's Interests

Under the Bermuda Companies Act 1981, a director of a company may, notwithstanding his office, be a party to or otherwise interested in any transaction or arrangement with the company or in which the company is otherwise interested. He or she may also be a director or officer of, or employed by, or a party to any transaction or arrangement with, or otherwise interested in, any corporate body promoted by the same company or an interested company. Therefore, where it is necessary, so long as a director of a Bermuda company declares the nature of his or her interest at the first opportunity at a meeting of the board or by writing to the directors as required by the Bermuda Companies Act 1981, that director shall not by reason of his or her office be accountable to a company for any benefit he or she derives from any office or employment to which the bye-laws of the company allow him or her to be appointed or from any transaction or arrangement in which the bye-laws of such company allow him or her to be interested, and no such transaction or arrangement shall be liable to be avoided on the ground of any such interest or benefit. A general notice to the directors by a director or officer declaring that he or she is a director or officer or has an interest in a person and is to be regarded as interested in any transaction or arrangement made with that person shall be sufficient declaration of interest in relation to any transaction or arrangement so made.

Share Issuance and Transfer

We have been designated as a non-resident for exchange control purposes by the BMA, whose permission for the issuance and transfer of common shares has been obtained subject to the common shares being quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

The transfer of common shares between persons regarded as non-resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes and the issuance of shares after the completion of the currently contemplated offering of our common shares to those persons may be effected without specific consent under the Exchange Control Act 1972 of Bermuda and regulations thereunder subject to the common shares remaining quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. Issuance and transfer of shares to any person regarded as resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes require specific prior approval under the Exchange Control Act 1972.

There are no limitations on the rights of persons regarded as non-residents of Bermuda for foreign exchange control purposes who own common shares to hold or vote their common shares. Since we have been designated as a non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, there are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to United States residents or other non-residents of Bermuda who are holders of common shares, other than in respect of local Bermuda currency. Furthermore, it is not our intent to maintain Bermuda dollar deposits and, accordingly, will not pay dividends on the common shares in Bermuda currency.

Table of Contents

Bermuda law requires that share certificates be issued only in the names of corporations or individuals. Where an applicant for common shares acts in a special capacity, such as an executor or trustee, certificates may, at the request of that applicant, record the capacity in which the applicant is acting. Our recording of any special capacity, however, shall not be construed as obliging us either to investigate, or to incur any responsibility or liability in respect of, the proper administration of any trust or estate. Regardless of whether or not we have had notice of a trust, no notice shall be taken of any trust, equitable, contingent, future or partial interest in any share or any interest in any fractional part of a share or any other right in respect of any common shares.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

Reid Management Limited serves as our principal registrar and transfer agent in Bermuda for the common shares. Mellon Investor Services, L.L.C. serves as our United States transfer agent and registrar for the common shares.

Material Contracts

We have entered into the following contracts within the two years preceding the date of this Annual Report on Form 20-F that are or may be material:

- A share purchase and subscription agreement, dated February 13, 2007, among our company, ChipMOS Taiwan and Siliconware Precision, under which we and ChipMOS Taiwan agreed to purchase all of Siliconware Precision's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan, and Siliconware Precision agreed to subscribe for 12,174,998 of our newly issued common shares through a private placement. The share purchase and subscription transaction closed on March 27, 2007.
- A registration rights agreement, dated March 27, 2007, between our company and Siliconware Precision, pursuant to which Siliconware Precision agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer any of our common shares it acquired in the share purchase and acquisition for a period of nine months after March 27, 2007, and we granted to Siliconware Precision certain rights, including demand registration, "piggyback" registration and Form F-3 registration rights, to require us to register its common shares for sale under the Securities Act. The registration rights agreement was entered into in connection with share purchase and subscription transaction described above.
- An assignment agreement, date April 12, 2007, by and between our company and ChipMOS Taiwan, pursuant to which ChipMOS Taiwan assigned and transferred fifty percent of the title to, ownership of and interest in all of the technologies and intellectual property it owned as of that date to ChipMOS Bermuda for a purchase price of US\$6.4 million, which was paid in full in June 2007.
- A registration rights agreement, dated August 8, 2007, among our company, Giant Haven, ProMOS and Powertech Technology Inc., pursuant to which we granted to Mosel, ProMOS and Powertech Technology Inc. certain registration rights, including customary demand and "piggyback" registration rights, common shares for the sale of our common shares under the Securities Act.

Please see also "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions" for summaries of contracts with certain of our related parties.

Bermuda Taxation

This summary is based on laws, regulations, treaty provisions and interpretations now in effect and available as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 20-F. The laws, regulations, treaty provisions and interpretations, however, may change at any time, and any change could be retroactive to the date of issuance of our common shares. These laws, regulations and treaty provisions are also subject to various interpretations, and the relevant tax authorities or the courts could later disagree with the explanations or conclusions set out below.

Table of Contents

At the date hereof, there is no Bermuda income, corporation or profits tax, withholding tax, capital gains tax, capital transfer tax, estate duty or inheritance tax payable by us or our shareholders other than shareholders ordinarily resident in Bermuda. We are not subject to stamp or other similar duty on the issuance, transfer or redemption of our common shares.

We have obtained an assurance from the Minister of Finance of Bermuda under the Exempted Undertaking Tax Protection Act 1966 that, in the event there is enacted in Bermuda any legislation imposing tax computed on profits or income or computed on any capital assets, gain or appreciation or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, such tax shall not be applicable to us or to our operations, or to the common shares, debentures or our other obligations until March 28, 2016, except insofar as such tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda and holding such common shares, debentures or our other obligations or any real property or leasehold interests in Bermuda owned by us. No reciprocal income tax treaty affecting us exists between Bermuda and the United States.

As an exempted company, we are liable to pay in Bermuda an annual registration fee calculated on a sliding scale basis by reference to our assessable capital, which is the aggregate of our authorized common share capital and the premium on our issued common shares currently at a rate not exceeding US\$29,220 per annum.

United States Federal Income Taxation

In General

This section describes the material United States federal income tax consequences generally applicable to ownership by a U.S. holder (as defined below) of our common shares. It applies to you only if you hold your common shares as capital assets for tax purposes. This section does not apply to you if you are a member of a special class of holders subject to special rules, including:

- a dealer in securities;
- a trader in securities that elects to use a mark-to-market method of accounting for securities holdings;
- a tax-exempt organization;
- a life insurance company;
- a person liable for alternative minimum tax;
- a person that actually or constructively owns 10% or more of our voting stock;
- a person that holds common shares as part of a straddle or a hedging or conversion transaction; or
- a person whose functional currency is not the US dollar.

This section is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, its legislative history, existing and proposed regulations, published rulings and court decisions all as currently in effect. These laws are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. There is currently no comprehensive income tax treaty between the United States and Bermuda.

You are a U.S. holder if you are a beneficial owner of common shares and you are:

- a citizen or resident of the United States;
- a domestic corporation;

Table of Contents

- an estate whose income is subject to United States federal income tax regardless of its source; or
- a trust if a United States court can exercise primary supervision over the trust's administration and one or more United States persons are authorized to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

If a partnership holds the common shares, the United States federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the tax treatment of the partnership. If you hold the common shares as a partner in a partnership you should consult your tax advisor with regard to the United States federal income tax treatment of an investment in the common shares.

You should consult your own tax advisor regarding the United States federal, state and local and the Bermuda and other tax consequences of owning and disposing of common shares in your particular circumstances.

This discussion addresses only United States federal income taxation.

Taxation of Dividends

Under the United States federal income tax laws, and subject to the passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, rules discussed below, if you are a U.S. holder, the gross amount of any dividend we pay out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined for United States federal income tax purposes) is subject to United States federal income taxation. If you are a noncorporate U.S. holder, dividends paid to you in taxable years beginning before January 1, 2011 that constitute qualified dividend income will be taxable to you at a maximum tax rate of 15% provided that you hold the common shares for more than 60 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date and meet other holding period requirements. Dividends we pay with respect to the common shares generally will be qualified dividend income provided that, in the year that you receive the dividend, the common shares are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. We believe that our shares, which are listed on the NASDAQ, are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States; however, there can be no assurance that our shares will continue to be readily tradable on an established securities market.

The dividend is taxable to you when you receive the dividend, actually or constructively. The dividend will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction generally allowed to United States corporations in respect of dividends received from other United States corporations. Distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for United States federal income tax purposes, will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of your basis in the common shares and thereafter as capital gain.

Special rules apply in determining the foreign tax credit limitation with respect to dividends that are subject to the maximum 15% tax rate.

Dividends will be income from sources outside the United States, but dividends paid in taxable years beginning before January 1, 2007 generally will be "passive" or "financial services" income, and dividends paid in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2006 will, depending on your circumstances, be "passive" or "general" income which, in either case, is treated separately from other types of income for purposes of computing the foreign tax credit allowable to you. You should consult your own tax advisor regarding the foreign tax credit rules.

Taxation of Capital Gains

Subject to the PFIC rules discussed below, if you are a U.S. holder and you sell or otherwise dispose of your common shares, you will recognize capital gain or loss for United States federal income tax purposes equal to the difference between the amount that you realize and your tax basis in your common shares. Capital gain of a noncorporate U.S. holder that is recognized in taxable years beginning before January 1, 2011 is generally taxed at a maximum rate of 15% where the holder has a holding period greater than one year. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. The gain or loss will generally be income or loss from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes.

Table of Contents

PFIC Rules. We believe that our common shares should not be treated as stock of a Personal Foreign Investment Company, or PFIC, for United States federal income tax purposes, but this conclusion is a legal and factual determination that is made annually and thus may be subject to change. If we were to be treated as a PFIC, unless a U.S. holder elects to be taxed annually on a mark-to-market basis with respect to the shares, gain realized on the sale or other disposition of your common shares would in general not be treated as capital gain. Instead, if you are a U.S. holder, you would be treated as if you had realized such gain and certain “excess distributions” ratably over your holding period for the common shares and would not be taxed at the highest tax rate in effect for each such year to which the gain was allocated, together with an interest charge in respect of the tax attributable to each such year. With certain exceptions, your common shares will be treated as stock in a PFIC if we were a PFIC at any time during your holding period in your common shares. Dividends that you receive from us will not be eligible for the special tax rates applicable to qualified dividend income if we are treated as a PFIC with respect to you either in the taxable year of the distribution or the preceding taxable year, but instead will be taxable at rates applicable to ordinary income.

Documents on Display

We are subject to the information requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In accordance with these requirements, we file reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These materials may be inspected and copied at the Commission’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Commission’s Public Reference Room by calling the Commission in the United States at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission also maintains a web site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Market Risks

Our exposure to financial market risks relates primarily to changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates. To mitigate these risks, we utilize derivative financial instruments, the application of which is primarily for hedging, and not for speculative, purposes.

Interest Rate Risks

As of December 31, 2007, we had aggregate debt outstanding of NT\$19,370 million (US\$597 million), which was incurred for capital expenditure and general operating expenses. Of our outstanding debt as of December 31, 2007, 65% bears interest at variable rates. The interest rate for the majority of our variable rate debt varies based on a fixed percentage spread over the prime rate established by our lenders. Our variable rate debt had an annual weighted average interest rate of 3.7% as of December 31, 2007. Accordingly, we have cash flow and earnings exposure due to market interest rate changes for our variable rate debt. An increase in interest rates of 1% would increase our annual interest charge by NT\$126 million (US\$4 million) based on our outstanding indebtedness as of December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan had no interest rate swap agreements outstanding. ChipMOS Taiwan had entered into five interest rate swap agreements during the year of 2004 and 2005. On October 4, 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan terminated the swap with a notional amount of NT\$300 million, which was entered into on October 13, 2004, and entered into two interest rate swap agreements each with a notional amount of NT\$100 million, which were terminated on November 8, 2005 and December 5, 2005, respectively. On November 2, 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of NT\$200 million, which was terminated on November 4, 2005. On November 4, 2005, the swap with a notional amount of NT\$500 million, which was entered into on July 28, 2004, was also terminated. For these swaps, the difference in interest rates is calculated quarterly and credited or charged in the current period. In 2005, we recognized as NT\$11 million of non-operating expense as a result of the swaps. We did not record any non-operating expense in connection with the swaps in 2006 or 2007.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risks

Our foreign currency exposure gives rise to market risks associated with exchange rate movements against the NT dollar, the Japanese yen and the US dollar. As of December 31, 2007, 39% of our accounts receivable are denominated in US dollars and Japanese yen, and 56% of our accounts payable and payables for properties are denominated in Japanese yen and US dollars. To minimize foreign currency exchange risk, from time to time we utilize forward exchange contracts and foreign currency options to hedge our exchange rate risk on foreign currency assets or liabilities positions. These hedging transactions help to reduce, but do not eliminate, the impact of foreign currency exchange rate movements. An average depreciation of the NT dollar against all other relevant foreign currencies of 5% would increase our annual exchange losses by NT\$203 million (US\$6 million) based on our outstanding assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies as of December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, we had no outstanding forward exchange or foreign currency option contracts. Our net gains on forward exchange contracts were NT\$2 million, NT\$2 million and NT\$123 thousand (US\$4 thousand) for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

See Note 22 of our audited consolidated financial statements for additional information on these derivative transactions.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures . An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2007.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting . Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is set forth below.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

June 6, 2008

Management of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the Republic of China and the required reconciliation to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting using criteria set forth in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, the Company's management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 was effective.

Moore Stephens, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report of the Company on Form-20F for the year ended December 31, 2007 and has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. The attestation report is set forth in "Item 18. Financial Statements".

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Name: Shih-Jye Cheng

Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Shou-Kang Chen

Name: Shou-Kang Chen

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting . During 2007, no change to our internal control over financial reporting occurred that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

As of March 31, 2008 there was no audit committee financial expert serving on the audit committee, as defined under the applicable rules of the SEC issued pursuant to Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, serving on our audit committee. Our board of directors believes that the audit committee members collectively possess sufficient financial knowledge and experience notwithstanding that none of them individually is determined to be an audit committee financial expert.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to our directors, officers and employees. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is filed as Exhibit 11.1 to this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The table below summarizes the aggregate fees that we paid or accrued for services provided by Moore Stephens for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007.

	2006	2007
	(In thousands)	
Audit Fees	NT\$ 7,202	NT\$ 10,604
Audit Related Fees	3,259	195
Tax Fees	—	—
All Other Fees	—	—
Total	NT\$ 10,461	NT\$ 10,799

Audit Fees . This category includes the audit of our annual financial statements and services that are normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years. For 2006, this category primarily includes the review of our financial statements contained in the offering memorandum used in connection with the offering of our 2006 notes and the audit of our financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. For 2007, this category primarily includes the audit of our financial statements and our internal control over financial reporting contained in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Audit-Related Fees. This category includes fees reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and not included in the category of Audit Fees (described above). For 2006, this category primarily included the review of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

All non-audit services are pre-approved by our Audit Committee on a case-by-case basis. Accordingly, we have not established any pre-approval policies and procedures.

All audit services that Moore Stephens was engaged to carry out after May 6, 2003, the effective date of revised Rule 2-01(c) (7) of Regulation S-X entitled “Audit Committee Administration of the Engagement” on strengthening requirements regarding auditor independence, were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements

The Company has elected to provide the financial statements and related information specified in Item 18. in lieu of Item 17.

Item 18. Financial Statements

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and Subsidiaries	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11

Item 19. Exhibits

<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>Description</u>	
1.1	Memorandum of Association of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽¹⁾	
1.2	Bye-laws of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁷⁾	
2.1	Certificate of Incorporation of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., dated August 15, 2000. ⁽¹⁾	
4.1	Joint Venture Agreement, dated July 14, 1997, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽¹⁾	
4.2	Asset Sales Agreement, dated June 14, 1999, between Microchip Technology Taiwan and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	
4.3	Tessera Compliant Chip License Agreement, dated April 20, 1999, between Tessera Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	
4.4	License Agreement, dated April 1, 1999, between Fujitsu Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	
4.5	Sales Agreement, dated February 10, 2000, between Sharp Corp. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	
4.6	Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	
4.7	Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2001, between Siliconware Precision Co. Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾	

Table of Contents

- 4.8 Integrated Circuit Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2001, between Siliconware Precision Co. Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.9 Integrated Circuit Processing and Warehousing Management Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.10 Land Lease Agreement, dated November 26, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.11 Land Lease Agreement, dated November 26, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.12 Land Lease Agreement, dated September 1, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.13 Purchase Agreement, dated July 31, 1997, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Mosel Vitelic Inc. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.14 Form of Share Exchange Covenant Letter from the Company to the Shareholders. ⁽¹⁾
- 4.15 Amendment to the Integrated Circuit Processing and Warehousing Management Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC, dated September 1, 2001. ⁽²⁾
- 4.16 Purchase Agreement, dated October 15, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and DenMOS Technology Inc. ⁽²⁾
- 4.17 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Ron How Investment Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.18 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Yuan Shan Investment Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.19 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Mosel Vitelic Inc. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.20 Laser Stamping Machine Lease Agreement, dated November 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.21 Automatic Stamping Machine Lease Agreement, dated December 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.22 Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.23 Integrated Circuit Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.24 Technology Transfer Agreement, dated December 24, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.25 Tester Equipment Lease Agreement, dated November 14, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.26 Tester Equipment Lease Agreement, dated December 3, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾

Table of Contents

- 4.27 Joint Engagement Letter, undated, by and among Ultima Electronics Corp., ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Sun-Fund Securities Ltd. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.28 Lease Agreement, dated June 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and SyncMOS Technologies, Inc. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.29 Technology Transfer Agreement, dated August 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD. ⁽³⁾
- 4.30 Promissory Note from Modern Mind Technology Limited to Jesper Limited, dated November 4, 2002. ⁽³⁾
- 4.31 Deed of Variation, dated December 2, 2002, between Modern Mind Technology Limited and Jesper Limited. ⁽³⁾
- 4.32 Deed of Assignment, dated December 27, 2002, between Jesper Limited and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽³⁾
- 4.33 Deed of Assignment, dated June 25, 2003, between Jesper Limited and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽³⁾
- 4.34 Agreement, dated May 3, 2003, between Jesper Limited and Modern Mind Technology Limited. ⁽³⁾
- 4.35 Master loan agreement, dated July 12, 2004, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., Modern Mind Technology Limited and Jesper Limited. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.36 Cooperation Agreement, dated March 27, 2002, between Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone Development (Group) Company and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.37 Deed of assignment, dated December 17, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.38 Supplemental deed of assignment, dated May 14, 2004 between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.39 Second supplemental deed of assignment, dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.40 Assignment agreement, dated April 7, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.41 Supplemental assignment agreement, dated May 14, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.42 Second supplemental assignment agreement, dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.43 Patent license agreement, dated April 7, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.44 Supplemental patent license agreement dated July 8, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.45 Second supplemental patent license agreement dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾

Table of Contents

- 4.46 Third supplemental patent license agreement dated December 30, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.47 Assembly and Testing Service Agreement, dated November 27, 2005, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Spansion LLC. ⁽⁶⁾
- 4.48 Share Purchase and Subscription Agreement, dated February 13, 2007, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾
- 4.49 Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 27, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾
- 4.50 Share Exchange Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.51 Assignment Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁹⁾
- 4.52 Form of Change In Control Severance Agreement
- 4.53 Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement, dated June 1, 2007, between Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.54 Second Amendment to Assembly and Test Service Agreement, dated July 16, 2007, by and between Spansion LLC and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.55 Service Agreement for Integrated Circuit Products, dated July 17, 2007, by and between ProMOS Technologies Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.56 Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 8, 2007, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., Giant Haven Investment Limited, ProMOS Technologies Inc. and Powertech Technology Inc.
- 4.57 Third Amendment to Assembly and Test Services Agreement, dated November 30, 2007, by and between Spansion LLC and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.58 Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement, dated December 1, 2007, between Science Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.59 Lease Agreement, dated April 2, 2008, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation)
- 8.1 List of principal subsidiaries of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
- 11.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. ⁽⁴⁾
- 12.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
- 13.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

13.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Exchange Act.

23.1 Consent of independent registered public accounting firm.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-13218), filed on February 28, 2001.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 17, 2002.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 30, 2003.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 17, 2004.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 29, 2005.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-130230), filed on December 9, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form S-8, dated October 5, 2006.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Schedule 13D filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission by Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. on April 4, 2007.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 6, 2007.

We have not included as exhibits certain instruments with respect to our long-term debt, the amount of debt authorized under each of which does not exceed 10% of our total assets, and we agree to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the Commission upon request.

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant certifies that it meets all the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and it has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 20-F to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China, on June 6, 2008.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.

By: /s/ Shih-Jye Cheng
Name: Shih-Jye Cheng
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

**ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

MOORE STEPHENS
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

905 Silvercord, Tower 2
30 Canton Road
Tsimshatsui
Kowloon
Hong Kong

Tel : (852) 2375 3180
Fax : (852) 2375 3828
E-mail : ms@ms.com.hk
www.ms.com.hk

馬
施
雲
事
務
計
師

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”) (see Note 1) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, all expressed in New Taiwan dollars. We have also audited the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the Republic of China and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (continued)

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the Republic of China. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Accounting principles generally accepted in the Republic of China vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The application of the latter would have affected the determination of net income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and the determination of shareholders' equity and financial position at December 31, 2007 and 2006, to the extent summarized in Note 24.

/s/ Moore Stephens
Certified Public Accountants
Hong Kong

March 18, 2008

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
December 31, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan and U.S. Dollars, Except Par Value)

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$ (Note 3)
ASSETS			
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	5,895,904	5,133,580	158,297
Restricted cash and cash equivalents (Note 19)	65,060	86,975	2,682
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss (Notes 2, 4 and 18)	1,929,123	555,608	17,133
Notes receivable	31,103	28,032	864
Accounts receivable - net of allowance for doubtful receivables and sales return allowances of NT\$142,382 in 2006 and NT\$242,325 in 2007 (Notes 2 and 5)			
Related parties (Note 18)	1,839,130	1,498,833	46,217
Third parties	3,190,520	3,795,838	117,047
Other receivables—net of allowance for doubtful receivables and sales return allowances of NT\$18,274 in 2006 and 2007 (Notes 2 and 5)			
Related parties (Note 18)	13,958	11,922	368
Third parties	31,812	31,176	961
Inventories—net (Notes 2 and 6)	945,822	1,043,620	32,181
Deferred income tax—net (Notes 2 and 17)	134,337	85,080	2,624
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	155,790	334,485	10,314
Total Current Assets	14,232,559	12,605,149	388,688
LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS (Notes 2 and 7)	366,742	358,017	11,039
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT—NET (Notes 2 and 14)			
Cost			
Land	530,862	532,605	16,423
Buildings and auxiliary equipment	6,258,118	8,515,871	262,592
Machinery and equipment	37,282,027	41,859,292	1,290,758
Furniture and fixtures	1,071,169	1,405,522	43,340
Transportation equipment	35,357	45,339	1,398
Tools	2,280,643	2,757,546	85,031
Leasehold improvements	5,658	6,217	192
Total cost	47,463,834	55,122,392	1,699,734
Accumulated depreciation (Note 8)	(20,220,415)	(26,298,244)	(810,923)
Accumulated impairment	(109,275)	(109,275)	(3,370)
Construction in progress and advance payments	3,360,179	1,305,559	40,258
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	30,494,323	30,020,432	925,699
INTANGIBLE ASSETS—NET (Notes 2 and 9)	172,394	180,434	5,564
OTHER ASSETS			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents (Note 19)	29,633	29,983	925
Employee dormitory buildings—net of accumulated depreciation of NT\$110,471 in 2006 and NT\$141,060 in 2007 (Note 2)	336,136	338,764	10,446
Refundable deposits	30,604	36,513	1,126
Goodwill (Note 2)	128,455	917,181	28,282
Long-term accounts receivable-related parties (Note 18)	—	449,827	13,871
Others (Note 2)	221,019	379,785	11,711
Total Other Assets	745,847	2,152,053	66,361
TOTAL ASSETS	46,011,865	45,316,085	1,397,351

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)
December 31, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan and U.S. Dollars, Except Par Value)

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$ (Note 3)
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Bank loans (Note 10)	1,055,310	1,249,196	38,520
Current portion of long-term loans (Note 14)	2,335,284	3,686,216	113,667
Convertible notes (Note 13)	—	3,014,937	92,968
Derivative liabilities	—	95,996	2,960
Deferred credit	3,631	3,862	119
Accounts payable	803,026	976,147	30,100
Other payables			
Related parties (Note 18)	25	13	—
Third parties (Note 11)	549,597	604,145	18,629
Income tax payable (Note 2)	293,835	402,863	12,422
Payables to contractors and equipment suppliers	993,191	454,075	14,002
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities (Note 12)	713,581	886,736	27,343
Total Current Liabilities	6,747,480	11,374,186	350,730
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES			
Convertible notes (Note 13)	5,133,837	2,075,553	64,001
Derivative liabilities	77,902	—	—
Long-term loans (Note 14)	10,688,780	9,248,157	285,173
Total Long-Term Liabilities	15,900,519	11,323,710	349,174
OTHER LIABILITIES			
Deferred income tax – net (Notes 2 and 17)	240,464	180,400	5,563
Deferred credit	185,129	169,942	5,240
Accrued pension cost (Notes 2 and 15)	47,572	12,261	378
Guarantee deposits	5,834	7,458	230
Total Other Liabilities	478,999	370,061	11,411
Total Liabilities	23,126,998	23,067,957	711,315
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 21)			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Notes 2 and 16)			
Capital stock NT\$0.328 (US\$0.01) par value			
Authorized 250,000 thousand common shares and 75,000 thousand preferred shares			
Issued and outstanding 83,843 thousand common shares (2006: 70,196 thousand common shares)	23,022	27,517	849
Capital surplus	9,771,876	12,475,936	384,704
Deferred compensation	(56,574)	(69,368)	(2,139)
Retained earnings	4,322,151	6,291,008	193,987
Cumulative translation adjustments	68,074	277,464	8,556
Minority interests	8,756,318	3,245,571	100,079
Total Shareholders' Equity	22,884,867	22,248,128	686,036
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	46,011,865	45,316,085	1,397,351

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan and U.S. Dollars, Except Earnings Per Share)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$ (Note 3)
NET REVENUE (Notes 2, 18 and 23)				
Related parties	4,603,457	5,654,396	6,915,907	213,256
Third parties	<u>10,610,524</u>	<u>14,720,791</u>	<u>16,681,691</u>	<u>514,391</u>
Total Net Revenues	<u>15,213,981</u>	<u>20,375,187</u>	<u>23,597,598</u>	<u>727,647</u>
COST OF REVENUE (Notes 18 and 23)				
Related parties	3,422,644	4,217,605	5,035,137	155,262
Third parties	<u>7,839,987</u>	<u>10,035,740</u>	<u>12,408,927</u>	<u>382,637</u>
Total Cost of Revenue	<u>11,262,631</u>	<u>14,253,345</u>	<u>17,444,064</u>	<u>537,899</u>
GROSS PROFIT	<u>3,951,350</u>	<u>6,121,842</u>	<u>6,153,534</u>	<u>189,748</u>
OPERATING EXPENSES (Note 18)				
Research and development (Note 2)	274,432	274,752	322,325	9,939
General and administrative	793,276	813,046	1,070,438	33,008
Sales and marketing (Note 2)	<u>232,871</u>	<u>107,450</u>	<u>98,328</u>	<u>3,032</u>
Total Operating Expenses	<u>1,300,579</u>	<u>1,195,248</u>	<u>1,491,091</u>	<u>45,979</u>
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	<u>2,650,771</u>	<u>4,926,594</u>	<u>4,662,443</u>	<u>143,769</u>
NON-OPERATING INCOME				
Gain on embedded derivative	—	57,749	—	—
Foreign exchange gain—net (Note 2)	—	37,934	71,092	2,192
Rental (Note 18)	27,697	23,374	28,062	865
Interest	84,546	102,033	117,060	3,610
Cash dividend from financial assets	16,897	2,434	18,662	575
Fair value gain on financial assets	85,959	37,344	—	—
Subsidy income	9,769	9,592	6,338	196
Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment (Note 2)	68,523	25,171	9,567	295
Recovery of allowance for loss on inventories (Note 6)	74,581	—	—	—
Gain on disposal of long-term investments (Note 7)	—	1,059	—	—
Gain on disposal of financial assets	—	—	23,778	733
Claim received	21,000	—	—	—
Other	<u>116,282</u>	<u>65,817</u>	<u>151,173</u>	<u>4,662</u>
Total Non-Operating Income	<u>505,254</u>	<u>362,507</u>	<u>425,732</u>	<u>13,128</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATION (Continued)
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan and U.S. Dollars, Except Earnings Per Share)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$ (Note 3)
NON-OPERATING EXPENSES				
Interest	272,982	398,964	864,949	26,671
Investment loss recognized by equity method (Notes 2 and 7)	126,802	—	—	—
Financing cost	30,125	39,301	34,459	1,063
Fair value loss on financial assets	—	—	84,966	2,620
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment (Note 2)	40,831	918	5,594	172
Foreign exchange loss—net (Note 2)	28,871	—	—	—
Loss on disposal of financial assets	33,024	1,627	—	—
Loss on disposal of a subsidiary	2,603	—	—	—
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	—	5,642	23,384	721
Impairment loss on long-term investments (Note 7)	210,994	57,779	8,735	269
Impairment loss on property, plant and equipment	109,275	—	—	—
Loss on scrap of inventories	75,602	—	—	—
Loss on scrap of property, plant and equipment	35,353	—	—	—
Loss on embedded derivative	—	—	18,022	556
Capital reduction loss on long-term investments (Note 7)	4,854	—	—	—
Other	40,463	81,445	54,799	1,690
Total Non-Operating Expenses	<u>1,011,779</u>	<u>585,676</u>	<u>1,094,908</u>	<u>33,762</u>
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX, MINORITY INTERESTS AND INTEREST IN BONUSES PAID BY SUBSIDIARIES				
	2,144,246	4,703,425	3,993,267	123,135
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (Notes 2 and 17)	<u>(111,949)</u>	<u>(636,499)</u>	<u>(768,235)</u>	<u>(23,689)</u>
INCOME BEFORE MINORITY INTERESTS AND INTEREST IN BONUSES PAID BY SUBSIDIARIES				
	2,032,297	4,066,926	3,225,032	99,446
MINORITY INTERESTS	(977,018)	(1,799,405)	(720,007)	(22,202)
INTEREST IN BONUSES PAID BY SUBSIDIARIES	(127,076)	(149,456)	(285,823)	(8,813)
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES	—	3,277	—	—
NET INCOME	<u>928,203</u>	<u>2,121,342</u>	<u>2,219,202</u>	<u>68,431</u>
EARNINGS PER SHARE – BASIC	<u>13.74</u>	<u>30.84</u>	<u>27.63</u>	<u>0.85</u>
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING – BASIC	<u>67,546</u>	<u>68,781</u>	<u>80,305</u>	<u>80,305</u>
EARNINGS PER SHARE – DILUTED (Note 2)	<u>11.82</u>	<u>25.00</u>	<u>24.24</u>	<u>0.75</u>
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING – DILUTED (Note 2)	<u>82,572</u>	<u>88,296</u>	<u>108,207</u>	<u>108,207</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

options	867	284	89,566	—	—	—	—	—	—	89,850
Issue of shares	12,780	4,211	2,599,168	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,603,379
Issuance of option warrants	—	—	36,874	(12,794)	—	—	—	—	—	24,080
Repurchase of convertible notes	—	—	(2,657)	—	(611)	—	—	—	—	(3,268)
Net profit for 2007	—	—	—	—	2,219,202	—	—	—	720,007	2,939,209
Adjustment of equity method for long- term investments	—	—	(18,891)	—	(249,734)	—	—	—	—	(268,625)
Changes in minority interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,230,754)	(6,230,754)
Translation adjustments	—	—	—	—	—	—	209,390	—	—	209,390
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2007	<u>83,843</u>	<u>27,517</u>	<u>12,475,936</u>	<u>(69,368)</u>	<u>6,291,008</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>277,464</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3,245,571</u>	<u>22,248,128</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan and U.S. Dollars)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$ (Note 3)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	928,203	2,121,342	2,219,202	68,431
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities				
Depreciation	4,240,633	5,489,468	6,718,762	207,177
Amortization of intangible assets	98,497	69,373	116,025	3,578
Amortization of discount of convertible notes	—	57,213	178,613	5,508
Allowance for doubtful receivables	109,248	52,586	130,038	4,010
Recovery of allowance for doubtful receivables	—	(16,357)	(30,095)	(928)
Deferred compensation	21,477	(1,088)	24,080	742
Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment – net	(27,692)	(24,253)	(3,973)	(123)
Investment loss recognized by equity method	126,802	—	—	—
Gain on disposal of long-term investments	—	(1,059)	—	—
Loss on disposal of a subsidiary	2,603	—	—	—
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	—	5,642	23,384	721
Loss (gain) on embedded derivative	—	(57,749)	18,022	556
Impairment loss on long-term investments	210,994	57,779	8,735	269
Impairment loss on property, plant and equipment	109,275	—	—	—
Fair value loss on financial assets	—	—	84,966	2,620
Capital reduction loss on long-term investments	4,854	—	—	—
Accrued pension cost	(21,016)	(34,086)	(35,312)	(1,089)
Deferred income tax – net	(61,471)	254,135	(6,758)	(208)
Minority interests	977,018	1,799,405	720,007	22,202
Changes in operating assets and liabilities				
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss	2,646,420	(1,742,987)	1,288,549	39,733
Notes receivable	31,626	(523)	3,071	95
Accounts receivable	(712,916)	(1,117,926)	(803,369)	(24,772)
Other receivables	3,045	120,468	552	17
Inventories	37,305	(316,842)	(93,071)	(2,870)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	67,116	(78,400)	(176,977)	(5,457)
Other assets	(31,470)	42,233	22,175	684
Notes payable	(45,145)	(3,927)	—	—
Accounts payable	117,297	72,612	167,605	5,168
Other payables	47,906	142,375	53,755	1,658
Income tax payable	60,755	206,191	109,028	3,362
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(106,442)	238,703	171,309	5,282
Deferred credit	(12,283)	(17,936)	(25,477)	(786)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	8,822,639	7,316,392	10,882,846	335,580
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash and cash equivalents	(50,682)	104,469	(20,892)	(644)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	427,475	238,721	42,324	1,305
Proceeds from sales of long-term investments	—	1,059	—	—
Proceeds from sales of intangible assets	5,996	—	—	—
Cash inflow from disposal of a subsidiary (Note 20a)	17,081	—	—	—
Acquisitions of:				
Long-term investments	(116,400)	(20,742)	(10)	—
Property, plant and equipment	(7,651,339)	(15,190,487)	(6,632,887)	(204,529)
Deferred assets	—	(101,263)	(269,573)	(8,313)
Employee dormitory building	(125,426)	(7,656)	(20,107)	(620)
Goodwill	(127,205)	—	—	—
Subsidiary	—	—	(5,305,030)	(163,585)
Increase in refundable deposits	(2,017)	(12,314)	(5,909)	(182)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(7,622,517)	(14,988,213)	(12,212,084)	(376,568)

Table of Contents

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (Notes 1 and 16)
(In Thousands of New Taiwan U.S. Dollars)


	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	US\$
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	(Note 3)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Payments on:				
Bank loans	(332,759)	(147,768)	(208,982)	(6,444)
Commercial paper payable	—	(149,413)	—	—
Long-term loans	—	—	(297,157)	(9,163)
Convertible notes	(237,092)	(256,605)	(244,466)	(7,538)
Long-term bonds payable	(1,200,000)	—	—	—
Proceeds from:				
Bank loans	—	730,777	395,836	12,206
Commercial paper payable	149,413	—	—	—
Convertible notes	—	3,191,300	—	—
Long-term loans	318,448	6,278,523	171,730	5,295
Issuance of capital stock	40,418	131,268	2,693,229	83,047
Changes in minority interests	(258,702)	(834,604)	(1,983,687)	(61,168)
Decrease in guarantee deposits	314	4,396	1,624	50
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	<u>(1,519,960)</u>	<u>8,947,874</u>	<u>528,127</u>	<u>16,285</u>
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	77,695	12,848	38,787	1,196
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and cash equivalents	(242,143)	1,288,901	(762,324)	(23,507)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the year	4,849,146	4,607,003	5,895,904	181,804
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the year	<u>4,607,003</u>	<u>5,895,904</u>	<u>5,133,580</u>	<u>158,297</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION				
Income tax paid	149,741	178,001	665,691	20,527
Interest paid	259,312	291,491	818,712	25,246
NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Current portion of long-term loans	<u>2,300,916</u>	<u>2,335,284</u>	<u>3,686,216</u>	<u>113,667</u>
PARTIAL CASH PAID FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Cash paid for acquisition of property, plant and equipment				
Total acquisitions	7,677,233	15,717,760	6,093,771	187,905
Increase (decrease) in payables to contractors and equipment suppliers	(25,894)	(527,273)	539,116	16,624
	<u>7,651,339</u>	<u>15,190,487</u>	<u>6,632,887</u>	<u>204,529</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

**ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (ChipMOS Bermuda) was incorporated under the laws of Bermuda on August 1, 2000, and its common shares have been traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market since June 2001. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda were 13.35% and 14.52% owned by Mosel Vitelic Inc. (MVI) through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Giant Haven Investment Ltd. and its indirectly-owned subsidiary, Mou-Fu Investment Ltd. (Mou-Fu) and Siliconware Precision Industries Co. Ltd (SPIL). As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda owned 100% (2006: 70.42%) of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (ChipMOS Taiwan) and SPIL owned nil (2006: 28.76%).



ChipMOS Taiwan was incorporated in Taiwan on July 28, 1997 as a joint venture company between MVI and SPIL. Its operations consist of testing and assembly of semiconductors. In connection with a corporate restructuring on January 12, 2001, the holders of an aggregate of 583,419 thousand common shares of ChipMOS Taiwan executed a Purchase and Subscription Agreement whereby they transferred their shares of ChipMOS Taiwan to ChipMOS Bermuda in exchange for 58,342 thousand common shares in ChipMOS Bermuda. The selling shareholders, who previously held an aggregate of 70.25% of the entire outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Taiwan, thus became the holders of the entire outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda. Because 100% of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda were owned by former shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan, the exchange of shares has been accounted for as a merger as if ChipMOS Bermuda was the acquirer. Equity and operations attributable to ChipMOS Taiwan shareholders not participating in the exchange offer were reflected as minority interest in the historical financial statements. MVI participated in the restructuring and share exchange described above and SPIL did not. On November 21, 2005, CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (CHANTEK), a subsidiary of ChipMOS Taiwan since April 1, 2004, merged into ChipMOS Taiwan pursuant to the merger agreement entered into between ChipMOS Taiwan and CHANTEK in June 2005. CHANTEK stock was exchanged for ChipMOS Taiwan stock at the ratio of 3.6 to 1. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan issued 6,215 thousand shares to CHANTEK shareholders, reducing ChipMOS Bermuda and SPIL's interests in ChipMOS Taiwan from 70.34% and 28.73% to 69.85% and 28.53%, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, ChipMOS Bermuda held a 70.42% interest in ChipMOS Taiwan. In March 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda, ChipMOS Taiwan and SPIL completed a share purchase and subscription transaction whereby ChipMOS Bermuda purchased 100% of SPIL's equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan at US\$0.75 per share. SPIL also subscribed to 12,174,998 newly issued common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda through a private placement of US\$6.28 per share. After the transaction, ChipMOS Taiwan became a 99.14% subsidiary of ChipMOS Bermuda and SPIL owned 14.7% of ChipMOS Bermuda. In September 2007, another share exchange transaction was completed whereby ChipMOS Bermuda offered to exchange one share of ChipMOS Bermuda for 8.4 shares of ChipMOS Taiwan shares. The exchange was completed in September 2007 and ChipMOS Taiwan became a wholly-owned subsidiary of ChipMOS Bermuda. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda held a 100% interest in ChipMOS Taiwan.

Table of Contents

1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS (continued)

ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (ThaiLin) was incorporated on May 15, 1996 and is listed on the GreTai Securities Market in Taiwan. ThaiLin is engaged in wafer and semiconductor testing services. On December 31, 2002, ChipMOS Taiwan acquired an equity interest of 41.8% in ThaiLin. On December 1, 2003, ChipMOS Taiwan obtained controlling influence over ThaiLin's decisions on its operations, personnel and financial policies. Therefore, ThaiLin has been consolidated into these financial statements from December 1, 2003 in spite of the fact that ChipMOS Taiwan holds an equity interest of less than 50% in ThaiLin. On December 1, 2005, ChipMOS Logic TECHNOLOGIES INC. (ChipMOS Logic) merged into ThaiLin pursuant to the merger agreement entered into between ChipMOS Logic and ThaiLin in August 2005. ChipMOS Logic stock was exchanged for ThaiLin stock at the ratio of 2.8 to 1. After the merger and as of December 31, 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan held a 35.62% equity interest in ThaiLin. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan held a 36.83% equity interest in ThaiLin.

CHANTEK was incorporated in Taiwan in May 1989, and was listed on the GreTai Securities Market in Taiwan until it was merged into ChipMOS Taiwan. CHANTEK provided semiconductor assembly services for low-density volatile and non-volatile memory semiconductors, consumer semiconductors and microcontroller semiconductors. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired its 34% ownership interest in CHANTEK on September 16, 2002. On April 1, 2004, PlusMOS Technologies Inc. (PlusMOS) was merged into CHANTEK in a stock-for-stock merger pursuant to which shareholders of PlusMOS received 1.1 common shares of CHANTEK in exchange for one common share of PlusMOS. Upon consummation of this merger, ChipMOS Taiwan became the controlling shareholder of CHANTEK and has consolidated CHANTEK since then. ChipMOS Taiwan increased its ownership in CHANTEK during 2004 and held a 68.04% interest as of December 31, 2004. On November 21, 2005, CHANTEK merged into ChipMOS Taiwan (see above).

ChipMOS Logic was incorporated in Taiwan on January 28, 2004, with ChipMOS Taiwan holding a 62.5% interest and ThaiLin holding a 37.5% interest. On March 29, 2004, ChipMOS Logic issued additional shares to institutional investors. As a result, ChipMOS Taiwan's interest in ChipMOS Logic was diluted to 44.44% and ThaiLin's interest was diluted to 26.67%. ChipMOS Logic was engaged in logic testing services. On April 30, 2004, WORLD WIDE TEST Technologies Inc. (WWT) merged into ChipMOS Logic, with ChipMOS Logic as the surviving entity, in a stock-for-stock merger pursuant to which shareholders of WWT received one common share of ChipMOS Logic in exchange for 10 common shares of WWT. As of December 31, 2004, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin owned approximately 56.10% and 24.62%, respectively, of ChipMOS Logic. On December 1, 2005, ChipMOS Logic merged into ThaiLin (see above).

FIRST SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNOLOGY, INC. (FST) was incorporated in the United States of America in June 1998 and engaged in IC logic testing services. ChipMOS Taiwan acquired a 67.83% ownership interest in FST on November 1, 2004, and transferred this interest to FST on April 29, 2005 pursuant to a share repurchase agreement. Accordingly, since January 1, 2005, the results of operations of FST have no longer been consolidated.

ChipMOS Japan Inc. (ChipMOS Japan) was incorporated in Japan in June 1999, and ChipMOS USA Inc. (ChipMOS USA) was incorporated in the United States of America in October 1999. These two companies engage in sales and customer services, and all the expenses incurred from these activities are charged to current income. ChipMOS Japan began generating revenue in 2000, while ChipMOS USA began generating revenue in 2001. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan owned 100% of the outstanding shares of both ChipMOS Japan and ChipMOS USA.

MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED (Modern Mind) was incorporated in the British Virgin Islands on January 29, 2002. Modern Mind conducts its operations through ChipMOS Shanghai. ChipMOS Bermuda acquired a 100% equity interest in Modern Mind on December 12, 2002, and then transferred it to Jesper Limited (Jesper) on December 31, 2002. In December 2002 and 2003, ChipMOS Bermuda acquired from Jesper and ChipMOS Taiwan, respectively, convertible notes issued by Modern Mind that are convertible into a controlling equity interest in Modern Mind if the repayment is not made when due. Accordingly, ChipMOS Bermuda is deemed to have a controlling interest in Modern Mind.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD. (ChipMOS Shanghai), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Modern Mind, was established in the People's Republic of China (PRC) on June 7, 2002. ChipMOS Shanghai is engaged in wafer testing, semiconductor assembly and testing, and module and subsystem manufacturing. ChipMOS Shanghai commenced commercial production in 2003.

Table of Contents

1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS (continued)

ChipMOS Bermuda controls both Modern Mind and its 100% subsidiary, ChipMOS Shanghai, as ChipMOS Bermuda possesses the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of Modern Mind by contract or otherwise and thereby has established a parent-subsidiary relationship over Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai. For this reason, Modern Mind and ChipMOS Shanghai have been consolidated into these financial statements in spite of the fact that ChipMOS Bermuda does not hold an equity interest in Modern Mind.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited (ChipMOS HK) was incorporated in Hong Kong on November 18, 2002. It is engaged in semiconductor testing and assembly services and trading of spare parts and tools. ChipMOS HK is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ChipMOS Bermuda.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ChipMOS Bermuda and all subsidiaries in which ChipMOS Bermuda (hereinafter, referred to individually or collectively as the “Company”) holds a controlling interest or voting interests in excess of 50% in accordance with the requirements of ROC Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7 (“SFAS No. 7”). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The Company’s consolidated financial statements include for 2005 the financial results of ChipMOS Taiwan and its subsidiaries, ThaiLin, ChipMOS Japan, ChipMOS USA and FST, ChipMOS HK, Modern Mind and its wholly-owned subsidiary, ChipMOS Shanghai, and CHANTEK and ChipMOS Logic up to their respective dates of merger (see Note 1). For 2006 and 2007, the Company’s consolidated financial statements include the financial results of ChipMOS Taiwan and its subsidiaries, ThaiLin, ChipMOS Japan and ChipMOS USA, ChipMOS HK and Modern Mind and its wholly-owned subsidiary, ChipMOS Shanghai.

Adoption of new and revised ROC SFAS

SFAS No. 37 “Intangible Assets”

Commencing from January 1, 2007, the Company adopted SFAS No. 37 “Intangible Assets”. Prior to adoption of SFAS No. 37, the Company’s technology know-how, technology license fees, software and bond issuance costs were recorded in intangible assets. After the adoption of SFAS No. 37, these items were reclassified to other assets as they do not meet the definition of intangible assets as prescribed in SFAS No. 37. The comparative amounts have also been reclassified due to the adoption of SFAS No. 37.

Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash, accounts and other receivables.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had credit risk exposure of uninsured cash in banks of approximately NT\$5,250,538 thousand (US\$161,904 thousand).

A substantial portion of revenue is earned from a small number of customers on credit and generally without any requirement of collateral.

The Company had three and two customers that had balances greater than ten percent of total notes and accounts receivable as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
<u>Related party (Note 18)</u>		
ProMOS Technologies Inc. (ProMOS)	36%	34%
<u>Third party</u>		
Spansion LLC (Spansion)	11%	19%
Powerchip Semiconductor Corp. (Powerchip)	11%	7%

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Credit evaluation of each customer is performed and reserves for potential credit losses are maintained. Losses from bad debts, in the aggregate, have historically not exceeded management's expectations.

Use of estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the recorded amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the Company. The Company continually evaluates these estimates, including those related to allowances for doubtful amounts, inventories, useful lives of properties, income tax valuation allowances, pension plans and the fair value of financial instruments. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and other assumptions, which it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

Cash equivalents

Repurchase notes with original maturity dates of three months or less are classified as cash equivalents.

Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss include investments for trading purposes and those designated as financial assets reported at fair value, with the subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings.

Allowance for doubtful receivables

The allowance for doubtful receivables reflects estimates of the expected amount of the receivables that the Company will not be able to collect. The Company first examines the available information regarding any customer that the Company has reason to believe may be unable to meet its financial obligations. For these customers, the Company uses its judgment, based on the available facts and circumstances, and records a specific allowance for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific allowances are reevaluated and adjusted as additional information is received. Secondly, for all other customers, the Company maintains an allowance based on a range of percentages applied to aging categories. These percentages are based on our historical collection and write-off experience. Additional allowances may be required in the future if the financial condition of our customers or general economic conditions deteriorate, and this additional allowance would reduce the Company's net income.

Allowances for sales returns and discounts

Allowances for sales returns and discounts are provided based on the sales returns from past experience; such provisions are deducted from sales and the related costs of products are deducted from cost of products sold.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual weighted average cost) or market value. Unbilled processing charges incurred are included in finished goods and work in progress and are stated at actual cost. Market value represents replacement cost for raw materials and net realizable value for finished goods and work in progress.

Long-term investments

Investments in shares of stock of companies wherein the Company exercises significant influence on operational or financial decisions are accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method, the investments are initially carried at cost and subsequently adjusted for the proportionate equity of the Company in the net income or net loss of the investees.

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The Company will discontinue its recognition of its equity in the net loss of the investees when the carrying value of the investment (including advances) is reduced to zero. However, in cases where the Company guarantees the obligations or is committed to provide further financial support to an investee, or if the investee's losses are temporary and evidence sufficiently shows imminent return to profitability in the foreseeable future, then the Company continues to recognize its share in the net loss of the investees. (The resulting credit balances of the long-term investments are presented as part of other receivables from related parties.)

Translation adjustments resulting from the process of translating the investees' financial statements into the functional currency of the Company are recorded as cumulative translation adjustments in the statement of changes in shareholders' equity.

Gains or losses on transactions with investees wherein the Company owns at least 20% of the outstanding common stock but less than a controlling interest are deferred in proportion to the ownership percentage until realized through a subsequent transaction with a third party. The entire amount of gains or losses on sales to majority-owned subsidiaries is deferred until such gains or losses are realized through the subsequent sale of the related products to third parties.

Other stock investments (listed stocks or stocks traded over the counter) are accounted for using the cost method. These investments are stated at cost less temporary declines in market value, with an increase to allowance for declines in market value and a corresponding reduction of shareholders' equity. The allowance is then reduced for any subsequent recovery of the market value to the extent of the balance of the allowance. However, if the decline in market value is considered irrecoverable, the decline in market value is recorded as a charge to income.

Cash dividends are recognized as income in the year received but are accounted for as a reduction in the carrying value of the long-term investments if the dividends are received in the same year that the related investments are acquired. Stock dividends are recognized only as an increase in the number of shares held on the ex-dividend date.

The costs of investments sold are determined using the weighted average method.

Property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory buildings

Property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory buildings (presented as part of Other Assets) are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Major additions, renewals and improvements are capitalized while maintenance and repairs are expensed currently.

The initial estimate of the service lives of property, plant and equipment is as follows: machinery and equipment, 1 to 5 years; buildings and auxiliary equipment, 1 to 54 years; furniture and fixtures, 1 to 5 years; tooling, 1 to 2 years; transportation equipment, 5 years; and leasehold improvements, 1 to 10 years. Salvage value is considered when determining the basis of depreciated assets. If items of property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory buildings are still in good condition and useful at the end of their original service lives, the salvage value is depreciated over any extended useful life.

Upon sale or disposal of items of properties, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any gain or loss is credited or charged to current income.

Intangible assets

Intangible assets are recorded at cost except for donation from the government, which is measured at fair value. Subsequent to their initial recognition, the book values are the cost and their incremental value that resulted from revaluation minus accumulated amortization and impairment loss.

Amortization is computed using the straight-line method. The Company reevaluates the residual value, estimated useful lives, and amortization method at least once every year. Changes in the above factors will be regarded as changes in accounting estimate.

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Deferred charges

Deferred charges are amortized using the straight-line method over the following periods: technology know-how, 5 years; technology license fees, 5 years; software, 2 to 4 years; bond issuance costs, using the average method.

Goodwill and negative goodwill

Goodwill arising on consolidation represents the excess of the cost of acquisition over the group's interest in the fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities of an investee company at the date of acquisition. Goodwill is recognized as an asset and carried at cost less accumulated impairment.

Goodwill arising on the acquisition of an associate or a jointly controlled entity is included within the carrying amount of the associate or jointly controlled entity. Goodwill arising on the acquisition of subsidiaries is presented separately in the balance sheet.

Negative goodwill arising on consolidation represents the excess of the group's interest in the fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities of an investee company over the cost of acquisition at the date of acquisition. Negative goodwill is allocated to the related assets according to the method applied to identify net assets at the process of acquisition.

Asset impairment

The Company reviews its long-lived assets, including properties, assets leased to others and deferred charges, to look for any indication that an asset may be impaired as of the balance sheet date. An impairment loss is recognized whenever the recoverable amount of the asset of the cash-generating unit is below the carrying amount of an asset. If there is an indicator that an asset may be impaired, then the Company calculates the recoverable amount of the asset or the cash-generating unit. Recoverability is determined by comparing the carrying value of the asset (or asset group) on the date it is tested for recoverability to the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortization) charged on the assets is adjusted in future periods by the revised carrying values of the assets (net of accumulated impairment), less their salvage value, on a systematic basis over their remaining useful lives.

If asset impairment loss (excluding goodwill) is reversed, the increase in the carrying value resulting from the reversal is credited to current income or debited to accumulated impairment to increase the carrying value of the asset to its recoverable amount. However, loss reversal is limited to the carrying value (net of depreciation or amortization) of the asset as if the impairment has not been recognized.

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis regardless of whether there is any indication of impairment. Recognized impairment losses of goodwill cannot be reversed.

Revenue recognition

Revenue from testing and assembly services is generally recognized upon shipment of tested and assembled semiconductors to locations designated by customers, including the Company's internal warehouse for customers using the Company's warehousing services. Revenue from product sales is recognized when title of products and risks of ownership are transferred to customers, generally upon shipment of the products. Other criteria that the Company uses to determine when to recognize revenue are: (1) existence of persuasive evidence of the services provided, (2) customers' fixed commitment to purchase the products, (3) the selling price is fixed or determinable and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company does not take ownership of: (1) bare semiconductor wafers received from customers that it assembles into finished semiconductors, and (2) assembled semiconductors received from the customers that it tests. The title and risk of loss remains with the customer for those bare semiconductors and/or assembled semiconductors. Accordingly, the customer-supplied semiconductor materials are not included in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

These policies are consistent with provisions in the Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, as revised by No. 104, issued by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or U.S. SEC.

The Company does not provide warranties to customers except in cases of defects in the assembly services provided and deficiencies in testing services provided. An appropriate sales allowance is recognized in the period during which the sale is recognized, and is estimated based on historical experience.

Government grant

A government grant is recognized at its fair value and credited to the income statement. Where the grant relates to an asset, the fair value is credited to a deferred income account and is recognized as income over the periods necessary to match the related amortization of the asset, on a systematic basis.

Research and development costs

Research and development costs consist of expenditure incurred during the course of planned research and investigation aimed at discovery of new knowledge which will be useful for developing new products or production processes, or significantly enhancing existing products or production processes, and the implementation of such through design and testing of product alternatives or construction of prototypes. All expenses incurred in connection with the Company's research and development activities are charged to current income.

Pension and retirement costs

Pension costs are recorded based on actuarial calculations. Provisions for pension costs are accrued based on actuarially determined amounts which include service cost, interest, amortization of unrecognized net transition obligation and expected return on pension assets. Unrecognized net transition obligation is amortized over 15 years.

Retirement benefit contributions are made to pension scheme and/or retirement funds, the assets of which are managed by independent investment firms and/or government agencies. Contributions are made based on a percentage of the employees' salaries and bonus, if applicable, and are charged to the income statement as incurred.

Income tax

The Company has adopted the inter-period income tax allocation method. Deferred income tax assets are recognized for the tax effects of deductible temporary differences, unused tax credits, and operating loss carryforwards and those of taxable temporary differences are recognized as deferred income tax liabilities. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets that are not certain to be realized. A deferred tax asset or liability is classified as current or non-current based on the classification of the related asset or liability. However, if a deferred asset or liability cannot be related to an asset or liability in the financial statements, then it is classified as current or non-current based on the expected reversal dates of the temporary difference.

Any tax credit arising from the purchase of machinery, equipment and technology, research and development expenditures, personnel training, or investments in important technology-based enterprise is recognized by the flow-through method.

Adjustments of prior years' tax liabilities are added to or deducted from the current year's tax provision.

Income taxes (10%) on unappropriated earnings generated by ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin are recorded as an expense in the year when the stockholders have effectively resolved that earnings shall be retained.

Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) has become effective in the ROC since January 1, 2006. The calculation base for income tax payment should be either the taxable income calculated by the AMT plus tax exemptions granted under other laws, taxed at the rate of 10% as set by the Executive Yuan of the ROC, or that calculated in accordance with the AMT, whichever is higher. ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin have included this effect in the current income tax provision.

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Advertising costs

Advertising costs included in sales and marketing expenses are expensed when incurred.

Derivative financial instruments

Foreign currency forward exchange contracts (forward contracts), entered into for purposes other than trading, are recorded as follows: the differences in the New Taiwan dollar amounts translated using the spot rates as of the contract date and the amounts translated using the contracted forward rates are amortized over the terms of the forward contract using the straight-line method. At the balance sheet dates, the receivables or payables arising from forward contracts are restated using the prevailing spot rates and the resulting differences are recognized in income. Also, the receivables and payables related to the forward contract are netted and the resulting net amount is presented as either an asset or liability.

The aggregate amount of the foreign currency to be acquired or sold under European option contracts, entered into as hedge of anticipated transactions, is not recorded as an asset or a liability. The amounts received on options written and the amounts paid on options purchased are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the contract. The gains arising from the exercise of the options or the losses arising from options not exercised are recognized as adjustments to the carrying values when the hedged transaction occurs.

Foreign-currency transactions

Foreign-currency transactions, except for derivative financial instruments, are recorded in New Taiwan dollars at the rates of exchange in effect when the transactions occur. Gains or losses resulting from the application of different foreign exchange rates when cash in foreign currency is converted into New Taiwan dollars, or when foreign-currency receivables or payables are settled, are credited or charged to income in the year of conversion or settlement. On the balance sheet dates, the balances of foreign-currency assets and liabilities are restated at the prevailing exchange rates and the resulting differences are charged to current income except those foreign currencies denominated investments in shares of stock where such differences are accounted for as translation adjustments under stockholders' equity. ROC SFAS No. 14, "Accounting for Foreign-Currency Transactions", applies to foreign operations, with the local currency of each foreign subsidiary as its functional currency. The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries are translated into New Taiwan dollars at the following exchange rates: assets and liabilities—current rate; shareholders' equity—historical rates; income and expenses – weighted average rate during the year. The resulting translation adjustment is recorded as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Earnings per share

Earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding in each period, adjusted retroactively for stock dividends and stock bonuses issued subsequently.

The following table reconciles the denominator to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

	December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
	(in thousands)		
Basic number of shares	67,546	68,781	80,305
Add: stock options	1,602	2,723	2,174
convertible notes	13,424	16,792	25,728
Diluted number of shares	<u>82,572</u>	<u>88,296</u>	<u>108,207</u>

Table of Contents

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The following table reconciles the numerator to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Year ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net income	928,203	2,121,342	2,219,202	68,431
Add: interest expense and other expenses (net of tax)	48,062	85,831	403,310	12,436
Income available to common stockholders adjusted for the effects of assumed exercise of options and conversion of notes	<u>976,265</u>	<u>2,207,173</u>	<u>2,622,512</u>	<u>80,867</u>

Stock-based compensation

Employee stock-based compensation has been accounted for under the intrinsic value based method. Share appreciation rights have been accounted for using the fair value method. Cash-settled stock appreciation rights have been recognized as a liability.

Convertible notes

Convertible notes issued before January 1, 2006 should be accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 21 and thus, the related interest premium payable and amortization of discount or premium should be recognized.

Convertible notes issued after January 1, 2006 should be split into liability and equity components. If a convertible debt instrument has an embedded call or put option feature, the assessment of whether the call or put option is closely related to the host debt contract should be made in accordance with SFAS No. 34. If the derivatives embedded in the convertible notes are not closely related to the host debt contract, the put and call option features should be carried at fair value with gains and losses in earnings. The other conversion features should be recorded in equity.

The excess of the stated redemption price over the par value is accrued as compensation interest payable over the redemption period, using the effective interest method.

When convertible noteholders exercise their conversion right, the book value of the note is credited to common stock at an amount equal to the par value of the common stock and the excess is credited to capital surplus. No gain or loss is recognized upon conversion of notes.

Comparative amounts

Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.

3. TRANSLATION INTO U.S. DOLLAR AMOUNTS

The Company maintains its accounts and expresses its consolidated financial statements in New Taiwan dollars. For convenience purposes, U.S. dollar amounts presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been translated from New Taiwan dollars to U.S. dollars at the noon buying rate in the City of New York for cable transfers as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York as of December 31, 2007, which was NT\$32.43 to US\$1.00. These convenience translations should not be construed as representations that the New Taiwan dollar amounts have been, or could in the future be, converted into U.S. dollars at this or any other rate of exchange.

Table of Contents

4. FINANCIAL ASSETS AT FAIR VALUE THROUGH PROFIT AND LOSS

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Stock	257,652	254,470	7,847
Open-ended funds	1,671,471	301,138	9,286
Fair value	<u>1,929,123</u>	<u>555,608</u>	<u>17,133</u>

The market value of open-ended funds is based on the market price at year-end.

During 2005, ChipMOS Taiwan sold part of its investments in common stock of MVI and ProMOS at a loss of NT\$68,402 thousand and a gain of NT\$907 thousand, respectively, and ChipMOS Logic sold its investment in common stock of SPIL at a gain of NT\$4,148 thousand.

During 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan disposed of all of its 2,069 thousand shares of MVI at a gain of NT\$539 thousand. ChipMOS Taiwan also acquired 3,500 thousand shares of ProMOS in 2006.

As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan held 4,201 thousand (2006: 4,201 thousand) shares of common stock of ProMOS and ThaiLin held 3,600 thousand (2006: 3,600 thousand) shares of common stock of ProMOS (see also Note 18 Related Party Transactions).

5. ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL RECEIVABLES AND SALES RETURN ALLOWANCES

The changes in the allowances are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of year	292,051	401,299	160,656	4,954
Additions	109,248	52,586	130,038	4,010
Reversal	—	(16,357)	(30,095)	(928)
Write offs	—	(276,872)	—	—
Balance, end of year	<u>401,299</u>	<u>160,656</u>	<u>260,599</u>	<u>8,036</u>

6. INVENTORIES—NET

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Finished goods	55,420	97,694	3,012
Work in progress	233,306	190,955	5,888
Raw materials	764,734	818,743	25,247
	1,053,460	1,107,392	34,147
Less - allowance for losses	<u>(107,638)</u>	<u>(63,772)</u>	<u>(1,966)</u>
	<u>945,822</u>	<u>1,043,620</u>	<u>32,181</u>

Table of Contents

6. INVENTORIES – NET (continued)

The changes in the inventory valuation allowances are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of year	111,074	93,693	107,638	3,319
Additions	57,200	20,305	—	—
Reversals	(74,581)	(6,360)	(43,866)	(1,353)
Balance, end of year	<u>93,693</u>	<u>107,638</u>	<u>63,772</u>	<u>1,966</u>

7. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

	December 31,			
	2006		2007	
	Carrying Value	% of Ownership	Carrying Value	% of Ownership
	NT\$	(in thousands, except percentage interests)	NT\$	US\$
Equity method:				
Ultima Technology Corp. (Ultima Technology)	—	30	—	—
Cost method:				
Best Home Corp. Ltd. (Best Home)	—	19	—	—
Sun Fund Securities Ltd. (Sun Fund)	148,120	17	139,385	4,298
Vigour Technology Corp. (Vigour)	—	4	—	—
G-LINK Technology Corp., Taiwan (G-LINK)	—	—	—	—
DigiMedia Technologies Co., Ltd. (DigiMedia Cayman)	198,666	12	198,666	6,126
Validity Sensors Inc. (preferred shares)	19,956	—	19,956	615
Tashee Golf & Country Club	—	—	10	—
	<u>366,742</u>		<u>358,017</u>	<u>11,039</u>

The equity in net loss of Ultima Technology for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Ultima Technology	(126,802)	—	—	—

The foregoing equity in net loss was based on audited financial statements.

In 2004, in accordance with ROC SFAS No. 5, ChipMOS Taiwan deferred its recognition of the proportionate share of loss of Ultima Technology for one year to 2005. Therefore, the share of net loss of Ultima Technology in 2006 also included the share of 2005 loss of Ultima Technology.

Table of Contents

7. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS (continued)

In 2006 and 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan did not recognize its share of the loss of Ultima Technology as no audited financial statements were available. In accordance with ROC SEC regulations, a company must recognize its share of the income/loss of investee companies based on audited financial statements.

The summarized financial information for Ultima Technology is as follows:

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Current assets	1,988	1,986	61
Non-current liabilities	21,738	19,369	597
Current liabilities	98	156	5

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net income (loss)	(111,458)	(74,338)	2,211	68

In June 2005, G-Link reduced its issued capital by 50%, and as a result, a loss of NT\$4,854 thousand was recognized in respect of the reduction in capital of G-Link.

In July 2006, the Company exchanged its 14,550 thousand shares of DigiMedia Technology Co., Ltd. for 8,184 thousand shares of DigiMedia Cayman.

In February and November 2006, the Company disposed of its interest in ISSI and G-Link and recorded a gain of NT\$1,059 thousand.

During 2005, impairment losses of NT\$188,310 thousand, NT\$4,855 thousand and NT\$17,829 thousand were recognized in respect of investments in Ultima Technology, G-LINK Technology Corp., Taiwan (G-Link) and Sun Fund, respectively.

During 2006, impairment losses of NT\$57,779 thousand were recognized in respect of investment in Ultima Technology. The investment in Ultima Technology was fully impaired as of December 31, 2006.

During 2007, impairment losses of NT\$8,735 thousand were recognized in respect of investment in Sun Fund.

8. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT – NET

Accumulated depreciation consists of the following:

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Buildings and auxiliary equipment	1,826,799	2,040,883	62,932
Machinery and equipment	16,801,332	21,930,194	676,232
Furniture and fixtures	416,719	603,047	18,595
Transportation equipment	17,146	22,876	705
Tools	1,157,591	1,699,620	52,409
Leasehold improvements	828	1,624	50
	<u>20,220,415</u>	<u>26,298,244</u>	<u>810,923</u>

As of December 31, 2007, certain of the above buildings and machinery were mortgaged as collateral for long-term loans (Note 14).

Table of Contents

9. INTANGIBLE ASSETS – NET

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
<u>Land use rights</u>			
Cost	184,708	196,457	6,058
Accumulated amortization	(12,314)	(16,023)	(494)
Carrying value	<u>172,394</u>	<u>180,434</u>	<u>5,564</u>

The amortization charge for 2007 amounted to NT\$2,848 thousand (2006: NT\$2,690 thousand, 2005: NT\$2,637 thousand). The weighted average amortization period is 50 years (2006: 50 years). The estimated aggregate amortization charge for the five years ending December 31, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 amounts to approximately NT\$3,000 thousand each year.

10. BANK LOANS

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
<u>Unsecured loans:</u>			
Loans for import of machinery:			
US\$11,040 thousand, repayable by February 2007, annual interest at 6.35%	359,849	—	—
US\$2,019 thousand, repayable by May 2007, annual interest at 6.224%—6.24%	65,799	—	—
US\$13,122 thousand, repayable by June 2007, annual interest at 6.02%—6.205074%	427,712	—	—
US\$1,458 thousand, repayable by January 2008, annual interest at 6.24384%	—	47,283	1,458
US\$9,069 thousand, repayable by February 2008, annual interest at 5.95%—6.24384%	—	294,117	9,069
US\$14,580 thousand, repayable by March 2008, annual interest at 5.74696%—6.3399%	—	472,829	14,580
US\$336 thousand, repayable by April 2008, annual interest at 5.6237%	—	10,897	336
US\$120 thousand, repayable by June 2008, annual interest at 5.67%	—	3,892	120
JPY203,220 thousand, repayable by January 2008, annual interest at 1.425412%—1.46%	—	58,873	1,815
JPY712,118 thousand, repayable by February 2008, annual interest at 1.42%—1.6081%	—	206,301	6,362
JPY40,650 thousand, repayable by June 2008, annual interest at 1.4757%—1.6%	—	11,776	363
Loans for import :			
JPY494,401 thousand, repayable by February 2008, annual interest at 1.4334%—1.4704%	—	143,228	4,417

Table of Contents

10. BANK LOANS (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Secured loans:			
Working capital loans:			
US\$300 thousand, repayable by July 2007, annual interest at 6.0%, collateralized by land and buildings	9,779	—	—
US\$700 thousand, repayable by October 2007, annual interest at 6.0%, collateralized by land and buildings	22,817	—	—
US\$200 thousand, repayable by November 2007, annual interest at 6.0%, collateralized by land and buildings	6,519	—	—
US\$200 thousand, repayable by November 2007, annual interest at 6.0%, collateralized by land and buildings	6,519	—	—
US\$200 thousand, repayable by December 2007, annual interest at 6.0%, collateralized by land and buildings	6,519	—	—
Loans for import of machinery:			
US\$4,090 thousand, repayable by January 2007 annual interest at 6.0%—6.03%, collateralized by land and buildings	133,303	—	—
US\$283 thousand, repayable by February 2007, annual interest at 6.02535%, collateralized by land and buildings	9,211	—	—
US\$223 thousand, repayable by March 2007, annual interest at 6.0125%—6.02%, collateralized by land and buildings	7,283	—	—
	<u>1,055,310</u>	<u>1,249,196</u>	<u>38,520</u>

Unused credit lines of short-term bank loans, as of December 31, 2007, totaled approximately NT\$12,095,479 thousand, which will expire from January 2008 to December 2008.

The weighted average interest rate for bank loans was 5.5% per annum in 2007 (2006: 3.2% per annum).

Table of Contents

11. OTHER PAYABLES – THIRD PARTIES

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Miscellaneous factory expenses	205,624	256,941	7,923
Others	343,973	347,204	10,706
	<u>549,597</u>	<u>604,145</u>	<u>18,629</u>

12. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Accrued bonus	489,291	537,281	16,567
Others	224,290	349,455	10,776
	<u>713,581</u>	<u>886,736</u>	<u>27,343</u>

13. CONVERTIBLE NOTES

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Convertible notes	5,133,837	5,090,490	156,969
Less: current portion	—	(3,014,937)	(92,968)
	<u>5,133,837</u>	<u>2,075,553</u>	<u>64,001</u>

Convertible notes due 2009 (CN due 2009)

On November 3, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda issued US\$85,000 thousand (NT\$2,756,550 thousand) CN due 2009. The CN due 2009 bear interest at 1.75% per annum. The noteholders may convert any outstanding notes into common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda, initially at the conversion price of US\$7.85 at any time during the period from the 41st day after the latest original issuance date of the notes to the close of business on the fifth business day before the stated maturity date, subject to prior repurchase or redemption. The conversion price was subject to certain adjustments. On November 3, 2005, the conversion price was adjusted to US\$6.28 per share from the initial conversion price of US\$7.85 per share, pursuant to the terms of the CN due 2009. The market price on November 3, 2005 was US\$6.00. There is no fixed discount to the common shares' market price in relation to conversion. On December 20, 2004, ChipMOS Bermuda repurchased US\$699 thousand (NT\$22,669 thousand) of the CN due 2009. No conversion had taken place during 2005 and 2007.

On October 18, 2006, noteholders of CN due 2009 converted US\$7,000 thousand (NT\$227,010 thousand) in aggregate principal amount of the CN due 2009 into 1,114,649 common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda pursuant to ChipMOS Bermuda's induced conversion offer, dated October 17, 2006. Pursuant to the induced conversion offer, ChipMOS Bermuda paid approximately US\$490 thousand (NT\$15,891 thousand) to the converting noteholders.

Table of Contents

13. CONVERTIBLE NOTES (continued)

The noteholders of CN due 2009 had an option to cause ChipMOS Bermuda to repurchase for cash all or a portion of the notes on November 3, 2006 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of repurchase (put option). On November 3, 2006, ChipMOS Bermuda repurchased US\$6,300 thousand (NT\$204,309 thousand) CN due 2009 pursuant to the put option. After November 3, 2006, noteholders may cause ChipMOS Bermuda to repurchase the CN due 2009 only upon the occurrence of certain fundamental changes.

At any time on or after November 3, 2006, the Company may also at its option redeem the notes for cash at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, (a) in whole or in part, if the market price of the Company's common shares has been at least 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days during any 30 consecutive trading day period, or (b) in whole only, if at least 90% of the initial aggregate principal amount of the notes have been converted, repurchased or redeemed (call option).

Convertible notes due 2011 (CN due 2011)

On September 29, 2006, ChipMOS Bermuda issued US\$100,000 thousand (NT\$3,243,000 thousand) CN due 2011. The CN due 2011 bear interest at 3.375% per annum. The noteholders may convert any outstanding notes into common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda, initially at the conversion price of US\$6.85, at any time during the period from the 41st day after the latest original issuance date of the notes to the close of business on the fifth business day before the stated maturity date, subject to prior repurchase or redemption. The conversion price will be subject to certain adjustments. No conversion had taken place during 2006 and 2007. On November 29, 2007, ChipMOS Bermuda repurchased US\$1,000 thousand (NT\$32,430 thousand) of CN due 2011.

The noteholders of CN due 2011 have an option to cause ChipMOS Bermuda to repurchase for cash all or a portion of the notes on September 29, 2008 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of repurchase (put option). Therefore, the CN due 2011 have been classified as current liabilities as of December 31, 2007.

At any time on or after September 29, 2008, the Company may also at its option redeem the notes for cash at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, (a) in whole or in part, if the market price of the Company's common shares has been at least 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days during any 30 consecutive trading day period, or (b) in whole only, if at least 90% of the initial aggregate principal amount of the notes have been converted, repurchased or redeemed (call option).

Table of Contents

14. LONG-TERM LOANS

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from December 2003 to September 2008, interest at floating rate (3.45% and 2.945% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	149,528	64,084	1,976
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable semi-annually from September 2004 to September 2007, interest at floating rate (5.03% as of December 31, 2006)	571,400	—	—
Syndicated bank loans, repayable semi-annually from September 2004 to September 2007, interest at floating rate (5.155% as of December 31, 2006)	142,850	—	—
Bank loans, repayable quarterly from November 2004 to February 2007, interest at fixed rate of 3.4%	30,000	—	—
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from June 2004 to March 2008, interest at floating rate (4.24% and 4.58% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	709,615	141,923	4,376
Bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from December 2004 to September 2007, interest at floating rate (4.25% as of December 31, 2006)	39,000	—	—
Bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from February 2005 to November 2008, interest at floating rate (3.14% and 3.01% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	175,005	87,505	2,698
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from June 2005 to December 2010, interest at floating rate (3.45% and 2.945% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	431,305	323,478	9,975
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable semi-annually from November 2006 to May 2010, interest at floating rate (3.99% and 4.33% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	875,000	625,000	19,272
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by buildings, repayable in September 2009, interest at floating rate (3.505% and 3.845% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	500,000	500,000	15,418
Bank loans, repayable quarterly from February 2006 to November 2009, interest at fixed rate of 4.69%	375,000	250,000	7,709
Bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from March 2006 to December 2010, interest at floating rate (3.08% and 3.05% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	352,000	264,000	8,141
Industrial research and development advancement loan, collateralized by time deposits in amounts of NT\$29,983 thousand, repayable quarterly from January 2006 to April 2010, interest at fixed rate of 1%	22,649	16,178	499
Syndicated bank loans, repayable in April 2008, interest at floating rate (3.655% and 3.995% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	500,000	500,000	15,418

Table of Contents

14. LONG-TERM LOANS (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Bank loans, early repaid in July 2007, interest at fixed rate of 2.89%	200,000	—	—
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable semi-annually from April 2008 to April 2011, interest at floating rate (3.14% and 3.48% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	6,000,000	6,000,000	185,014
Syndicated bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable semi-annually from June 2010 to December 2012, interest at floating rate (3.3615% as of December 31, 2007)	—	1,000,000	30,836
Bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from July 2006 to April 2008, interest at fixed rate of 3.2%	150,000	50,000	1,542
Bank loans, repayable quarterly from September 2007 to June 2009, interest at floating rate (3.24% and 3.58% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	200,000	150,000	4,625
Bank loans, repayable quarterly from December 2007 to December 2009, interest at floating rate (3.01% and 3.41% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	400,000	355,500	10,962
Bank loans collateralized by buildings, repayable semi-annually from June 2009 to December 2013, interest at floating rate (3.09% and 3.51% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	450,000	800,000	24,669
Bank loans collateralized by equipment, repayable quarterly from May 2007 to February 2012, interest at floating rate (2.75% and 3.405%—3.419% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	264,000	1,112,526	34,305
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from September 2008 to June 2009, interest at floating rate (6.12375% and 5.7675% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	65,190	64,860	2,000
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from December 2008 to July 2009, interest at floating rate (6.35% and 6.17% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	13,038	12,972	400
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from December 2008 to September 2009, interest at floating rate (6.22063% and 6.3725% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	130,380	129,721	4,000
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from December 2008 to August 2009, interest at floating rate (6.26063% and 6.2275% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	24,446	24,323	750
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from March 2009 to September 2009, interest at floating rate (6.22% and 5.895% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	55,411	55,131	1,700
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in October 2009, interest at floating rate (6.20688% and 5.87875% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	1,304	1,297	40

Table of Contents

14. LONG-TERM LOANS (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in November 2009, interest at floating rate (6.17063% and 5.64625% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	3,223	3,206	99
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from March 2009 to November 2009, interest at floating rate (6.18063% and 5.60313% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	32,595	32,430	1,000
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from March 2009 to November 2009, interest at floating rate (6.16875% and 5.65625% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	88,007	87,562	2,700
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from March 2009 to December 2009, interest at floating rate (6.17% and 5.625% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	60,301	59,996	1,850
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from June 2009 to December 2009, interest at floating rate (6.17% and 5.5275% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	8,149	8,108	250
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in December 2009, interest at floating rate (6.16% and 5.5175% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	2,380	2,368	73
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in December 2009, interest at floating rate (6.16063% and 5.5175% as of December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively)	2,288	2,277	70
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.19625% as of December 31, 2007)	—	22,883	706
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.19469% as of December 31, 2007)	—	21,423	661
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.18688% as of December 31, 2007)	—	19,086	588
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.18438% as of December 31, 2007)	—	28,966	893
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.17% as of December 31, 2007)	—	5,473	169
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.17406% as of December 31, 2007)	—	2,492	77
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in January 2010, interest at floating rate (6.12688% as of December 31, 2007)	—	32,305	996

Table of Contents

14. LONG-TERM LOANS (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in February 2010, interest at floating rate (6.11438% as of December 31, 2007)	—	9,165	283
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in March 2010, interest at floating rate (6.2625% as of December 31, 2007)	—	1,109	34
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable quarterly from June 2009 to March 2010, interest at floating rate (6.27875% as of December 31, 2007)	—	37,781	1,165
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in March 2010, interest at floating rate (5.94125% as of December 31, 2007)	—	5,662	174
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in March 2010, interest at floating rate (5.94438% as of December 31, 2007)	—	475	15
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in April 2010, interest at floating rate (6.02125% as of December 31, 2007)	—	5,725	176
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in April 2010, interest at floating rate (5.87875% as of December 31, 2007)	—	15,512	478
Bank loans collateralized by land and buildings, repayable in April 2010, interest at floating rate (5.80563% as of December 31, 2007)	—	1,871	58
	<u>13,024,064</u>	<u>12,934,373</u>	<u>398,840</u>
Less – current portion	<u>(2,335,284)</u>	<u>(3,686,216)</u>	<u>(113,667)</u>
	<u>10,688,780</u>	<u>9,248,157</u>	<u>285,173</u>

Unused credit lines of long-term bank loans as of December 31, 2007 totaled approximately NT\$6,740,330 thousand.

Under syndicated bank loans facility agreements, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin are required to maintain certain financial ratios. ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin were in compliance with the financial ratio requirements as of December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2007, certain land and buildings (including land use rights) and machinery with an aggregate net book value of NT\$3,872,348 thousand and NT\$12,292,910 thousand, respectively, and time deposits in an aggregate amount of NT\$29,983 thousand were mortgaged as collateral for the long-term loans.

Table of Contents

14. LONG-TERM LOANS (continued)

Future minimum principal payments under the long-term loans as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	Amount	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)	
2008	3,686,216	113,667
2009	3,864,969	119,179
2010	3,009,302	92,794
2011	1,646,543	50,772
2012	567,343	17,494
Thereafter	160,000	4,934
	<u>12,934,373</u>	<u>398,840</u>

15. PENSION PLAN

ChipMOS Taiwan, ThaiLin, ChipMOS Logic and CHANTEK have established defined benefit pension plans for all of their regular employees, which provide benefits based on the length of service and the average monthly salary for the six-month period immediately before retirement.

ChipMOS Taiwan, ThaiLin, ChipMOS Logic and CHANTEK make monthly contributions, equal to 2% of salaries and wages, to a pension fund that is administered by a pension fund monitoring committee and deposited in the Central Trust of China in the Republic of China.

Taiwan has a new pension scheme law effective July 1, 2005. The new pension scheme is a defined contribution scheme. All new employees who join or joined ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin after July 1, 2005 must participate in the new scheme. Existing employees can choose to stay with the old scheme or to join the new scheme. Under the new scheme, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin are required to contribute 6% of the employees' salary into the employees' own pension fund accounts managed by the government.

Before the consummation of the mergers of CHANTEK into ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS Logic into ThaiLin, CHANTEK and ChipMOS Logic requested a refund of the money deposited in the Central Trust of China. After the mergers, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin made monthly contributions to the pension fund for the employees transferred from CHANTEK and ChipMOS Logic as well.

The employees of ChipMOS Shanghai are required to participate in a central pension scheme operated by the local municipal government. Contributions are made based on a percentage of the employees' salaries and bonuses, if applicable, and are charged to the income statement as incurred.

Certain pension information is as follows:

a. Net pension cost

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	30,021	1,688	1,662	51
Interest cost	8,159	7,790	8,735	269
Projected return on plan assets	(4,500)	(4,740)	(5,500)	(170)
Amortization	53	53	53	2
Curtailement gain	1,031	780	3,429	106
	<u>34,764</u>	<u>5,571</u>	<u>8,379</u>	<u>258</u>

Table of Contents

15. PENSION PLAN (continued)

b. Reconciliation of the fund status of the plan and accrued pension cost

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Actuarial present value of benefit obligations				
Vested benefit obligation	415	1,028	1,081	33
Nonvested benefit obligation	142,011	141,408	226,673	6,990
Accumulated benefit obligation	142,426	142,436	227,754	7,023
Additional benefits based on future salaries	140,827	175,209	239,946	7,399
Projected benefit obligation	283,253	317,645	467,700	14,422
Plan assets at fair value	(157,043)	(199,991)	(249,637)	(7,698)
Projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets	126,210	117,654	218,063	6,724
Unrecognized net transition obligation	(663)	(610)	(557)	(17)
Unrecognized net gain	(43,889)	(69,472)	(205,245)	(6,329)
Accrued pension cost	81,658	47,572	12,261	378

c. Actuarial assumptions

	2005	2006	2007
Discount rate used in determining present values	2.75%	2.75%	2.88%
Future salary increase rate	3.25%	4.25%	4.25%
Expected rate of return on plan assets	2.75%	2.75%	3.00%

d. Changes in pension fund

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Company contributions	29,892	39,656	43,706	1,348
Payment of benefits	—	—	—	—

16. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Under ROC Company Law, capital surplus can only be used to offset deficits, except that capital surplus generated from (1) donations (donated capital) or (2) the excess of the issue price over the par value of capital stock (including stocks issued for new capital and mergers, and the purchase of treasury stock) can be transferred to capital as stock dividends when no deficit remains and shareholders approve such distribution.

ChipMOS Taiwan's Articles of Incorporation provide that the following may be appropriated from the accumulated net income, after deducting any previously accumulated deficit and 10% legal reserve, subject to shareholders' approval: (a) 10% as bonuses to employees, (b) not more than 0.5% as remuneration to directors and supervisors, (c) a special reserve, if deemed necessary, and (d) dividends to shareholders.

ThaiLin's Articles of Incorporation provide that the following may be appropriated from the accumulated net income, after deducting any previously accumulated deficit and 10% legal reserve, subject to shareholders' approval: (a) not more than 0.5% as remuneration to directors and supervisors, (b) not less than 10% as bonuses to employees, and (c) dividends to shareholders but not less than 50% should be distributed as cash dividends to shareholders; or not distribute.

These appropriations and the disposition of the remaining net income shall be resolved by the shareholders in the following year and given effect in the financial statements of that year.

Table of Contents

16. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)

The aforementioned appropriation for legal reserve shall be made until the reserve equals the aggregate par value of ChipMOS Taiwan's and ThaiLin's outstanding capital stock. The reserve can only be used to offset a deficit, or when its balance has reached 50% of the aggregate par value of the outstanding capital stock of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin, and up to 50% thereof can be distributed as stock dividends.

Stock Options

The Share Option plan provides that the directors, officers, employees and consultants of ChipMOS Bermuda and its affiliates may be granted options to purchase common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda at specified exercise prices.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2007.

Year of grant	Exercise Price US\$	Number outstanding	Market		Number Exercisable on or after				
			Market Price at grant US\$	Price at Year End US\$					
2002	4.0375	572,063	4.75	4.26	— April 2003	55,150 April 2004	274,859 April 2005	242,054 April 2006	
2003	0.7650 ~1.7425	1,186,426	1.09 ~3.70	4.26	— December 2003	278,575 October~ December 2004	299,488 October~ December 2005	438,463 October~ December 2006	169,900 October~ November 2007
2004	3.60 ~6.63	1,739,050	3.60 ~7.80	4.26	320,700 April~ August 2005	401,800 April~ August 2006	501,875 April~ August 2007	514,675 April~ August 2008	
2006	4.8110 ~5.7205	2,024,104	5.66 ~6.73	4.26	63,000 August~ 2006	492,247 August~ December 2007	489,619 August~ December 2008	489,619 August~ December 2009	489,619 August~ December 2010
2007	3.6380 ~6.4770	1,827,250	4.28 ~7.63	4.26	441,100 January~ December 2008	441,097 January~ December 2009	441,028 January~ December 2010	504,025 January~ December 2011	
		<u>7,348,893</u>							

The Company has applied APB Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations, for stock options issued to employees in accounting for its stock option plans. Therefore, NT\$173,490 thousand (US\$5,350 thousand) of compensation expense has been recognized with NT\$37,336 thousand (US\$1,151 thousand) (2006: NT\$18,623 thousand) being accounted for through the statement of operations. The Company issued 1,884,400 (2006: 2,170,510) stock options in 2007 to its employees. In 2007, 228,631 (2006: 319,200) stock options were forfeited and 865,612 (2006: 1,322,143) stock options were exercised, leaving 7,348,893 (2006: 6,558,736) stock options outstanding at December 31, 2007.

Share Appreciation Rights

The share appreciation rights plan provides that the directors, officers and employees of ChipMOS Bermuda and its affiliates may be granted cash-settled share appreciation rights.

The following table summarizes information about share appreciation rights outstanding at December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

16. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)

Year of grant	Exercise Price	Number outstanding	Market		Number Exercisable on or after			
			Market Price at grant	Price at Year End				
2006	4.8110 ~5.7205	1,090,135	5.66 ~6.73	4.26	275,203 August~ December	271,644 August~ December	271,644 August~ December	271,644 August~ December
2007	3.6380 ~6.4770	540,000	4.28 ~7.62	4.26	135,000 January~ December	135,000 January~ December	135,000 January~ December	135,000 January~ December
					2007 2008	2008 2009	2009 2010	2010 2011
		<u>1,630,135</u>						

During the year, 582,000 (2006: 1,229,110) rights were granted, 152,475 (2006: 27,000) rights were forfeited and 1,500 rights were exercised. As of December 31, 2007, there were 1,630,135 (2006: 1,202,110) share appreciation rights outstanding.

The Company recognized compensation expense of NT\$28,534 thousand (US\$880 thousand) (2006: NT\$24,684 thousand) in respect of share appreciation rights at fair value.

17. INCOME TAX EXPENSE

- a. A reconciliation of income tax expense – current before tax credits and income tax expense on income before income tax at statutory rates is shown below:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Tax on pretax income at 0%	—	—	—	—
Tax on pretax income at applicable statutory rates	752,862	1,354,892	1,459,397	45,001
Tax effect:				
Losses carried forward	(476,206)	(246,352)	(4,125)	(127)
Tax exempt income	(136,122)	(165,760)	(35,068)	(1,081)
Permanent differences	42,486	(106,793)	(58,424)	(1,802)
Temporary differences	44,167	(108,075)	(126,130)	(3,889)
Income tax expense – current before tax credits	<u>227,187</u>	<u>727,912</u>	<u>1,235,650</u>	<u>38,102</u>

The ROC statutory tax rates for 2005, 2006 and 2007 were 25%.

The PRC statutory rates for 2005, 2006 and 2007 were 33%.

Table of Contents

17. INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) (continued)

b. Income tax expense consists of:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Income tax expense – current before tax credits	227,187	727,912	1,235,650	38,102
Additional 10% on the unappropriated earnings	163,838	111,066	171,597	5,291
Income tax credits	(218,672)	(506,285)	(723,594)	(22,312)
Separate and foreign income tax	746	—	44	1
Income tax for the current year	173,099	332,693	683,697	21,082
Net change in deferred income tax assets (liabilities) for the year				
Tax credits	76,611	(206,923)	172,174	5,309
Temporary differences	(237,161)	94,547	126,130	3,889
Losses recognized	(13,174)	6,764	4,125	127
Valuation allowances	(405,487)	280,359	(250,859)	(7,735)
Losses carried forward	517,738	133,732	—	—
Adjustment of prior years' taxes	323	(4,673)	32,968	1,017
Income tax expense	<u>111,949</u>	<u>636,499</u>	<u>768,235</u>	<u>23,689</u>

Since the Company is an exempted company incorporated in Bermuda, a tax-free country, tax on pretax income is calculated at the Bermuda statutory rate of 0% for each year.

ChipMOS Taiwan, under Science Park Regulations, is entitled to an exemption from ROC income taxes for a period of four years on income attributable to the expansion of its production capacity as a result of purchases of new equipment funded by capital increases. Such tax exemption will expire on December 31, 2008.

In accordance with the relevant tax rules and regulations in the PRC, ChipMOS Shanghai enjoys income tax exemptions for the first two profitable years and 50% reductions for the following three years. Tax losses can only be carried forward for five years. ChipMOS Shanghai is subject to PRC income tax at 27%.

c. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are summarized as follows:

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Net current deferred income tax assets:			
Unrealized foreign exchange losses	3,679	2,321	72
Tax credits	491	—	—
Loss of market price decline and obsolete and slow-moving inventories	19,026	13,734	423
Unrealized loss on sale allowances	21,912	53,279	1,643
Others	89,229	15,746	486
	<u>134,337</u>	<u>85,080</u>	<u>2,624</u>
Net non-current deferred income tax liabilities:			
Losses carried forward	62,396	134,754	4,155
Tax credits	1,117,985	946,302	29,180
Depreciation differences	(627,613)	(736,418)	(22,708)
Unrealized impairment loss on idle fixed asset	12,586	106	3
Others	273,676	308,993	9,528
	<u>839,030</u>	<u>653,737</u>	<u>20,158</u>
Less: Valuation allowances	<u>(1,079,494)</u>	<u>(834,137)</u>	<u>(25,721)</u>
	<u>(240,464)</u>	<u>(180,400)</u>	<u>(5,563)</u>

The deferred income tax components are measured at respective applicable statutory rates as of December 31, 2006 and 2007.

Table of Contents

17. INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) (continued)

- d. The balance and year of expiry of unused investment tax credits and losses carried forward as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Year of expiry	R & D expenditures NT\$	Machinery and equipment NT\$ (in thousands)	Losses carried forward NT\$
2008	—	314,371	—
2009	42,732	392,281	—
2010	—	56,239	14,615
2011	—	89,638	51,705
2012 and after	—	51,041	68,434
	42,732	903,570	134,754

The deferred tax assets relate to investment tax credits on research and development expenditure and purchases of machinery and equipment which will expire from 2008 to 2012. Under ROC tax regulations, tax credits can be utilized to reduce current income tax obligations only to the extent of 50% of such income tax obligations except in the year when such tax credits will expire, in which case, the entire amount of expiring tax credits may be utilized to reduce the current income tax obligation. The foregoing limitation on the utilization of tax credits, the expiry dates of the tax credits, the level of tax credits expected to be generated from future operations and the level of non-taxable income attributable to the four-year income tax holiday on capacity expansion led management to conclude that it is unlikely that these investment tax credits will be fully realized. Losses carried forward can be used to deduct current income tax obligations up to the extent of taxable income and will expire after five years if not fully utilized by the Company. Accordingly, a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets is recognized as of December 31, 2006 and 2007.

- e. According to ROC tax law, ChipMOS Taiwan's, ThaiLin's, ChipMOS Logic's and CHANTEK's unappropriated earnings generated in 1998 and thereafter are subject to a tax of 10% in the year when the shareholders resolve that such earnings shall be retained. The retained earnings as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 consist of:

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Before 1998	—	—	—
1998 and thereafter	6,930,120	8,246,742	254,294
	6,930,120	8,246,742	254,294

The income tax returns of ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin through 2004 and 2005, respectively, have been assessed by the tax authorities (Note 21h).

Table of Contents

18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company engages in business transactions with the following related parties:

- a. MVI: A major shareholder.
- b. DenMOS Technology Inc. (DenMOS): An investee of MVI.
- c. ProMOS: An investee of MVI.
- d. SPIL: A major shareholder.
- e. Mou-Fu: An investee of MVI.

The significant transactions with the aforementioned parties, other than those disclosed in other notes, are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
(in thousands)				
<u>During the year</u>				
Revenue				
ProMOS	4,332,058	5,529,273	6,883,749	212,265
MVI	6	—	—	—
DenMOS	271,393	125,040	32,158	991
SPIL	—	83	—	—
	<u>4,603,457</u>	<u>5,654,396</u>	<u>6,915,907</u>	<u>213,256</u>
Rental revenue				
MVI	4,800	2,160	—	—
DenMOS	30	—	—	—
ProMOS	9,371	9,371	15,600	481
	<u>14,201</u>	<u>11,531</u>	<u>15,600</u>	<u>481</u>
Purchases of materials				
MVI	11,964	—	—	—
SPIL	75	—	53	2
	<u>12,039</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>2</u>
Operating expenses:				
Management expenses				
Mou-Fu	3,900	1,950	—	—
Rental expenses				
MVI	593	—	—	—
ProMOS	1,245	—	—	—
	<u>1,838</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Other expenses				
MVI	148	144	148	5
DenMOS	—	—	13	—
	<u>148</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>5</u>
Other revenue				
SPIL	—	62	—	—
ProMOS	522	73	1,969	61
	<u>522</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>1,969</u>	<u>61</u>
Fee for shareholders' services				
Mou-Fu	2,667	2,520	—	—

Table of Contents

18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
(in thousands)			
<u>At the end of year</u>			
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss			
Stock			
ProMOS	110,778	67,871	2,093
Accounts receivable			
ProMOS	1,851,469	1,602,100	49,402
DenMOS	10,498	2,876	88
Less: Allowances for doubtful receivables	(22,837)	(106,143)	(3,273)
	<u>1,839,130</u>	<u>1,498,833</u>	<u>46,217</u>
Other receivables			
ProMOS	13,118	11,922	368
MVI	613	—	—
SPIL	65	—	—
DenMOS	162	—	—
	<u>13,958</u>	<u>11,922</u>	<u>368</u>
Long-term accounts receivable			
ProMOS	—	449,827	13,871
Other payables			
MVI	25	13	—

In the period from July to December 2003, MVI transferred its DRAM business to ProMOS. As a result, 28%, 27% and 29% of the Company's 2005, 2006 and 2007 sales were made to ProMOS. The price was agreed upon quarterly, based on the then fair market price. The collection term for ProMOS is 75 days after month end, while other related parties have normal collection terms of 60 days after month end. The selling price is the same as for other customers.

In 2006, ThaiLin acquired motor vehicles from its president Lafair Cho at NT\$1,400 thousand.

The payment terms for purchases from related parties are the same as those from other suppliers.

In 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan sold tooling to ProMOS at NT\$6,528 thousand.

The long-term accounts receivable from ProMOS bears interest at 4.69% per annum and is repayable on February 15, 2009.

The Company consults its ROC counsel on certain related party transactions and obtains legal opinions, as appropriate, to ensure that such transactions do not violate relevant ROC laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

19. RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

	December 31,		
	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Current:			
Time deposits (maturing from January 2008 to January 2010)	51,650	53,519	1,650
Deposit for letters of credit	13,410	33,456	1,032
	<u>65,060</u>	<u>86,975</u>	<u>2,682</u>
Non-current:			
Time deposits (matured in March 2008)	29,633	29,983	925
	<u>94,693</u>	<u>116,958</u>	<u>3,607</u>

Time deposits are pledged as collateral for the Company's customs duties payable, letters of credit and research and development subsidy loans.

20. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Disposal of a subsidiary

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net assets disposed:				
Cash and bank balances	46,674	—	—	—
Accounts receivable	7,115	—	—	—
Inventories	38	—	—	—
Prepayment and other assets	3,064	—	—	—
Property, plant and equipment	50,505	—	—	—
Intangible assets	2,099	—	—	—
Capital lease payable	(12,400)	—	—	—
Accounts payable	(794)	—	—	—
Accrued and other liabilities	(514)	—	—	—
Minority interest	(29,429)	—	—	—
	<u>66,358</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Loss on disposal of a subsidiary	(2,603)	—	—	—
	<u>63,755</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Cash consideration	<u>63,755</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

An analysis of the net inflow of cash and cash equivalents in respect of the disposal of a subsidiary is as follows:

Cash consideration	63,755	—	—	—
Less: cash and bank balances disposed	(46,674)	—	—	—
	<u>17,081</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

Table of Contents

21. SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

- a. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan leased parcels of land from the Hsinchu and Tainan Science Park under several agreements expiring on various dates from 2008 to 2026, with renewal options.

The future minimum lease payments under the above-mentioned leases as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Year	Amount	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)	
2008	23,436	723
2009	23,436	723
2010	23,436	723
2011	23,436	723
2012	23,436	723
Thereafter	136,185	4,199
Total minimum lease payments	<u>253,365</u>	<u>7,814</u>

- b. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS USA leased its office under an agreement expiring in 2010.

The future minimum lease payments under the above-mentioned lease as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Year	Amount	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)	
2008	3,535	109
2009	3,632	112
2010	3,113	96
Total minimum lease payments	<u>10,280</u>	<u>317</u>

- c. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Shanghai leased land under an agreement expiring in August 2052.

The future minimum lease payments under the above-mentioned lease as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Year	Amount	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)	
2008	1,261	39
2009	1,261	39
2010	1,261	39
2011	1,261	39
2012	1,261	39
Thereafter	1,261	39
Total minimum lease payments	<u>50,024</u>	<u>1,542</u>
	<u>56,329</u>	<u>1,737</u>

Table of Contents

21. SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

- d. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan leased machinery under an agreement expiring in 2010.

The future minimum lease payments under the above-mentioned leases as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Year	Amount	
	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)	
2008	1,398,101	43,111
2009	1,387,008	42,769
2010	582,665	17,967
Total minimum lease payments	<u>3,367,774</u>	<u>103,847</u>

- e. On April 20, 1999, ChipMOS Taiwan entered into a semiconductor packaging technology license agreement with Tesser Technologies, Inc. (Tessera). Under this agreement, ChipMOS Taiwan agreed to pay a license fee of US\$500 thousand and a royalty fee at a certain percentage of the net sales of certain products. ChipMOS Taiwan paid the total license fee of approximately US\$500 thousand (NT\$15,888 thousand) in 1999 and amortized the amount over 5 years using the straight-line method. ChipMOS Taiwan also paid approximately US\$500 thousand (NT\$16,708 thousand) in 2004 as the cumulative production and sales quantity of products bearing Tessera Compliant Chip packages did not meet the commitment schedule as set forth in the agreement. In February 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS USA received notice of a patent infringement lawsuit brought by Tessera, alleging infringement of several Tessera patents and breach of an existing license agreement with ChipMOS Taiwan. According to Company's counsel, previously scheduled fact discovery has been stayed in accordance with a Northern District of California Court order issued on May 24, 2007. The Company continues preparation for trial once the stay is lifted. The Company's counsel has not formed an opinion as to the outcome of the case.
- f. The Company has unused letters of credit aggregating approximately US\$14,927 thousand, Euro 101 thousand, JPY792,035 thousand and GBP25 thousand, as of December 31, 2007.
- g. As of December 31, 2007, ThaiLin factored accounts receivables from Powerchip for a credit line of NT\$180,000 thousand (US\$5,550 thousand) from a bank and provided a promissory note in the same amount as guarantee. As of December 31, 2007, the credit line has not been utilized.
- h. In 2007, tax authorities assessed and adjusted by way of increase the income taxes of ChipMOS Taiwan for 2004 by NT\$14,675 thousand. The Company filed an appeal against the assessment.
- i. As of December 31, 2007, Modern Mind had a capital commitment in relation to capital contribution to ChipMOS Shanghai of US\$127,500 thousand (NT\$4,134,825 thousand), which was originally due on June 6, 2005. On March 21, 2005, Modern Mind obtained approval from the Shanghai Foreign Investment Committee to extend the capital contribution due date to December 6, 2007. On November 7, 2007, Modern Mind obtained approval from the Shanghai Foreign Investment Committee to extend the capital contribution due date to December 7, 2008.
- j. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan had capital commitments in relation to construction of factories, dormitories and purchase of plant and machinery in the amount of NT\$640,603 thousand (US\$19,753 thousand).
- k. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Shanghai had capital commitments in relation to construction of factories, dormitories and purchase of plant and machinery in the amount of NT\$193,613 thousand (US\$5,970 thousand).

Table of Contents

21. SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

1. On October 16, 2006, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (“Freescale”) unilaterally terminated an “Immunity Agreement” (the “Agreement”), ChipMOS Taiwan and Freescale, formerly part of Motorola, Inc. (“Motorola”), entered into in 1999 for ChipMOS Taiwan’s alleged breach of the Agreement. Under the Agreement, ChipMOS Taiwan promised to pay royalties and licensee fees to Motorola for using certain patents owned by Motorola. Freescale replaced Motorola as a party to the Agreement, after Freescale was spun off from Motorola. Freescale has alleged that ChipMOS Taiwan breached the Agreement by failing to pay royalties on certain packages assembled by ChipMOS Taiwan. Freescale claims that such packages are covered by one or more Freescale patents identified in the Agreement while ChipMOS Taiwan contends that such packages are not covered by any patents in the Agreement, or, if covered, those patents are invalid. As such, ChipMOS Taiwan argues Freescale’s unilateral termination of the Agreement has no legal effect. ChipMOS Taiwan has continued to make royalty payments for products it believes are covered by the Agreement. Any payments returned by Freescale have been deposited in a separate escrow account. If Freescale initiates a lawsuit, ChipMOS Taiwan expect to vigorously defend itself. The Company’s counsel has not formed any opinion as to the outcome of the case.

22. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

ChipMOS Taiwan has entered into forward exchange contracts and foreign currency options for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 to hedge its exchange rate risk on foreign-currency assets or liabilities and anticipated transactions. Information on the derivative transactions is as follows:

a. Forward exchange contracts

As of December 31, 2006 and 2007, there were no outstanding forward contracts.

Net exchange gains on forward exchange contracts were NT\$1,528 thousand, NT\$2,257 thousand and NT\$123 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

b. European option

ChipMOS Taiwan expects to receive U.S. dollars from its export sales and to pay Japanese yen for its importation of materials, machinery and equipment. It has entered into European-style foreign currency option contracts with banks to hedge exchange rate risks. As of December 31, 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan had no outstanding foreign currency option contracts. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006, ChipMOS Taiwan realized premium income of NT\$36 thousand and nil, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the realized loss on valuation of financial assets of NT\$953 thousand was recognized to reduce the gain on disposal of investments.

c. Interest rate risks

ChipMOS Taiwan has entered into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk by exchanging a fixed quanto stepping interest rate for a floating rate. The difference in interest rates is calculated quarterly and is credited or charged to income in the current period. The loss of interest rate swaps recognized was NT\$11,190 thousand, nil and nil as non-operating expense in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

22. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)

d. Transaction risks

- 1) Credit risk. The banks with which the Company has entered into the above contracts are reputable and, therefore, the Company is not expected to be exposed to significant credit risks.
- 2) Market risk and hedge strategy. The Company is exposed to market risks arising from changes in currency exchange rates due to U.S. dollar denominated accounts receivable, Yen denominated accounts payable and U.S. dollar denominated debt. In order to manage these exposures, the Company sometimes enters into forward contracts and option contracts.
- 3) Liquidity and cash requirements. The cash flow requirements with respect to the Company's forward contracts are limited to the periodic premium payments and the net differences of the contracted settlement rates. On the other hand, call/put options may not have to be exercised at all in cases where the strike price is higher/lower than the related market price at exercise dates.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	2006		December 31,		
	Carrying Value NT\$	Fair Value NT\$	2007		US\$
			Carrying Value NT\$	Fair Value	
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	5,895,904	5,895,904	5,133,580	5,133,580	158,297
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	65,060	65,060	86,975	86,975	2,682
Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss	1,929,123	1,929,123	555,608	555,608	17,133
Notes receivable	31,103	31,103	28,032	28,032	864
Accounts receivable:					
Related parties	1,839,130	1,839,130	1,498,833	1,498,833	46,217
Third parties	3,190,520	3,190,520	3,795,838	3,795,838	117,047
Other receivables:					
Related parties	13,958	13,958	11,922	11,922	368
Third parties	31,812	31,812	31,176	31,176	961
Long-term investments	366,742	366,742	358,017	358,017	11,039
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	29,633	29,633	29,983	29,983	925
Refundable deposits	30,604	30,604	36,513	36,513	1,126
Long-term accounts receivable-related parties	—	—	449,827	429,675	13,249
Liabilities					
Bank loans	1,055,310	1,055,310	1,249,196	1,249,196	38,520
Accounts payable:					
Third parties	803,026	803,026	976,147	976,147	30,100
Other payables:					
Related parties	25	25	13	13	—
Third parties	549,597	549,597	604,145	604,145	18,629
Payables to contractors and equipment suppliers	993,191	993,191	454,075	454,075	14,002
Convertible notes (including current portion)	5,133,837	4,894,399	5,090,490	5,001,763	154,233
Long-term loans (including current portion)	13,024,064	13,024,064	12,934,373	12,934,373	398,840
Guarantee deposits	5,834	5,834	7,458	7,458	230

Table of Contents

22. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (continued)

Fair values of financial instruments were determined as follows:

- 1) Short-term financial instruments – market values.
- 2) Financial assets at fair value through profit and loss – market values.
- 3) Long-term investments – market value for listed companies and net equity value for the others.
- 4) Refundable deposits and guarantee deposits – future values.
- 5) Long-term accounts receivable-related parties – discounted at applicable interest rate.
- 6) Long-term liabilities – based on forecasted cash flows discounted at current interest rates of similar long-term liabilities. The fair value of convertible notes in 2006 and 2007 is determined by an option pricing model. Other long-term liabilities are their carrying values as they use floating interest rates.

The fair value of non-financial instruments was not included in the fair values disclosed above. Accordingly, the sum of the fair values of the financial instruments listed above does not equal the fair value of the Company.

23. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The Company engages mainly in the research and development, manufacturing, assembly and testing of semiconductors. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, “Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information” (“SFAS No.131”), the Company’s chief operating decision maker has been identified as the Chief Executive Officer, who reviews these segment results by Testing, Assembly, Testing and Assembly for LCD and other Flat-Panel Display Driver Semiconductors when making decisions about allocating resources and assessing the performance of the Company. Financial segment information required by SFAS No. 131 is as follows:

- a. Semiconductor testing, assembly and LCD and other flat-panel display driver semiconductors services.

	2005					
	Testing NT\$	Assembly NT\$	LCD NT\$	Segment Totals NT\$	Corporate & Other Assets NT\$	Consolidated Totals NT\$
	(in thousands)					
Revenue from customers	6,459,876	5,655,924	3,098,181	15,213,981	—	15,213,981
Cost of revenues	4,422,189	4,611,166	2,229,276	11,262,631	—	11,262,631
Segment gross profit	<u>2,037,687</u>	<u>1,044,758</u>	<u>868,905</u>	<u>3,951,350</u>	—	<u>3,951,350</u>
Depreciation and amortization	<u>2,674,907</u>	<u>721,366</u>	<u>928,256</u>	<u>4,324,529</u>	<u>14,601</u>	<u>4,339,130</u>
Segment assets	<u>10,752,571</u>	<u>3,820,493</u>	<u>5,839,945</u>	<u>20,413,009</u>	<u>11,286,897</u>	<u>31,699,906</u>
Expenditure for Segment assets	<u>3,749,482</u>	<u>1,538,969</u>	<u>2,384,250</u>	<u>7,672,701</u>	<u>4,532</u>	<u>7,677,233</u>

Table of Contents

23. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (continued)

	2006					
	Testing NT\$	Assembly NT\$	LCD NT\$	Segment Totals NT\$	Corporate & Other Assets NT\$	Consolidated Totals NT\$
	(in thousands)					
Revenue from customers	9,340,098	6,589,568	4,445,521	20,375,187	—	20,375,187
Cost of revenues	5,561,129	5,141,192	3,551,024	14,253,345	—	14,253,345
Segment gross profit	3,778,969	1,448,376	894,497	6,121,842	—	6,121,842
Depreciation and amortization	3,199,756	941,499	1,402,828	5,544,083	14,758	5,558,841
Segment assets	16,032,994	6,232,564	8,223,913	30,489,471	15,522,394	46,011,865
Expenditure for Segment assets	8,654,928	3,171,805	3,888,814	15,715,547	2,213	15,717,760

	2007						
	Testing NT\$	Assembly NT\$	LCD NT\$	Segment Totals NT\$	Corporate & Other Assets NT\$	Consolidated Totals	
	(in thousands)						US\$
Revenue from customers	11,502,373	8,099,620	3,995,605	23,597,598	—	23,597,598	727,647
Cost of revenues	7,127,346	6,627,463	3,689,255	17,444,064	—	17,444,064	537,899
Segment gross profit	4,375,027	1,472,157	306,350	6,153,534	—	6,153,534	189,748
Depreciation and amortization	3,939,196	1,163,274	1,702,966	6,805,436	29,351	6,834,787	210,755
Segment assets	15,239,767	7,506,816	7,269,080	30,015,663	15,300,422	45,316,085	1,397,351
Expenditure for Segment assets	3,057,523	2,066,121	968,946	6,092,590	1,181	6,093,771	187,905

The corporate and other assets consist of the total current assets, long-term investments, property and equipment located in the U.S. and Japan, intangible assets and other assets.

b. Net revenue:

Area	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
ROC	11,953,905	15,870,717	16,965,381	523,139
U.S.	1,702,629	3,061,059	5,058,246	155,974
Korea	535,134	660,738	599,448	18,484
Japan	482,587	541,961	223,095	6,879
Others	539,726	240,712	751,428	23,171
	15,213,981	20,375,187	23,597,598	727,647

Table of Contents

23. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (continued)

c. Net sales to customers representing at least 10% of net total sales:

Customer	Year Ended December 31,					
	2005		2006		2007	
	Amount NT\$	%	Amount NT\$	%	Amount NT\$	Amount US\$
	(in thousands)					
ProMOS	4,332,058	28	5,529,273	27	6,883,749	212,265
Spanion	500,244	3	1,808,486	9	3,698,010	114,031
Powerchip	2,233,504	15	2,834,956	14	2,378,538	73,344
Himax Technologies Inc.	1,325,766	9	2,245,355	11	1,708,242	52,675

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the Republic of China ("ROC GAAP"), which differ in the following respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"):

a. Bonuses to employees, directors and supervisors

According to ROC regulations and the Articles of Incorporation of ChipMOS Taiwan, a portion of distributable earnings should be appropriated as bonuses to employees and remuneration to directors and supervisors of ChipMOS Taiwan. The remuneration to directors and supervisors is paid in cash, while bonuses to employees may be granted in cash or stock or both. ChipMOS Bermuda's portion of these appropriations is charged to earnings of ChipMOS Bermuda under ROC GAAP based on the amount to be paid as provided by ChipMOS Taiwan's Articles of Incorporation and is presented as a separate line item below minority interest in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During 2007, ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin paid NT\$391,067 thousand (2006: NT\$241,734 thousand, 2005: NT\$165,744 thousand) and NT\$82,301 thousand (2006: NT\$73,552 thousand, 2005: NT\$56,622 thousand), respectively, in bonuses to directors, supervisors and employees.

b. Financial assets

Prior to January 1, 2006, under ROC GAAP, marketable equity securities were carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value, and debt securities at cost, with only unrealized losses recognized when losses are irrecoverable. Under SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", debt and equity securities that have readily determinable fair values are to be classified as either trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities. Debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold-to-maturity are classified as held-to-maturity securities and reported at amortized cost. Debt and equity securities that are bought and traded for short-term profit are classified as trading securities and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Debt and equity securities not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading are classified as available-for-sale securities and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported in a separate component of shareholders' equity; however, unrealized losses relating to declines in fair value deemed to be other than temporary are recorded in earnings. The 2005 adjustments in the reconciliations below relate to the Company's equity securities that are classified as trading and available-for-sale securities under U.S. GAAP. There is no difference between ROC GAAP and U.S. GAAP effective January 1, 2006.

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

c. Long-term investments

Under both ROC and U.S. GAAP, investments in shares of companies wherein the Company owns over 20% but not more than 50% of the outstanding common stock and exercises significant influence over operating and financial policies of the investee companies are generally accounted for under the equity method. However, there are differences in applying equity accounting under ROC GAAP and U.S. GAAP. The Company's proportionate share of the income (loss) from an equity investee may differ if the equity investee's net income (loss) under ROC GAAP differs from that under U.S. GAAP. The differences between ROC GAAP and U.S. GAAP for the equity investees are nominal and thus do not appear in the reconciliations below.

Under the equity method, the Company's proportionate share of the income (loss) of the investee is generally recognized in the year the income (loss) is earned. However, under ROC GAAP, if audited financial statements of an investee were not available for the Company to apply the equity method due to time constraints and such equity interests were below a certain materiality threshold, the Company was permitted to delay the recognition of income (loss) until the following year. Under U.S. GAAP, there are no provisions that allow the investor company to delay recognition of its equity in the investee's income or loss. The 2006 U.S. GAAP adjustments represent the proportionate share of loss of long-term investment in 2006. In 2005 and 2007, there was no such difference.

d. Technologies transferred in payment of capital stock

Pursuant to a Joint Venture Agreement entered into between MVI and SPIL on July 28, 1997, MVI and SPIL contributed, as payment for their subscription in the shares of stock of ChipMOS Taiwan, technologies relating to the testing and assembly of semiconductors at an agreed value of NT\$750,000 thousand. Under ROC GAAP, such technology transfers in payment of capital stock are recorded as an intangible asset, and amortized by systematic charges to income over the periods estimated to be benefited. As permitted under ROC GAAP, the Company uses a five-year amortization period. Under U.S. GAAP, the technology contribution cannot be recognized due to the unavailability of a fair value for the technologies. Therefore, the carrying value of the technologies has been adjusted to zero under U.S. GAAP.

e. Start-up costs

ROC GAAP requires start-up costs to be deferred and amortized in a systematic manner over its estimated useful beneficial life. Start-up costs include all costs incurred prior to production readiness. On the other hand, U.S. GAAP primarily requires that start-up costs be expensed as incurred.

f. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory building

Under ROC GAAP, the estimated life of a building can be as long as 55 years based on the ROC Internal Revenue Code. For U.S. GAAP purposes, building lives are estimated to be 25 years.

g. Transfer of building and facilities from MVI

The Company purchased buildings and facilities from MVI in 1997. The costs of assets purchased from MVI were based on MVI's book value of such building and facilities on a specified cut-off date plus an additional payment of NT\$173,174 thousand representing compensation to MVI. This additional payment of NT\$173,174 thousand was capitalized by the Company as allowed under ROC GAAP. Under U.S. GAAP, assets acquired are recorded at amounts that do not exceed their fair values. Also, generally under U.S. GAAP, the transferee should record the assets transferred from related parties with significant influence at the predecessor's basis. Therefore, the transfer of assets from MVI was recorded at MVI's predecessor cost basis and NT\$173,174 thousand was deducted from the capital surplus and building and facilities for the purposes of U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

h. Inventory

As discussed in paragraphs e., f. and g., the amortization of start-up costs, the depreciation of property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory building, and depreciation on the assets transferred from MVI were reconciled for U.S. GAAP purposes. Some of such expenses were recorded in manufacturing expenses and therefore affect ending inventory balances under U.S. GAAP.

i. Capital surplus

Under ROC GAAP, the following items are treated as capital surplus: (a) premium on issuance of common stock and (b) gain, net of applicable income tax, on disposal of properties. Under U.S. GAAP, item (a) is the same as in ROC GAAP; and item (b) is recorded as part of net income, which is then included as a component of retained earnings. However, starting in 2001, the treatment of item (b) under ROC GAAP became the same as that under U.S. GAAP.

j. Impairment of long-lived assets

Under U.S. GAAP, impairment losses for assets to be held and used are recorded in current period earnings and create a new cost basis for related assets going forward, and cannot be reversed subsequently. Under U.S. GAAP, in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", long-lived assets held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. For purposes of evaluating the recoverability of long-lived assets, the recoverability test is performed by comparing undiscounted net cash flows of the assets to the net book value of the assets. If the recoverability test indicates that impairment has occurred, the impairment loss is the amount of the asset's net book value in excess of the related fair value. Prior to January 1, 2005, there is no requirement to provide for impairment of long-lived assets under ROC GAAP. Therefore, the Company applied U.S. GAAP to evaluate the long-lived assets for impairment purposes in 2004. In 2005, the adjustment for impairment of long-term investment represented the additional impairment to be recognized after the reversal of amortization of goodwill in respect of the long-term investment. In 2006, the adjustment represented the reversal of impairment loss recognized under ROC GAAP that was already recognized under U.S. GAAP in 2005. There is no difference in 2007.

k. Stock bonus and dividends

Under ROC GAAP, stock bonus and dividends are recorded at par value with a charge to retained earnings. Under U.S. GAAP, if the distribution is less than 25 percent of the same class of shares outstanding, the fair value of the shares issued should be charged to retained earnings and capital surplus. Accordingly, in 2005, an adjustment of NT\$61,632 thousand was included in the reconciliation, representing the difference between the fair value and the par value of ThaiLin stock.

l. Earnings per share (EPS)

In calculating the weighted average number of shares outstanding for EPS purposes under ROC GAAP, employee bonus shares have been treated as outstanding for all periods in a manner similar to a stock split or stock dividend. Under U.S. GAAP, employee bonus shares have been considered separately from the stock dividend or split and have been treated as outstanding from the date of shareholder approval.

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

m. Interest capitalization

Under ROC GAAP, interest on borrowings during construction conceptually should be capitalized in the assets that are constructed or produced for a company's own use. However, if equity capital is raised during a year, no capitalization interest is recorded for the amount of property acquired up to the equity capital raised in that year. Under U.S. GAAP, SFAS No. 34 "Capitalization of Interest Cost", interest is generally capitalized on assets until they are available and ready for use.

n. Goodwill and negative goodwill

Prior to January 1, 2006, under ROC GAAP, goodwill arises as the difference between acquisition cost and the proportionate equity of the investee company acquired and was amortized over a five-year period, whereas under U.S. GAAP such goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to impairment tests.

Negative goodwill arises when the fair values of the net assets acquired exceed the purchase price. Prior to January 1, 2006, under ROC GAAP, negative goodwill was amortized over a five-year period whereas, under U.S. GAAP, that negative goodwill is firstly allocated pro rata to reduce amounts assigned to acquired assets. If negative goodwill still remains, it is recognized as extraordinary gain in the period in which the business combination is initially recognized. The negative goodwill of NT\$20,275 thousand arising from the merger of CHANTEK into ChipMOS Taiwan was credited to property, plant and equipment under U.S. GAAP.

There is no difference between ROC GAAP and U.S. GAAP since January 1, 2006.

o. Allowance for loss and scrap loss on inventories

ROC GAAP does not specify the classification of allowance for loss on inventories; therefore, the recovery of allowance for loss on inventories of NT\$74,581 thousand (US\$2,300 thousand) for 2005 has been classified under non-operating income. Under U.S. GAAP, the allowance for loss on inventories should be classified in the income statement as a component of cost of revenue.

ROC GAAP does not specify the classification of scrap loss on inventories; therefore, in 2005, NT\$75,602 thousand (US\$2,331 thousand) has been classified under non-operating expense. Under U.S. GAAP, the scrap of inventories should be classified in the income statement as a component of cost of revenue.

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

p. Convertible notes

Under ROC GAAP, there is no requirement to account for the fair value of a conversion feature embedded in CN due 2009 as it was issued before January 1, 2006. Under U.S. GAAP, the Company accounts for the fair value of the conversion feature of its convertible notes in accordance with SFAS No. 133 “Accounting For Derivative Instruments And Hedging Activities” (“SFAS No.133”) and related pronouncements, which require the Company to bifurcate and separately account for the conversion feature as embedded derivatives contained in the Company’s convertible notes. The Company carried these embedded derivatives on its balance sheet at fair value and changes in fair values of these embedded derivatives are reflected in the consolidated statement of operations. Commencing January 1, 2006, ROC GAAP requires the Company to bifurcate and separately account for put and call option features contained in the Company’s convertible notes issued after 2005. The Company issued convertible notes (CN due 2011) on September 29, 2006. The Company carried the put and call options of the CN due 2011 on the balance sheet at fair value with changes in fair values reflected in the consolidated statement of operations. The other conversion features are recorded in equity (see Note 25 i)

Commencing from January 1, 2006, under ROC GAAP, the issue costs of convertible notes are recorded as a reduction of the convertible notes. Under U.S. GAAP the issue costs are capitalized as deferred assets and amortized over the period of the convertible notes.

q. Share-based payments

Under ROC GAAP, the Company follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees” (“APB No. 25”), in accounting for its employee stock option plans. Under APB No. 25, when the exercise price of the Company’s stock options is less than the market price of the underlying shares on the date of grant, compensation expense is recognized. Under U.S. GAAP, commencing from January 1, 2006, the Company applies SFAS No. 123(R) “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS No.123(R)”), Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-18, “Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services” (“EITF No. 96-18”), with respect to options and warrants issued to non-employees. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the use of option valuation models to measure the fair value of the options and warrants at the measurement date as defined in EITF No. 96-18. The total stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options was included in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

r. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Under U.S. GAAP, commencing from January 1, 2006, the Company applies SFAS No. 158, “Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans” (“SFAS No. 158”). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer that sponsors one or more defined benefit pension plans or other postretirement plans to 1) recognize the funded status of a plan, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the benefit obligation, in the balance sheet; 2) recognize in shareholders’ equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not yet recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost; 3) measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer’s fiscal year-end balance sheet; and 4) disclose in the notes to the financial statements additional information about the effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation. Under ROC GAAP, there is no such requirement for recognition.

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

The following reconciles net income and shareholders' equity under ROC GAAP as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to net income and shareholders' equity amounts determined under U.S. GAAP, giving effect to adjustments for the differences listed above.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net income				
Net income based on ROC GAAP	928,203	2,121,342	2,219,202	68,431
Adjustments:				
Amortization of deferred charge	—	(4,935)	(19,722)	(608)
Amortization of start-up costs	2,305	2,237	2,198	68
Pension expenses	—	—	(10,773)	(332)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory building	(14,957)	(22,200)	(48,681)	(1,501)
Transfer of building and facilities from MVI	1,075	741	289	9
Marketable securities – trading	(9,604)	2,613	—	—
Interest capitalization	(33,858)	(19,793)	(17,592)	(543)
Accrual for bonuses to employees, directors and supervisors	(269,003)	(314,485)	(143,952)	(4,439)
Reversal of goodwill amortization	62,362	—	—	—
(Impairment loss) reversal of impairment loss on long-term investment	(79,363)	33,130	—	—
Stock bonus	(61,632)	—	—	—
Effect of U.S. GAAP adjustments on income taxes	13,598	10,512	11,547	356
Adjustment for uncertain tax positions	—	—	(35,260)	(1,087)
Stock-based compensation	—	(90,870)	(173,501)	(5,350)
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	(72,480)	(237,497)	(114,132)	(3,519)
Gain (loss) on embedded derivative liabilities	149,732	(394,646)	1,180,834	36,411
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	—	(10,549)	(21,523)	(664)
Minority interests	186,643	193,635	72,736	2,243
Equity accounting for long-term investment	2,362	(16,129)	—	—
Net increase (decrease) in net income	<u>(122,820)</u>	<u>(868,236)</u>	<u>682,468</u>	<u>21,044</u>
Net income based on U.S. GAAP	<u>805,383</u>	<u>1,253,106</u>	<u>2,901,670</u>	<u>89,475</u>
Earnings per share – basic	<u>11.92</u>	<u>18.22</u>	<u>36.13</u>	<u>1.11</u>
Earnings per share – diluted	<u>11.21</u>	<u>17.52</u>	<u>21.07</u>	<u>0.65</u>
Number of weighted average shares outstanding – basic	<u>67,546</u>	<u>68,781</u>	<u>80,305</u>	<u>80,305</u>
Number of weighted average shares outstanding – diluted	<u>82,572</u>	<u>71,504</u>	<u>108,207</u>	<u>108,207</u>

The following table reconciles the denominator to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

	December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
	(in thousands)		
Basic number of shares	67,546	68,781	80,305
Add: Stock options	1,602	2,723	2,174
Convertible notes	13,424	—	25,728
Diluted number of shares	<u>82,572</u>	<u>71,504</u>	<u>108,207</u>

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

The following table reconciles the numerator to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$ (in thousands)	2007 NT\$	US\$
Net income based on U.S. GAAP	805,383	1,253,106	2,901,670	89,475
Add: Amortization of discount on convertible notes	72,480	—	357,472	11,023
Interest expense (net of tax)	48,062	—	151,897	4,684
Loss (gain) on embedded derivative	—	—	(1,191,838)	(36,751)
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	—	—	21,523	664
Amortization of deferred charge on convertible notes	—	—	38,799	1,196
Income available to common stockholders adjusted for the effects of assumed exercise of options and conversion of notes	<u>925,925</u>	<u>1,253,106</u>	<u>2,279,523</u>	<u>70,291</u>
Shareholders' equity				
Shareholders' equity based on ROC GAAP	<u>19,091,961</u>	<u>22,884,867</u>	<u>22,248,128</u>	<u>686,036</u>
Adjustments:				
Technology transfer in payment of capital stock				
Original cost	(750,000)	(750,000)	(750,000)	(23,127)
Accumulated amortization of technology transfer in payment of capital stocks	750,000	750,000	750,000	23,127
Start-up costs				
Original cost	(61,107)	(60,151)	(51,408)	(1,585)
Accumulated amortization of start-up costs	53,400	54,668	48,107	1,483
Net effect on inventories	(53)	(40)	(25)	(1)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment and employee dormitory building	(100,388)	(122,589)	(171,269)	(5,281)
Transfer of building and facilities from MVI				
Original cost	(173,174)	(173,174)	(173,174)	(5,340)
Depreciation and gain on disposal of building and facilities from MVI	169,155	169,883	170,163	5,247
Net effect on inventories	(26)	(13)	(4)	—
Unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities	5,648	—	—	—
Accrual for bonuses to employees, directors and supervisors	(269,003)	(459,539)	(434,261)	(13,391)
Pension expenses	(1,898)	(65,293)	(226,896)	(6,996)
Marketable securities – trading	(2,613)	—	—	—
Reversal of goodwill amortization	62,362	—	—	—
Impairment loss on long-term investment	(79,363)	—	—	—
Interest capitalization	118,757	118,757	118,757	3,662
Amortization of interest capitalization	(76,793)	(96,586)	(114,178)	(3,521)
Effect of U.S. GAAP adjustments on income taxes	11,301	21,813	33,360	1,028
Adjustment for uncertain tax positions	—	—	(35,260)	(1,087)
Equity component of convertible notes	—	(271,509)	(268,852)	(8,290)
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	—	(10,549)	(32,072)	(989)
Amortization of deferred charge	—	(4,935)	(24,657)	(760)
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	(72,480)	(309,977)	(423,498)	(13,059)
Gain on embedded derivative liabilities	149,732	(244,914)	935,920	28,859
Minority interests	—	—	52,007	1,604
Net decrease in shareholders' equity	<u>(266,543)</u>	<u>(1,454,148)</u>	<u>(597,240)</u>	<u>(18,417)</u>
Shareholders' equity based on U.S. GAAP	<u>18,825,418</u>	<u>21,430,719</u>	<u>21,650,888</u>	<u>667,619</u>

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

	Year Ended December 31,			US\$
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	
(in thousands)				
Changes in shareholders' equity based on U.S. GAAP				
Balance, beginning of the year	17,225,557	18,825,418	21,430,719	660,830
Issuance of shares	—	—	2,603,379	80,277
Issuance of option warrants	—	(1,088)	24,080	742
Effect of merger	(39,768)	—	—	—
Exercise of option warrants	40,418	131,268	89,850	2,770
Forfeiture of option warrants	21,477	—	—	—
Adjustment arising from change in ownership percentage in subsidiaries	(26,046)	86,316	—	—
Reversal of unrealized loss (gain) on available-for-sale securities	5,648	(5,648)	—	—
Stock-based compensation	—	90,870	173,501	5,350
Cumulative translation adjustments	186,313	78,345	209,390	6,457
Net income for the year	805,383	1,253,106	2,901,670	89,475
Adjustment of equity method for long-term investment	(54,178)	1,178	(268,625)	(8,283)
Unrecognized pension expenses	—	(44,643)	(161,134)	(4,969)
Adjustment for stock bonus	12,827	—	—	—
Conversion of convertible notes	—	225,840	—	—
Minority interests	647,787	789,757	(5,351,942)	(165,030)
Balance, end of the year	<u>18,825,418</u>	<u>21,430,719</u>	<u>21,650,888</u>	<u>667,619</u>

A reconciliation of the significant balance sheet accounts to the approximate amounts determined under U.S. GAAP is as follows:

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
(in thousands)			
Current assets			
As reported	14,232,559	12,605,149	388,688
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Effect of inventory adjustments:			
Start-up costs	(40)	(25)	(1)
Depreciation of fixed assets	371	666	21
Transfer of building and facilities from MVI	(13)	(4)	—
Adjustment for uncertain tax positions	—	(2,387)	(74)
As adjusted	<u>14,232,877</u>	<u>12,603,399</u>	<u>388,634</u>
Property, plant and equipment – net			
As reported	30,494,323	30,020,432	925,699
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Start-up costs	(5,483)	(3,301)	(102)
Depreciation of fixed assets	(134,821)	(182,165)	(5,617)
Transfer of building and facilities from MVI	(3,291)	(3,011)	(93)
Interest capitalization	47,195	29,603	913
Negative goodwill	(20,275)	—	—
As adjusted	<u>30,377,648</u>	<u>29,861,558</u>	<u>920,800</u>
Other assets			
As reported	565,270	2,152,053	66,361
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Depreciation of employee dormitory building	(13,163)	(14,794)	(456)
Deferred charge	—	73,284	2,259
Goodwill	—	52,007	1,604
As adjusted	<u>552,107</u>	<u>2,262,550</u>	<u>69,768</u>

Table of Contents

24. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE UNITED STATES (continued)

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$ (in thousands)	US\$
Current liabilities			
As reported	6,747,480	11,374,186	350,730
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Fair value of embedded derivative liabilities	—	(10,602)	(327)
Discount on convertible notes	—	41,421	1,277
Accrual for bonuses to employees, directors and supervisors	459,539	434,261	13,391
As adjusted	<u>7,207,019</u>	<u>11,839,266</u>	<u>365,071</u>
Long-term liabilities			
As reported	15,900,519	11,323,710	349,174
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Loss on redemption of convertible notes	10,549	26,485	817
Equity component of convertible notes	370,209	5,859	180
Fair value of embedded derivative liabilities	244,914	(651,593)	(20,092)
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	309,977	474,873	14,643
As adjusted	<u>16,836,168</u>	<u>11,179,334</u>	<u>344,722</u>
Other liabilities			
As reported	478,999	370,061	11,411
U.S. GAAP adjustments			
Pension	65,293	226,896	6,996
Effect of U.S. GAAP adjustments on income taxes	(21,813)	(33,360)	(1,028)
Negative goodwill	(20,275)	—	—
Adjustments for uncertain tax positions	—	32,873	1,014
As adjusted	<u>502,204</u>	<u>596,470</u>	<u>18,393</u>

As a result of the adjustments presented above, the approximate amounts of total assets under U.S. GAAP were NT\$45,976,110 thousand and NT\$45,265,958 thousand as of December 31, 2006, and 2007, respectively.

The following U.S. GAAP condensed statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 have been derived from the audited financial statements and reflect the adjustments presented above. Certain accounts have been reclassified to conform to U.S. GAAP.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$ (in thousands)	2007 NT\$	US\$
Net revenue	15,213,981	20,375,187	23,597,598	727,647
Cost of revenue	11,273,617	14,270,950	17,496,720	539,523
Gross profit	3,940,364	6,104,237	6,100,878	188,124
Operating expenses	1,837,689	1,769,838	2,114,723	65,209
Income from operations	2,102,675	4,334,399	3,986,155	122,915
Non-operating income (expenses) – net	(478,833)	(849,536)	354,734	10,939
Income before income tax	<u>1,623,842</u>	<u>3,484,863</u>	<u>4,340,889</u>	<u>133,854</u>
Net income	<u>805,383</u>	<u>1,253,106</u>	<u>2,901,670</u>	<u>89,475</u>

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP

a. Recent accounting pronouncements

The Company is required by U.S. SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 74 to make certain disclosures about the effect that recently issued accounting standards will have on the financial statements adopted for future periods.

On September 29, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, “Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans” (“SFAS No. 158”). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer that sponsors one or more defined benefit pension plans or other postretirement plans to 1) recognize the funded status of a plan, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the benefit obligation, in the balance sheet; 2) recognize in shareholders’ equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not yet recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost; 3) measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer’s fiscal year-end balance sheet; and 4) disclose in the notes to the financial statements additional information about the effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 155, “Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments” (“SFAS No. 155”), in February 2006. SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No. 133, and SFAS No. 140 “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities” and addresses the application of SFAS No. 133 to beneficial interests in securitized financial assets. SFAS No. 155 establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation. Additionally, SFAS No. 155 permits fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. SFAS No. 155 is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 has no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 156, “Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140” (“SFAS No. 156”), in March 2006. SFAS No. 156 requires a company to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset. A company would recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability initially at fair value. A company will then be permitted to choose to subsequently recognize servicing assets and liabilities using the amortization method or fair value measurement method. SFAS No. 156 is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 has no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” (“FIN 48”). FIN 48 prescribes a more-likely-than-not threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions and income tax disclosures. The adoption of this interpretation has no impact to beginning retained earnings.

Uncertain tax positions have been classified as non-current income tax liabilities unless expected to be paid in one year. The Company’s policy for interest and penalties related to income tax exposures is to recognize interest and penalties as a component of the provision of income taxes in the consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

a. Recent accounting pronouncements (continued)

The Company is potentially subject to income tax audits in the ROC and internationally until the applicable statute of limitations expire. Tax audits by their nature are often complex and can require several years to complete. The following is a summary of tax years, potentially subject to examination, in the significant tax and business jurisdictions in which the Company operates:

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Years Subject to</u>
	<u>Examination</u>
Republic of China	5 Years
United States	3 Years
Hong Kong	6 Years
People's Republic of China	Unlimited

Upon adoption of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007, the Company increased the allowance for current tax assets by NT\$2,387 thousand (US\$74 thousand) and increased long-term tax payable by NT\$32,873 thousand (US\$1,014 thousand) as FIN 48 specifies that tax positions for which the timing of the ultimate resolution is uncertain should be recognized as long-term liabilities.

The aggregate changes in the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	<u>NT\$</u>	<u>US\$</u>
	<u>(in thousands)</u>	
Balance, January 1, 2007	—	—
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	15,878	490
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current period	9,233	285
Penalties associated with the tax effect of the uncertain tax position	10,149	313
Balance, December 31, 2007	<u>35,260</u>	<u>1,088</u>

The Company's subsidiaries are currently under examination by the relevant tax authorities for various tax years. The Company regularly assesses the potential outcome of these examinations in each of the taxing jurisdictions when determining the adequacy of the amount of unrecognized tax benefit recorded. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular uncertain tax position, we believe we have appropriately accrued for our uncertain tax benefits. However, audit outcomes and timing of audit settlements and future events that would impact the previously recorded unrecognized tax benefits and the range of anticipated increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits are subject to significant uncertainty. It is possible that the ultimate outcome of current or future examinations may exceed current unrecognized tax benefits in amounts that could be material, but cannot be estimated as of December 31, 2007. The effective tax rate and net income in any given future period could therefore be materially impacted.

On September 15, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"). SFAS No. 157 addresses the way companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition and disclosure purposes under generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 157 will require the fair value of an asset or liability to be based on a market-based measure which will reflect the credit risk of the company. SFAS No. 157 will also require expanded disclosure requirements which will include the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. SFAS No. 157 will be applied prospectively and will be effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and to interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 157 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

a. Recent accounting pronouncements (continued)

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities – including an amendment of FAS 115 (“SFAS No. 159”), which allows entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item’s fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in earnings. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to draw comparison between entities that elect different measurement attributes for similar assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 159 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51” (“SFAS No.160”). SFAS No. 160 requires that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary be reported as equity and the amount of consolidated net income specifically attributable to the noncontrolling interest be identified in the consolidated financial statements. It also calls for consistency in the manner of reporting changes in the parent’s ownership interest and requires fair value measurement of any noncontrolling equity investment retained in a deconsolidation. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact adopting SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No.141(R)”). SFAS No. 141 (R) broadens the guidance of SFAS No. 141, extending its applicability to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. It broadens the fair value measurement and recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and interests transferred as a result of business combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) expands on required disclosures to improve the statement users’ abilities to evaluate the nature and financial effects of business combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 141(R) will have on its consolidated financial statements and does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) to have a material effect on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

b. Goodwill

	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Balance, January 1, 2007	127,567	128,455	3,961
Arising from acquisition of additional interest in a subsidiary	888	840,733	25,925
Balance, December 31, 2007	<u>128,455</u>	<u>969,188</u>	<u>29,886</u>

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

c. Income tax expense

Income (loss) before income tax, minority interest and interest in bonuses paid by subsidiaries consists of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Bermuda	(104,290)	(1,000,678)	328,336	10,125
ROC	1,995,544	4,839,755	4,533,334	139,788
Others	(267,412)	(354,214)	(520,781)	(16,059)
	<u>1,623,842</u>	<u>3,484,863</u>	<u>4,340,889</u>	<u>133,854</u>

Income tax expense consists of:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Income tax for the current year				
Bermuda	81,909	89,895	347,107	10,703
ROC	90,756	296,878	406,463	12,534
Others	434	262	(69,873)	(2,155)
	<u>173,099</u>	<u>387,035</u>	<u>683,697</u>	<u>21,082</u>
Deferred income tax				
Bermuda	—	—	—	—
ROC	(59,424)	243,625	18,062	557
Others	(15,647)	—	57,221	1,764
	<u>(75,071)</u>	<u>243,625</u>	<u>75,283</u>	<u>2,321</u>
Adjustment of prior years' income taxes	323	(4,673)	32,968	1,017
Income tax expense	<u>98,351</u>	<u>625,987</u>	<u>791,948</u>	<u>24,420</u>

Reconciliation between the income tax calculated on pre-tax financial statement income based on the statutory tax rate and the income tax expense which conforms to U.S. GAAP is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Tax on pretax income at 0%	—	—	—	—
Tax on pretax income at applicable statutory rates	637,616	1,350,042	1,447,850	44,645
Additional 10% on the unappropriated earnings	163,838	111,066	171,597	5,291
Other tax and assessed additional income tax	746	—	—	—
Tax effects of:				
Tax-exempt income	(175,422)	(196,026)	(59,661)	(1,840)
Permanent differences				
Non-taxable (gain)/loss on sales of investment	(11,106)	32,130	(9,748)	(301)
Non-deductible investment losses	104,639	(117,131)	(25,439)	(784)
Non-deductible expense	70,580	(1,221)	(1,027)	(32)
Temporary differences	(173,673)	—	2,427	75
Tax credits – utilized	(218,672)	(506,285)	(723,594)	(22,312)
– deferred	76,611	(206,923)	172,174	5,309
Valuation allowance	(405,487)	284,392	(248,472)	(7,662)
Loss recognized	—	(6,764)	4,125	127
Losses carried forward	28,358	(246,352)	(4,125)	(127)
Losses carried forward – deferred	—	133,732	—	—
Adjustment of prior year's income tax	323	(4,673)	65,841	2,031
Income tax expense	<u>98,351</u>	<u>625,987</u>	<u>791,948</u>	<u>24,420</u>

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

c. Income tax expense (continued)

The components of net deferred income tax assets (liabilities) were as follows:

	December 31,		
	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Deferred income tax assets			
Current			
Unrealized foreign exchange loss	3,679	2,321	72
Tax credits	491	—	—
Loss of market price decline and obsolete and slow-moving inventories	19,026	13,734	423
Unrealized loss on sale allowances	21,912	53,279	1,643
Others	89,229	15,746	486
	<u>134,337</u>	<u>85,080</u>	<u>2,624</u>
Valuation allowance	—	(2,387)	(74)
	<u>134,337</u>	<u>82,693</u>	<u>2,550</u>
Non-current			
Unrealized impairment loss on idle fixed assets	12,586	106	3
Tax credits	1,117,985	946,302	29,180
Losses carried forward	62,396	75,648	2,333
Building	826	754	23
Start-up costs	1,381	831	26
Others	274,434	309,751	9,551
	<u>1,469,608</u>	<u>1,333,392</u>	<u>41,116</u>
Valuation allowances	(1,079,494)	(775,030)	(23,899)
	<u>390,114</u>	<u>558,362</u>	<u>17,217</u>
Deferred income tax liabilities			
Non-current			
Depreciation differences	(596,966)	(698,001)	(21,523)
Interest capitalization	(11,799)	(7,401)	(228)
	<u>(608,765)</u>	<u>(705,402)</u>	<u>(21,751)</u>
	<u>(84,314)</u>	<u>(64,347)</u>	<u>(1,984)</u>

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

d. Pension plans

The impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 158 has been reflected within the consolidated financial statements as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Components of net periodic benefit cost				
Service cost	30,021	1,688	1,662	51
Interest cost	8,159	7,790	8,735	269
Project return on plan assets	(4,500)	(4,740)	(5,500)	(169)
Net amortization and deferral:				
Unrecognized net transition Obligation	53	53	(293)	(9)
Curtailement gain	1,031	780	4,191	129
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>34,764</u>	<u>5,571</u>	<u>8,795</u>	<u>271</u>
Recognized in other comprehensive income:				
Unrecognized net transition Obligation		5	(1,225)	(37)
Unrecognized actuarial loss		44,638	162,359	5,006
Total recognized in other comprehensive income		<u>44,643</u>	<u>161,134</u>	<u>4,969</u>
Total recognized in total benefit cost and other comprehensive income		<u>50,214</u>	<u>169,929</u>	<u>5,240</u>

The estimated net transition assets and actuarial loss for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into benefits cost in 2008 is NT\$117 thousand (US\$4 thousand) and NT\$6,816 thousand (US\$210 thousand), respectively.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

d. Pension plans (continued)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005 NT\$	2006 NT\$	2007 NT\$ US\$	
	(in thousands)			
Changes in benefit obligation				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	314,124	283,253	317,646	9,795
Effect of merger	(63,064)	—	—	—
Service cost	30,021	1,688	1,662	51
Interest cost	8,713	7,781	8,735	269
Actuarial loss	(6,541)	24,924	147,751	4,556
Benefit obligation at end of year	283,253	317,646	475,794	14,671
Changes in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	174,349	156,171	199,991	6,167
Effect of merger	(49,169)	—	—	—
Actual return on plan assets	1,971	4,165	5,955	184
Employer contribution	29,892	39,656	43,691	1,347
	157,043	199,992	249,637	7,698
Funds status	(126,210)	(117,654)	(226,157)	(6,973)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	42,654	68,184	(13,000)	(401)
Net amount recognized (recognized as accrued pension cost)	<u>(83,556)</u>	<u>(49,470)</u>	<u>(239,157)</u>	<u>(7,374)</u>

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of minority interests, consist of:

	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)		
Unrecognized net transition obligation (asset)	7	(3,323)	(102)
Unrecognized loss	63,388	217,548	6,708
Gross amount recognized	63,395	214,225	6,606
Minority interests	(18,752)	(8,448)	(261)
Total recognized in total benefit cost and other comprehensive income	44,643	205,777	6,345

Actuarial assumptions	2005	2006	2007
Discount rate	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
Rate of compensation increase	3.25%	4.25%	4.25%
Expected return on plan assets	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was NT\$142,436 thousand and NT\$232,107 thousand at December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

There were no pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2006 and 2007.

The plan assets are all invested in the Central Trust of China. The plan benefits are based on employees' years of service and compensation. The plan assets primarily consist of cash, government loans, equity securities, notes and bonds.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

d. Pension plans (continued)

The fair value of the plan assets was NT\$199,992 thousand and NT\$249,637 thousand (US\$7,698 thousand) at December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively. As of December 31, 2006 and 2007, these assets were allocated among asset categories as follows:

Asset category	2006	2007	Current minimum, target and
			maximum allocation policy
Equity securities	22%	10%	11%
Bonds	10%	13%	2%
Notes	20%	12%	2%
Government loans	3%	2%	2%
Foreign investment	—	6%	—
Cash	45%	57%	2%
Total	100%	100%	

Under ROC regulation, government authority will collect the fund as Labor Retirement Fund and determine the assets allocation and investment policy.

ChipMOS Taiwan and ThaiLin anticipate contributing NT\$29,429 thousand to their pension plans during 2008.

The Company has no other post retirement or post-employment benefit plans.

e. Statements of cash flows

ROC SFAS No. 17, "Statement of Cash Flows", has been applied. Its objectives and principles are similar to those set out in SFAS No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows" ("SFAS No.95"). The principal differences between the standards relate to classification. Summarized cash flow data by operating, investing and financing activities in accordance with SFAS No. 95 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net cash inflow (outflow) from:				
Operating activities	5,904,713	6,271,447	10,322,132	318,290
Investing activities	(4,963,293)	(15,086,913)	(12,212,084)	(376,568)
Financing activities	(1,261,258)	9,881,178	522,085	16,099
	(319,838)	1,065,712	(1,367,867)	(42,179)
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rate	77,695	12,848	38,787	1,196
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of year	4,849,146	4,607,003	5,685,563	175,318
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of year	4,607,003	5,685,563	4,356,483	134,335

f. Statements of comprehensive income

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005	2006	2007	
	NT\$	NT\$	NT\$	US\$
	(in thousands)			
Net income based on U.S. GAAP	805,383	1,253,106	2,901,670	89,475
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale security	5,648	(5,648)	—	—
Translation adjustment	186,313	78,345	209,390	6,457
Comprehensive income	997,344	1,325,803	3,111,060	95,932

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

f. Statements of comprehensive income (continued)

Components in other comprehensive income refer to investments in MVI and ProMOS. Under ROC laws, those losses and gains are not subject to income tax. Therefore, no tax expense or benefit is allocated to such investments.

g. Statements of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

	Unrecognized Pension Costs NT\$	Unrealized Holding Gain on Available-for-sale Securities NT\$	Translation Adjustment NT\$	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss) NT\$
		(in thousands)		
December 31, 2005	—	5,648	(10,271)	(4,623)
Addition in 2006	(44,643)	(5,648)	78,345	28,054
December 31, 2006	(44,643)	—	68,074	23,431
Addition in 2007	(161,134)	—	209,390	48,256
December 31, 2007	(205,777)	—	277,464	71,687

h. Shareholders' equity

Employee stock-based compensation has been accounted for under SFAS No. 123(R).

The Company has in place a Share Option Plan (2001 Plan). Under the terms of the plan, the exercise price set on the grant of share options may not be less than the par value of a Company Share on the date of grant of such option. In August 2006, the Company adopted a second Share Option Plan (2006 Plan). The number of shares that may be issued under the two plans is 16,000,000 shares and may consist in whole or part of authorized but unissued shares of the Company which are not reserved for any other purpose. No consideration is payable for the grant of an option.

Under the plans, options may be granted to all directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company and its affiliates. Options are exercisable for a maximum of ten years from the date on which such option is granted and five years from the date on which such option is granted if the holder of the option owns more than 10% of the combined voting power of the Company at the time the option is granted.

In September 2006, the Company adopted a share appreciation rights plan. The share appreciation rights plan provides that the directors, officers and employees of the Company and its affiliates may be granted cash-settled share appreciation rights.

The fair value for options granted has been estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Year of grant	Risk free interest rate	Expected life	Expected volatility	Expected dividend yield
2002	4.75%	5 years	114.91%	0%
2003	4.75%	4.25 years	118.07%~148.73%	0%
2004	1.75%	4.25 years	112.40%~123.07%	0%
2006	4.62%~4.74%	4.25 years	102.67%~133.21%	0%
2007	3.32%~5.04%	4.25 years	134.71%~171.09%	0%

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

h. Shareholders' equity (continued)

The following table presents the stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

	<u>Number of Options</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price US\$</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value US\$ (in thousands)</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	6,029,569	3.33	
Granted	2,170,510	5.15	
Forfeited	(319,200)	4.30	
Exercised	<u>(1,322,143)</u>	2.61	
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	6,558,736	4.03	
Granted	1,884,400	5.34	
Forfeited	(228,631)	5.46	
Exercised	<u>(865,612)</u>	2.69	
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	<u>7,348,893</u>	4.48	4,392
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	<u>3,225,926</u>	3.10	
Exercisable at December 31, 2007	<u>3,538,111</u>	3.68	4,176
Vested and expected to vest in 2006	<u>6,392,096</u>	4.01	
Vested and expected to vest in 2007	<u>7,158,354</u>	4.46	4,381

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the Company's closing stock price of US\$4.26 on December 31, 2007 (December 28, 2006: US\$6.79) and the exercise price, times the number of options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2007. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2007 was NT\$3,535 thousand (US\$109 thousand) (2006: NT\$179,962 thousand). The total fair value of options vested and forfeited during the year ended December 31, 2007 was NT\$108,478 thousand (US\$3,345 thousand) (2006: NT\$144,048 thousand). The number of options vested during the year ended December 31, 2007 was 865,612 (2006: 1,322,143). The weighted-average remaining contractual term of the outstanding options at December 31, 2007 was 6 (2006: 6) years.

As of December 31, 2007, NT\$124,973 thousand (US\$3,854 thousand) (2006: NT\$303,000 thousand) of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2 years.

The Company's employees have the ability to exercise a stock option (i.e., remit cash consideration to the Company for the exercise price) in exchange for stock during the vesting period of the award.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

h. Shareholders' equity (continued)

The following table presents a summary of the number of and weighted average grant date fair values regarding the unvested share options as of December 31, 2007 and changes during the year then ended:

	<u>Number of Options</u>	<u>Weighted Average Fair Value US\$</u>
Unvested options outstanding at December 31, 2005	3,333,467	3.22
Granted	2,170,510	4.73
Vested	(1,851,967)	2.88
Forfeited	(319,200)	3.70
Unvested options outstanding at December 31, 2006	3,332,810	4.34
Granted	1,884,400	5.64
Vested	(1,177,797)	3.94
Forfeited	(228,631)	5.12
Unvested options outstanding at December 31, 2007	<u>3,810,782</u>	5.06

The Company's determination of fair value of employee share options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the Company's expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards. Option pricing models were developed for use in estimating the value of traded options that have no vesting or hedging restrictions and are fully transferable. Because the Company's employee stock options have certain characteristics that are significantly different from traded options, and because changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimated value, in management's opinion, the existing valuation models may not provide an accurate measure of the fair value of the Company's employee stock options. Although the fair value of employee stock options is determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) using an option pricing model, that value may not be indicative of the fair value observed in a willing buyer/willing seller market transaction.

i. Convertible notes

The Company accounts for the conversion option in the convertible notes as derivative liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and Emerging Interpretation Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-19 "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock". The discount attributable to the issuance date aggregate fair value of the conversion option, totaling NT\$1,198,510 thousand (US\$36,957 thousand), is being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the convertible notes.

The change in fair value on revaluation of the embedded derivative liabilities represents the difference between the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities at their original issue date and their fair value on December 31, 2007 using an option pricing model. As of December 31, 2007, the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities amounted to NT\$203,698 thousand (US\$6,281 thousand). The effect of the fair market value adjustment of NT\$1,156,769 thousand (US\$35,670 thousand) was recorded in the consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

25. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES REQUIRED BY U.S. GAAP (continued)

i. Convertible notes (continued)

The following assumptions were applied to the convertible notes using the option pricing model:

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2007</u>
	CN due 2009	CN due 2011
Market price	US\$4.26	US\$4.26
Conversion price	US\$6.28	US\$6.85
Term	5 years	5 years
Volatility	38.5678%	38.9249%
Risk-free interest rate	3.8537%	4.3479%

Please refer to Note 13 for details of the terms of the convertible notes.

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.1	Memorandum of Association of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽¹⁾
1.2	Bye-laws of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁷⁾
2.1	Certificate of Incorporation of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., dated August 15, 2000. ⁽¹⁾
4.1	Joint Venture Agreement, dated July 14, 1997, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽¹⁾
4.2	Asset Sales Agreement, dated June 14, 1999, between Microchip Technology Taiwan and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.3	Tessera Compliant Chip License Agreement, dated April 20, 1999, between Tessera Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.4	License Agreement, dated April 1, 1999, between Fujitsu Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.5	Sales Agreement, dated February 10, 2000, between Sharp Corp. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.6	Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.7	Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2001, between Siliconware Precision Co. Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.8	Integrated Circuit Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2001, between Siliconware Precision Co. Ltd. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.9	Integrated Circuit Processing and Warehousing Management Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.10	Land Lease Agreement, dated November 26, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.11	Land Lease Agreement, dated November 26, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.12	Land Lease Agreement, dated September 1, 1997, between Science Based Industrial Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽¹⁾
4.13	Purchase Agreement, dated July 31, 1997, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Mosel Vitelic Inc. ⁽¹⁾
4.14	Form of Share Exchange Covenant Letter from the Company to the Shareholders. ⁽¹⁾
4.15	Amendment to the Integrated Circuit Processing and Warehousing Management Agreement, dated August 10, 2000, between Mosel Vitelic Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC, dated September 1, 2001. ⁽²⁾

Table of Contents

- 4.16 Purchase Agreement, dated October 15, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and DenMOS Technology Inc. ⁽²⁾
- 4.17 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Ron How Investment Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.18 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Yuan Shan Investment Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.19 Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated April 25, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Mosel Vitelic Inc. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.20 Laser Stamping Machine Lease Agreement, dated November 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.21 Automatic Stamping Machine Lease Agreement, dated December 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.22 Raw Materials Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.23 Integrated Circuit Processing Agreement, dated January 1, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and CHANTEK ELECTRONIC CO., LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.24 Technology Transfer Agreement, dated December 24, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.25 Tester Equipment Lease Agreement, dated November 14, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.26 Tester Equipment Lease Agreement, dated December 3, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.27 Joint Engagement Letter, undated, by and among Ultima Electronics Corp., ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Sun-Fund Securities Ltd. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.28 Lease Agreement, dated June 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and SyncMOS Technologies, Inc. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.29 Technology Transfer Agreement, dated August 1, 2002, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD. ⁽³⁾
- 4.30 Promissory Note from Modern Mind Technology Limited to Jesper Limited, dated November 4, 2002. ⁽³⁾
- 4.31 Deed of Variation, dated December 2, 2002, between Modern Mind Technology Limited and Jesper Limited. ⁽³⁾
- 4.32 Deed of Assignment, dated December 27, 2002, between Jesper Limited and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽³⁾
- 4.33 Deed of Assignment, dated June 25, 2003, between Jesper Limited and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽³⁾

Table of Contents

- 4.34 Agreement, dated May 3, 2003, between Jesper Limited and Modern Mind Technology Limited. ⁽³⁾
- 4.35 Master loan agreement, dated July 12, 2004, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., Modern Mind Technology Limited, and Jesper Limited. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.36 Cooperation Agreement, dated March 27, 2002, between Shanghai Qingpu Industrial Zone Development (Group) Company and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (English Translation) ⁽³⁾
- 4.37 Deed of assignment, dated December 17, 2003, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.38 Supplemental deed of assignment, dated May 14, 2004 between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.39 Second supplemental deed of assignment, dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.40 Assignment agreement, dated April 7, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.41 Supplemental assignment agreement, dated May 14, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.42 Second supplemental assignment agreement, dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.43 Patent license agreement, dated April 7, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁴⁾
- 4.44 Supplemental patent license agreement dated July 8, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.45 Second supplemental patent license agreement dated October 11, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.46 Third supplemental patent license agreement dated December 30, 2004, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁵⁾
- 4.47 Assembly and Testing Service Agreement, dated November 27, 2005, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Spansion LLC. ⁽⁶⁾
- 4.48 Share Purchase and Subscription Agreement, dated February 13, 2007, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾
- 4.49 Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 27, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾
- 4.50 Share Exchange Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.51 Assignment Agreement, dated April 12, 2007, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ⁽⁹⁾

Table of Contents

- 4.52 Form of Change In Control Severance Agreement
- 4.53 Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement, dated June 1, 2007, between Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.54 Second Amendment to Assembly and Test Service Agreement, dated July 16, 2007, by and between Spansion LLC and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.55 Service Agreement for Integrated Circuit Products, dated July 17, 2007, by and between ProMOS Technologies Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.56 Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 8, 2007, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., Giant Haven Investment Limited, ProMOS Technologies Inc. and Powertech Technology Inc.
- 4.57 Third Amendment to Assembly and Test Services Agreement, dated November 30, 2007, by and between Spansion LLC and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
- 4.58 Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement, dated December 1, 2007, between Science Park Administration and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (English Translation)
- 4.59 Lease Agreement, dated April 2, 2008, between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. and ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. (English Translation)
- 8.1 List of principal subsidiaries of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
- 11.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. ⁽⁴⁾
- 12.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act.
- 13.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Exchange Act.
- 13.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Exchange Act.
- 23.1 Consent of independent registered public accounting firm.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-13218), filed on February 28, 2001.

(2) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 17, 2002.

(3) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 30, 2003.

(4) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 17, 2004.

(5) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 29, 2005.

(6) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-130230), filed on December 9, 2005.

(7) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form S-8, dated October 5, 2006.

(8) Incorporated by reference to Schedule 13D filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission by Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. on April 4, 2007.

(9) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-31106), filed on June 6, 2007.

SHARE EXCHANGE AGREEMENT

between

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA) LTD.

and

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

April 12, 2007

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
ARTICLE 1	DEFINITIONS	1
ARTICLE 2	SHARE EXCHANGE	3
ARTICLE 3	CONSIDERATION and EXCHANGE RATIO	4
ARTICLE 4	DISSENTING SHAREHOLDERS	5
ARTICLE 5	DIRECTORS AND SUPERVISORS	6
ARTICLE 6	CONDITIONS PRECEDENT	6
ARTICLE 7	REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF CHIPMOS TAIWAN	7
7.1	Organization and Qualification	7
7.2	Authorization; Binding Obligations	7
7.3	Consents and Approvals	7
7.4	Compliance with Other Instruments	8
7.5	Title; Ownership	8
7.6	Unregistered Securities	8
ARTICLE 8	REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF CHIPMOS BERMUDA	9
8.1	Organization, Good Standing and Qualification; Subsidiary	9
8.2	Capitalization; Valid Issuance	9
8.3	Authorization; Binding Obligations	9
8.4	Consents and Approvals	10
8.5	Compliance with Other Instruments	10
8.6	SEC Documents; Other Reports; Internal Controls	10
8.7	Financial Statements	11
8.8	No Undisclosed Liabilities	12
8.9	Absence of Certain Changes or Events	12
8.10	Contracts	12
8.11	Litigation	12
8.12	Permits	13
8.13	Tax Matters	13
8.14	Property	13
8.15	Intellectual Property	13
8.16	Insurance	14
8.17	Compliance with Laws	14
8.18	Transactions with Affiliates	14
8.19	Employees; Labor Matters	14
8.20	Environmental Matters	15
8.21	Company Information	15
8.22	No Other Representations and Warranties	15
ARTICLE 9	COVENANTS	15
ARTICLE 10	TERMINATION	17
ARTICLE 11	MISCELLANEOUS	17

SHARE EXCHANGE AGREEMENT

This Share Exchange Agreement (this “Agreement”) is made and entered into as of this 12th day of April, 2007, by and between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., an exempted company under the laws of Bermuda (“ChipMOS Bermuda”), and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC., a company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of China (“ROC”) (“ChipMOS Taiwan”). ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan are hereinafter collectively referred to as “Parties” and individually a “Party”.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, ChipMOS Bermuda currently owns approximately 94.2% of the total issued shares of ChipMOS Taiwan;

WHEREAS, ChipMOS Taiwan currently owns treasury stocks amounting to 5% of its total issued shares;

WHEREAS, the Parties intend to conduct a share exchange transaction in accordance with the Corporate Merger and Acquisition Act of the ROC and the terms and conditions set forth herein;

WHEREAS, according to an ROC ruling promulgated by the ROC Ministry of Economic Affairs on 11 February 2004 (Reference No.: San-Tse-09302019010), ChipMOS Bermuda is not required to issue new shares in exchange for its equity interest in ChipMOS Taiwan if a share exchange transaction is consummated between ChipMOS Taiwan and ChipMOS Bermuda;

WHEREAS, in connection with the Share Exchange (as defined below), ChipMOS Bermuda shall issue new shares based on an agreed exchange ratio to all shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan, other than ChipMOS Bermuda (“ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders”) in exchange for ChipMOS Taiwan Shares (as defined below) as at the Share Exchange Record Date, so that upon the completion of the Share Exchange, ChipMOS Taiwan will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of ChipMOS Bermuda after the cancellation of the treasury stocks at the end of the restriction period pursuant to ROC Company Act and ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders (except for Dissenting Shareholders, if any) will become shareholders of ChipMOS Bermuda.

N OW, T HEREFOR E, in consideration of the foregoing recitals and the mutual promises, representations, warranties, and covenants hereinafter set forth and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITIONS

“**Affiliate**” means, with respect to any Person, any other Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries controls or is controlled by or is under common control with such Person. For the purposes of this definition, “control,” when used with respect to any particular Person, means the power to direct the management and policies of such Person, directly or indirectly, whether through the ownership of securities, by contract or otherwise; and the terms “controlling” and “controlled” have meanings correlative to the foregoing.

“ **ChipMOS Taiwan Shares** ” means the issued and outstanding shares of ChipMOS Taiwan as stated in Part II of **Schedule A** , other than those shares held by the Dissenting Shareholders that are subject to adjustment made pursuant to Article 4 of this Agreement and held by ChipMOS Bermuda and treasury stocks held by ChipMOS Taiwan.

“ **ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders** ” has the meaning ascribed in the Recitals hereto.

“ **Closing Date** ” shall be the Share Exchange Record Date or such other date as the Parties may agree.

“ **Dissenting Shareholders** ” mean those shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan who object to the Share Exchange pursuant to Article 12, Paragraph 5 of the ROC Corporate Merger and Acquisition Act and Article 187 of the ROC Company Act;

“ **Exchange Act** ” means the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

“ **Exchange Ratio** ” means the exchange ratio of New Shares against ChipMOS Taiwan Shares prescribed under Article 3.1;

“ **Independent Expert** ” means a qualified expert under applicable ROC laws;

“ **Independent Expert’s Opinion** ” means the fair opinion issued by the Independent Expert on the Exchange Ratio and the calculation of the appraisal value for equity of the Parties;

“ **Liens** ” shall mean any liens, charges, pledges, mortgages, options, encumbrances, adverse claims, security interests or other third party rights (including rights of preemption, purchase rights and voting trusts), restrictions or limitations, in each case of any nature whatsoever, except for the transfer restrictions under the Securities Act or applicable rules and regulations of the United States.

“ **Material Adverse Effect** ” means any event, fact, circumstance or occurrence that, individually or in the aggregate, results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a material adverse change on the business, assets, condition (financial or otherwise), results of operation or prospects of an entity and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, other than: (A) any change, effect, event or occurrence (i) relating to or resulting from economic or political conditions in general in any country, region or portion thereof, (ii) relating to or resulting from changes in legal or regulatory conditions or interpretations general in any country, region or portion thereof, (iii) relating to the testing and assembly portion of the semiconductor industry, (iv) resulting from any actions taken by ChipMOS Taiwan or any of its Affiliates after the date hereof or (v) resulting from actions taken by ChipMOS Bermuda at the request of ChipMOS Taiwan or any of its Affiliates, or (B) a decrease in the stock price of ChipMOS Bermuda or ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp. as the result of the Share Exchange.

“ **New Shares** ” means the common shares, par value US\$0.01 per share, of ChipMOS Bermuda newly issued in connection with the Share Exchange and as stated in Part I of **Schedule A** ;

“ **Person** ” means any individual, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, firm, joint venture, association, trust, joint stock company, unincorporated organization or other entity.

“ **Related Party** ”, with respect to any specified Person, means: (i) any Affiliate of such specified Person; (ii) any other Person who serves as a director, executive officer or in a similar capacity of such specified Person; or (iii) any other Person who holds, individually or together with any Affiliate or relative of such other Person, more than 10% of the outstanding equity or ownership interests of such specified Person.

“ **ROC** ” means the Republic of China.

“ **ROC Acts** ” means the ROC Corporate Merger & Acquisition Act and the ROC Company Act as at the date of this Agreement.

“ **SEC** ” means the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

“ **Securities Act** ” means the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

“ **Share Exchange** ” means the exchange of New Shares for ChipMOS Taiwan Shares as contemplated by this Agreement and in accordance with the Corporate Merger and Acquisition Act of the ROC, whereby ChipMOS Bermuda will issue New Shares to ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders in exchange for ChipMOS Taiwan Shares at the agreed Exchange Ratio;

“ **Share Exchange Record Date** ” means 1 December 2007 or such other date, which shall be agreed by the Parties after the full satisfaction or waiver of the conditions precedent set out in Article 6.1;

“ **Subsidiary** ” shall mean, for the purposes of this Agreement, ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited.

“ **Taxes** ” means all taxes, levies, charges, fees, duties or other similar assessments, including any income, corporation, gross receipts, property, sales, use, license, excise, franchise, employment, payroll, withholding, alternative or add on minimum, ad valorem, escheat, transfer or excise tax, or any other tax, custom, duty, governmental fee or other like assessment or charge of any kind whatsoever, together with any interest or penalty, imposed by any governmental authority whether disputed or not.

“ **Tax Return** ” means any return, declaration, report or similar statement required to be filed with respect to any Tax (including any attached schedules), including, without limitation, any information return, claim for refund, amended return or declaration of estimated Tax.

ARTICLE 2 SHARE EXCHANGE

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan agree that on the Closing Date:

- 2.1 ChipMOS Bermuda shall acquire all ChipMOS Taiwan Shares in accordance with the provisions of the ROC Acts, so that ChipMOS Taiwan will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of ChipMOS Bermuda, and

- 2.2 ChipMOS Bermuda shall issue in favour of ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders (excluding Dissenting Shareholders) the New Shares issued in accordance with the Exchange Ratio as stated in Article 3.1 as the consideration for ChipMOS Bermuda's acquisition of ChipMOS Taiwan Shares and enter the ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders (excluding Dissenting Shareholders) on the register of members of ChipMOS Bermuda.
- 2.3 ChipMOS Bermuda shall issue and deliver share certificates to the ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders who tender their share certificates representing their respective shareholding in ChipMOS Taiwan, to ChipMOS Bermuda or its designees.

ARTICLE 3 CONSIDERATION and EXCHANGE RATIO

- 3.1 Each Eight point Four (8.4) ChipMOS Taiwan Shares shall be exchangeable for one (1) New Share (the "Exchange Ratio"), rounded downward to the nearest whole New Share (the "Share Exchange Consideration"). The Parties acknowledge and confirm that the Exchange Ratio has been determined with reference to the financial condition and results of operations of each Party as reflected in their respective financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and other factors considered relevant to the Parties.
- The Share Exchange Consideration payable by ChipMOS Bermuda to ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders shall be satisfied in full by the allotment and issuance of the New Shares to ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders based on the Exchange Ratio as stated in this Article 3.1 together with any cash payable in respect of fractional shares as provided in Article 3.3, in accordance with Article 2.2.
- 3.2 The Exchange Ratio shall not be adjusted unless any of the following events occurs
- (i) cash capital increase, issuance of convertible corporate bonds, issuance of bonus shares, issuance of corporate bonds with warrants, preferred shares with warrants, stock warrants, or other equity-based securities of either Party, excluding any common shares of either Party to be issued as a result of the conversion, exercise or exchange of any securities outstanding as of the date of this Agreement that are convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for common shares of such Party, including without limitation ChipMOS Bermuda's Share Option Plan of 2001 and Share Option Plan of 2006 (collectively, the "Share Option Plans");
 - (ii) any disposal of any substantial assets of either Party that may affect its financial condition or business;
 - (iii) the occurrence of any material disaster or technology changes that may affect shareholders' interests/rights or the price of stock; or
 - (iv) where it is expressly instructed by the competent governmental authority to adjust the Exchange Ratio in order to obtain the relevant regulatory approvals for the Share Exchange.

From the date of this Agreement until the Closing Date, where it is necessary to adjust the Exchange Ratio pursuant to the terms and conditions hereof and to the extent permissible under the applicable laws, the Parties shall jointly adjust the Exchange Ratio. In such case, corresponding adjustment should be made to the number of the New Shares to be issued by ChipMOS Bermuda for the Share Exchange.

- 3.3 New Shares shall only be issued in whole share units in connection with the Share Exchange. In lieu of any fractional New Shares that would otherwise be issuable upon application of the Exchange Ratio, each holder of ChipMOS Taiwan Shares will receive a payment in cash from ChipMOS Bermuda at NT\$24.76 per ChipMOS Taiwan Share, and the payment shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number in New Taiwan Dollar. The Parties acknowledge and confirm that NT\$24.76 per ChipMOS Taiwan Share referred to in the preceding sentence is equal to US\$0.75 per ChipMOS Taiwan Share, as converted from U.S. Dollars to New Taiwan Dollars based on the arithmetic mean of the exchange rates between U.S. Dollars and New Taiwan Dollars for thirty business days starting from February 12, 2007 and ending on April 4, 2007.
- 3.4 Except for the necessity for the adjustments of Exchange Ratio, the shares of ChipMOS Taiwan bought back from the Dissenting Shareholders or cash payments to be made in lieu of any fractional New Shares, on the Share Exchange Record Date, ChipMOS Bermuda shall issue up to 858,847 common shares to the ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders (based on the ChipMOS Taiwan's registry of shareholders as of the Share Exchange Record Date).

ARTICLE 4 DISSENTING SHAREHOLDERS

- 4.1 The Parties agree that ChipMOS Taiwan shall buy back all ChipMOS Taiwan's shares held by Dissenting Shareholders at fair value and pursuant to the procedures as provided in Article 12, Paragraph 5 of the ROC Corporate Merger and Acquisition Act and Article 187 of the ROC Company Act. All ChipMOS Taiwan's shares bought back by ChipMOS Taiwan from the Dissenting Shareholders shall be cancelled by ChipMOS Taiwan.
- 4.2 The closing of the Share Exchange shall take place on the Closing Date, and without prejudice of to the generality hereof, notwithstanding the occurrence of any of the following circumstances as of the Closing Date: (i) ChipMOS Taiwan and any Dissenting Shareholder have not reached an agreement on the price of the ChipMOS Taiwan's shares that are requested by the Dissenting Shareholders to be bought back by ChipMOS Taiwan; (ii) any Dissenting Shareholder has applied with the court of the ROC for a determination on such price and the court of the ROC has not granted such decision; or (iii) ChipMOS Taiwan has not completed the procedure for the buyback of the relevant ChipMOS Taiwan's shares from the Dissenting Shareholder.
- 4.3 In the event that ChipMOS Taiwan has not completed the buyback of any ChipMOS Taiwan shares from any Dissenting Shareholder(s) on or prior to the Share Exchange Record Date, then the ChipMOS Taiwan Shares held by such Dissenting Shareholder(s) shall be exchanged for New Shares on the Share Exchange Record Date in accordance with the terms of this Agreement and a ruling promulgated by the ROC Ministry of Economic Affairs on 17 July 2003 (Reference No.: Gin-San-Tze-09202143760). Thereafter, if ChipMOS Taiwan is required to make payment to one or more Dissenting Shareholder(s) as contemplated in Article 4.1, then ChipMOS Taiwan shall take such action with respect to the New Shares issued to such Dissenting Shareholder(s) in exchange for the ChipMOS Taiwan Shares as ChipMOS Bermuda shall direct.

ARTICLE 5 DIRECTORS AND SUPERVISORS

1. The Parties agree that the incumbent directors and supervisors of ChipMOS Taiwan shall continue their remaining term of office until the end of such term.
2. The Parties agree that the incumbent directors of ChipMOS Bermuda shall continue their remaining term of office until the end of such term.

ARTICLE 6 CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

- 6.1 The obligations of each of the Parties to complete the Share Exchange are subject to the satisfaction of the following conditions:
 - 6.1.1 ChipMOS Bermuda has increased its authorized share capital, if necessary, to allow for the issuance of the New Shares, and its board of directors has passed a resolution approving the Share Exchange and the issuance of the New Shares pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and authorizing management on behalf of ChipMOS Bermuda for the execution of this Agreement and any other notices or documents required in connection herewith.
 - 6.1.2 ChipMOS Taiwan's board of directors and shareholders' meeting have passed a resolution approving the Share Exchange pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and authorizing a specific person to approve any amendment, alteration or modification to this Agreement and related documents, and authorizing the specific person on behalf of ChipMOS Taiwan for the execution of this Agreement and any other notices or documents required in connection herewith.
 - 6.1.3 The Independent Expert of each Party has issued the respective Independent Expert's Opinion, which has been adopted by the board of directors or shareholders' meeting, as applicable, of each Party, confirming that the Exchange Ratio is reasonable.
 - 6.1.4 All competent authorities, including, without limitation, the Investment Commission of the Ministry of Economic Affairs of the ROC, have approved the Share Exchange, and no government authority has issued an injunction, decree or order enjoining, restraining or otherwise prohibiting the Share Exchange.
 - 6.1.5 All consents, if necessary, have been duly received from all creditors and relevant third parties for the Share Exchange.
 - 6.1.6 All representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Taiwan as set forth in Article 7 shall be true and correct in all material respects (except for each of the representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Taiwan that are limited by materiality, which shall be true and correct in all respects) on and as of the date hereof and on and as of the Closing Date as if again made by ChipMOS Taiwan on and as of such date, except to the extent such representations and warranties are made on and as of a specified date, in which event such representations and warranties shall be true and correct in all material respects (except for each of the representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Taiwan that are limited by materiality, which shall be true and correct in all respects) on and as of such specified date, and ChipMOS Taiwan shall have performed all obligations and conditions herein required to be performed or observed by it on or prior to the Closing Date.

6.1.7 All representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Bermuda as set forth in Article 8 shall be true and correct in all material respects (except for each of the representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Bermuda that are limited by materiality, which shall be true and correct in all respects) on and as of the date hereof and on and as of the Closing Date as if again made by ChipMOS Bermuda on and as of such date, except to the extent such representations and warranties are made on and as of a specified date, in which event such representations and warranties shall be true and correct in all material respects (except for each of the representations and warranties made by ChipMOS Bermuda that are limited by materiality, which shall be true and correct in all respects) on and as of such specified date, and ChipMOS Bermuda shall have performed all obligations and conditions herein required to be performed or observed by it on or prior to the Closing Date.

ARTICLE 7 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF CHIPMOS TAIWAN

ChipMOS Taiwan hereby represents and warrants as of the date hereof as follows:

7.1 Organization and Qualification

ChipMOS Taiwan is a company duly organized and validly existing under the Laws of ROC. ChipMOS Taiwan is duly authorized to conduct business under the Laws of each jurisdiction where such qualification is required, except where the lack of such qualification would not be reasonably expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the ability of ChipMOS Taiwan to consummate the transactions contemplated herein.

7.2 Authorization; Binding Obligations

This Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby have been duly authorized by all requisite corporate action and proceedings of ChipMOS Taiwan. ChipMOS Taiwan has full corporate power and authority to execute and deliver this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder. This Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by ChipMOS Taiwan, and, assuming due execution and delivery by the other Party hereto, this Agreement constitutes a valid and legally binding obligation of ChipMOS Taiwan, enforceable in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar Laws now or hereafter in effect affecting creditors' rights generally or by general principles of equity.

7.3 Consents and Approvals

No consent, approval, permit or authorization of, license or order of, or registration, declaration, filing with, or notice to, any governmental authority (such consents, approvals, authorizations, licenses, orders, registrations, filings and notices, together with any consents, approvals, actions or notices required to be obtained from any governmental authority, collectively, the "Consents") is required to be obtained, made or given by or with respect to ChipMOS Taiwan in connection with (i) the execution and delivery by ChipMOS Taiwan of this Agreement, (ii) the performance by ChipMOS Taiwan of its obligations under this Agreement or (iii) the consummation by ChipMOS Taiwan of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; in each case, other than where a lack thereof would not be reasonably expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the ability of ChipMOS Taiwan to consummate the transactions contemplated herein.

7.4 Compliance with Other Instruments

The execution, delivery and performance by ChipMOS Taiwan of this Agreement, the compliance by ChipMOS Taiwan with all the provisions hereof and thereof on the part of ChipMOS Taiwan and the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby on the part of ChipMOS Taiwan will not (i) conflict with or result in a breach or violation of any of the terms or provisions of, or constitute a default under, the memorandum of association, articles of association, by-laws or other governing documents of ChipMOS Taiwan, any indenture, mortgage, deed of trust, loan agreement or other agreement or instrument to which ChipMOS Taiwan is a party or by which ChipMOS Taiwan is bound or to which any of the property or assets of ChipMOS Taiwan is subject, or (ii) result in any violation of the provisions of the governing documents of ChipMOS Taiwan or any statute or any order, rule or regulation of ROC or any of respective properties or assets; in each case, other than any such conflicts, breaches, violations or defaults which, individually or in the aggregate, would not be reasonably expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the ability of ChipMOS Taiwan to consummate the transactions contemplated herein.

7.5 Title; Ownership

Each of respective ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholder owns and holds good and valid title to its ChipMOS Taiwan Shares, free of all Liens, as of the Closing Date. The ChipMOS Taiwan Shares are the property of the respective ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders and each respective ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholder may transfer its ChipMOS Taiwan Shares to any person or entity without any restrictions whatsoever. Each respective ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders has voting power with respect to its ChipMOS Taiwan Shares with no limitations or restrictions on such rights. Upon consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, ChipMOS Bermuda will acquire from the ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders record and beneficial ownership of the ChipMOS Taiwan Shares, free and clear of all Liens, validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable and free of any preemptive rights.

7.6 Unregistered Securities

ChipMOS Taiwan acknowledges that it has been advised by ChipMOS Bermuda that the New Shares are being issued an exchange offer pursuant to Rule 802 of the Securities Act, and have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act. ChipMOS Taiwan acknowledges that the share certificates evidencing the New Shares shall bear the following legend:

THE SECURITIES REPRESENTED BY THIS CERTIFICATE HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, OR APPLICABLE STATE SECURITIES LAWS, AND ACCORDINGLY NEITHER SUCH SECURITIES NOR ANY INTEREST THEREIN MAY BE SOLD, TRANSFERRED, PLEDGED, OR OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH REGISTRATION OR AN EXEMPTION THEREFROM UNDER SUCH ACT AND ANY SUCH LAWS APPLICABLE THERETO AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS THEREUNDER.

ARTICLE 8 REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF CHIPMOS BERMUDA

ChipMOS Bermuda hereby represents and warrants as of the date hereof (except as otherwise stated) as follows:

8.1 Organization, Good Standing and Qualification; Subsidiary

ChipMOS Bermuda is a company duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the Laws of Bermuda. The Subsidiary is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its organization. ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary have all requisite corporate power and authority to own and operate their respective properties and assets and to carry on their respective businesses as presently conducted. All such organizational documents of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary are in full force and effect and neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary is in violation of its organizational documents, except where such violation of organizational documents would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect.

8.2 Capitalization; Valid Issuance

- (a) All of the outstanding shares of capital stock of ChipMOS Bermuda have been duly authorized and validly issued and are fully paid and non-assessable, and all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the Subsidiary have been duly authorized and validly issued and are fully paid and non-assessable and are owned by ChipMOS Bermuda, free and clear of all Liens. As of March 31, 2007, there were (i) authorized share capital consisting of 250 million Common Shares par value US\$ 0.01 per share and 75 million preferred shares and (ii) issued and outstanding 82,802,463 shares of Common Shares. Except for options granted under Share Option Plans, ChipMOS Bermuda's 1.75% Convertible Senior Notes of ChipMOS Bermuda due 2009 (the "2009 Convertible Senior Notes") and ChipMOS Bermuda's 3.375% Convertible Senior Notes due 2011 (the "2011 Convertible Senior Notes"), there are no outstanding or authorized options, warrants, purchase rights, subscription rights, conversion rights, exchange rights, or other contracts or commitments that could require ChipMOS Bermuda to issue, sell, or otherwise cause to become outstanding any voting securities of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary.
- (b) Upon consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, the ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders will become the registered holders of the New Shares, free and clear of all Liens. The New Shares will be duly authorized by all necessary corporate action on the part of ChipMOS Bermuda pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. When so issued pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, The New Shares will be validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable and free of any preemptive rights.

8.3 Authorization; Binding Obligations

This Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby have been duly authorized by all requisite corporate action of ChipMOS Bermuda. ChipMOS Bermuda has full corporate power and authority to execute and deliver this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder. This Agreement has been duly executed and delivered by ChipMOS

Bermuda, and, assuming due execution and delivery by the other Party thereto, this Agreement constitutes a valid and legally binding obligation of ChipMOS Bermuda, enforceable in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar Laws now or hereafter in effect affecting creditors' rights generally or by general principles of equity.

8.4 Consents and Approvals

Except for foreign investment approval from the Investment Commission of the ROC Ministry of Economic Affairs, no Consent is required to be obtained, made or given by or with respect to ChipMOS Bermuda in connection with (i) the execution and delivery by ChipMOS Bermuda of this Agreement, (ii) the performance by ChipMOS Bermuda of its obligations under this Agreement or (iii) the consummation by ChipMOS Bermuda of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement; in each case, other than where a lack thereof would not be reasonably expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on ChipMOS Bermuda's ability to consummate the transactions contemplated herein.

8.5 Compliance with Other Instruments

The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement by ChipMOS Bermuda with all the provisions hereof and thereof and the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby will not conflict with or result in (i) any violation of the provisions of the Memorandum of Association or By-laws of ChipMOS Bermuda or any of the governing documents of the Subsidiary or any statute or any order, rule or regulation of any governmental authority having jurisdiction over ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary or any of their properties or assets, other than any such conflicts, breaches, violations or defaults which, individually or in the aggregate, would not be reasonably expected to have, a Material Adverse Effect, (ii) any breach, default, termination, triggering of the put or call options, acceleration or redemption rights or other similar rights under the 2009 Convertible Senior Notes or 2011 Convertible Senior Notes, or (iii) any breach or violation of any of the terms or provisions of, or constitute a default under, any material contract to which ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary is a party or by which ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary is bound or to which any of the property or assets of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary is subject, other than any such conflicts, breaches, violations or defaults which, individually or in the aggregate, would not be reasonably expected to have, a Material Adverse Effect.

8.6 SEC Documents; Other Reports; Internal Controls

- (a) ChipMOS Bermuda has timely filed all of the reports, schedules, registration statements and other documents, together with amendments thereto, required to be filed with the SEC since June 19, 2001 (the "ChipMOS Bermuda Reports"), except where a failure to do so would not have or reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect. All ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed to date comply in all material respects with applicable law and regulation. No executive officer of ChipMOS Bermuda has failed in any respect to make the certifications required of him or her under Sections 302 or 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act") and, to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, no enforcement action (including formal or informal investigation or inquiry) has been initiated against ChipMOS Bermuda by the SEC relating to disclosures contained in any ChipMOS Bermuda Reports.
- (b) ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary have timely filed all reports, registrations, applications, statements and other

filings with relevant governmental authorities, except where the failure of to make such filings would not have or be reasonably expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect. To ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, except for normal examinations conducted by a governmental authority in the regular course of the business of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary, no governmental authority has initiated any proceeding or, threatened an investigation into the business or operations of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary which has not been resolved to date.

- (c) ChipMOS Bermuda maintains a system of internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted principles as applied by ChipMOS Bermuda, except where the lack of such system would not have or reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. ChipMOS Bermuda's certifying officers have evaluated the effectiveness of ChipMOS Bermuda's controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by ChipMOS Bermuda's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2005 on Form 20-F (such date, the "Evaluation Date"). ChipMOS Bermuda presented in its Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2005 on form 20-F the conclusions of the certifying officers about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on their evaluation as of the Evaluation Date. ChipMOS Bermuda is in compliance with the provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act effective and applicable to ChipMOS Bermuda as of the date hereof, except where such non-compliance would not have or reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect.
- (d) Except as disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof, since June 19, 2001, (i) to the knowledge of ChipMOS Bermuda, neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has received or otherwise had or obtained knowledge of any material complaint, allegation, assertion or claim, whether written or oral, regarding the internal accounting controls of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary, and (ii) no attorney representing ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary, whether or not employed by ChipMOS Bermuda or its Subsidiary, has reported evidence of a material violation of securities laws, breach of fiduciary duty or similar violation by ChipMOS Bermuda or any of its officers, directors, employees or agents to ChipMOS Bermuda's board of directors or any committee thereof or to any director or officer of ChipMOS Bermuda.

8.7 Financial Statements

The audited consolidated balance sheets and audited consolidated statements of income and cash flows of ChipMOS Bermuda as at December 31, 2005 and for the three years ended December 31, 2005 including in each case any related notes and schedules thereto, included in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed on or prior to the date hereof complied, and the financial statements of ChipMOS Bermuda, including any related notes and schedules thereto, included in any ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed after the date hereof but prior to the Closing Date will comply, as to form, as of their respective dates of filing with the SEC (or, if amended or superseded by a subsequent filing prior to the date hereof, as of the date of such subsequent filing), in all material respects, with all applicable accounting requirements and with the published rules and regulations of the SEC with respect thereto, and ChipMOS Bermuda Reports have been or will be prior to the Closing Date, as the case may be, prepared in accordance with ROC GAAP as reconciled to US GAAP applied on a consistent basis during the periods involved

(except as may be disclosed therein), and fairly present the consolidated financial position of ChipMOS Bermuda and its consolidated companies and the consolidated results of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows of such companies as of the dates and for the periods shown. The books and records of ChipMOS Bermuda and its consolidated companies have been, and are being, maintained in all material respects in accordance with ROC GAAP as reconciled to US GAAP and any other applicable legal and accounting requirements and reflect only actual transactions. The consolidated financial statements of ChipMOS Bermuda for the year ended December 31, 2006 is hereinafter referred to as the "2006 Financial Statements".

8.8 No Undisclosed Liabilities.

Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has any material liabilities or obligations of any nature (whether accrued, absolute, contingent or otherwise), except for liabilities or obligations that would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect, or disclosed or provided (i) in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, (ii) in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof or (iii) in documents publicly available prior to the date hereof.

8.9 Absence of Certain Changes or Events

Except as disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed and publicly available prior to the date hereof or in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, since December 31, 2006, the businesses of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary have been conducted in all material respects in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, and there has not been any change, development, event, condition, occurrence or effect that individually or in the aggregate has had a Material Adverse Effect.

8.10 Contracts

Except as disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof or in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary is a party to or is bound by any material contract relating to (i) the borrowing of money, guarantees, or security agreements, (ii) the purchase, sale, transfer, assignment or other disposition of assets or liabilities of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary or (iii) pursuant to which ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary has agreed to grant or has granted an option or similar right to another Person affecting any material asset of ChipMOS Bermuda or its Subsidiary; which in each case, would have individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect. Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary is in material breach or default, or has received written notice of a claimed material breach or default, under any of its material contracts and, to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, there does not exist under any of the material contracts any event which, with the giving of notice or lapse of time or both, would constitute a material breach or default by ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary or any other party thereto and would have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect.

8.11 Litigation

Except as disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof or in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, there are no actions pending to which ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary is a party or of which any property or assets of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary is the subject which, if determined adversely to ChipMOS Bermuda

or its Subsidiary, would have a Material Adverse Effect; and to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, no such Actions are threatened or contemplated by governmental authorities or threatened by others.

8.12 Permits

Except as otherwise disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports or in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, each of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary has such certificates, authorizations, licenses, concessions, approvals, orders or permits issued by the appropriate regulatory agencies or bodies necessary to own, lease or license, as the case may be, and to operate their properties and to conduct the businesses now conducted by ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary, except to the extent that the failure to have any such certificate, authorization, license, concession, approval, order to permit would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

8.13 Tax Matters

Except as would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect, (i) ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary have duly prepared and timely filed all Tax Returns that are required to be filed by each of them and have paid or made provision for the payment of all Taxes with respect to the periods covered by such Tax Returns (whether or not such amounts are shown as due on any tax return); (ii) all Taxes required to have been withheld, collected or deposited by ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary have been timely withheld, collected or deposited, as the case may be, and to the extent required, have been paid to the relevant taxing authority; (iii) no deficiency assessment with respect to a proposed adjustment of ChipMOS Bermuda's or the Subsidiary's Taxes is pending or, to the best of ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, threatened; (iv) neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has entered into any agreement with any governmental authority; (v) to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, there are no Liens relating to Taxes, whether imposed by any Governmental Authority or other taxing authority, outstanding against the assets, properties or business of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary; (vi) neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has any liability for Taxes of any third party as a taxpayer, transferee, responsible party or successor by law, contract or otherwise; and (vii) neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary is a party to any Tax sharing agreement or similar contract or arrangement or any agreement that obligates it to make any payment computed by reference to the Taxes, taxable income or taxable losses of any other Person.

8.14 Property

ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary have good and marketable title to all real property and good and marketable title to all personal property owned by them, in each case free and clear of all Liens, except such Liens as do not materially affect the value of such property and do not materially interfere with the use made and proposed to be made of such property by ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary. All real property and other assets held under lease by ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary are held by them under valid, subsisting and enforceable leases, with such exceptions as are not material and do not interfere with the use made and proposed to be made of such property and buildings by ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary.

8.15 Intellectual Property

Except as disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof or in the 2006 Financial Statements and the notes thereto, ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary own or possess the legal rights to use all material patents, patent

applications, trademarks, service marks, trade names, trademark registrations, service mark registrations, copyrights and licenses owned by or licensed to ChipMOS Bermuda or Subsidiary, as the case may be, and to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, have not received any notice of any claim of conflict with, any such rights of others, which notice or claim remains in dispute and which would have a Material Adverse Effect.

8.16 Insurance

Except as would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect, ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary carry, or are covered by, insurance in such amounts and covering such risks as is prudent and customary for companies of similar size engaged in similar businesses in similar industries and whose operations are primarily located in the same jurisdiction, and all such insurance contracts of ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary are in full force and effect.

8.17 Compliance with Laws

Each of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary is in compliance with all laws applicable to it or any of its properties, assets, operations or business, provided that where a violation thereof would not have or reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect. Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor any of its Subsidiary, nor any director, officer, agent, employee or other person associated with or acting on behalf of ChipMOS Bermuda or any of its Subsidiary, has violated or is in violation of any provision of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977. Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor any of its Affiliates has taken, nor will they take, directly or indirectly, any action designed to or which has constituted or which might be reasonably expected to cause or result in the stabilization or manipulation of the price of the outstanding common shares of ChipMOS Bermuda to facilitate the sale or resale of such securities in violation of the Securities Act.

8.18 Transactions with Affiliates

Other than the transactions contemplated hereby, there is not and has not been any transaction, direct or indirect, between or among ChipMOS Bermuda or its Subsidiary, Affiliates or Related Parties, on the one hand, and the directors, officers or shareholders of ChipMOS Bermuda, on the other hand, which has not been disclosed in ChipMOS Bermuda Reports filed prior to the date hereof or in the 2006 Financial statements and the notes there to and, individually or in the aggregate, would have or be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect.

8.19 Employees; Labor Matters

Each of ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary has complied and is in compliance, with all applicable laws relating to employees and employment practices, terms and conditions of employment, employee relations, wages and hours, civil rights and equal employment opportunities; except where any such non-compliance, breaches or violations thereof, individually or in the aggregate, would not have a Material Adverse Effect; and ChipMOS Bermuda (i) has performed in all material respects all obligations required to be performed by it under any of its benefit plans (including the making when due of all contributions required by applicable law or by any agreement to which ChipMOS Bermuda is a party), (ii) is not in default under or in violation of any such benefit plan and (iii) is in compliance with the requirements prescribed by statutes, orders or governmental rules or regulations applicable to such benefit plans, except, in each case, for any such non-

performance, violations or defaults thereof, which, individually or in the aggregate, would not have a Material Adverse Effect. No labor disturbance by the employees of ChipMOS Bermuda exists or, to the knowledge of ChipMOS Bermuda, is imminent, which would have a Material Adverse Effect.

8.20 Environmental Matters

ChipMOS Bermuda and the Subsidiary are, and at all times have been, in compliance with all applicable environmental laws, except where such non-compliance would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect. Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has received any written or, to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, oral environmental claim, and, to ChipMOS Bermuda's knowledge, there is no threatened environmental claim. Neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor the Subsidiary has assumed, contractually or by operation of Law, any liabilities under any environmental laws, except, in each case, as would not have, individually or in the aggregate, a Material Adverse Effect.

8.21 Company Information

The documents filed by ChipMOS Bermuda or the Subsidiary with SEC or any governmental authority in connection with this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby will not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances in which they are made, not misleading, except that ChipMOS Bermuda makes no representation or warranty with respect to any information supplied by ChipMOS Taiwan in writing specifically for inclusion therein.

8.22 No Other Representations and Warranties

Except for the representations and warranties contained in this Article 8, neither ChipMOS Bermuda nor any other Person makes any other express or implied representation or warranty on behalf of or with respect to ChipMOS Bermuda, and ChipMOS Bermuda hereby disclaims any such representation or warranty, whether by ChipMOS Bermuda or any other Person, with respect to the execution and delivery of this Agreement, the consummation of the transactions contemplated in this Agreement or ChipMOS Bermuda, notwithstanding the delivery or disclosure to ChipMOS Taiwan or any other Person of any documentation or other information by ChipMOS Bermuda or any other Person with respect to any one or more of the foregoing.

ARTICLE 9 COVENANTS

9.1 Unless ChipMOS Taiwan otherwise agrees in writing, ChipMOS Bermuda undertakes that from the date of this Agreement to the Share Exchange Record Date, it shall:

- (i) maintain its corporate existence and conduct its business in a proper and efficient manner and in compliance with all laws, regulations, authorizations, agreements and obligations applicable to it and pay all taxes imposed on it when due and procure that its Subsidiary maintains its corporate existence and conducts its business in a proper and efficient manner;
- (ii) not materially change the nature or scope of the business of ChipMOS Bermuda and that of its Subsidiary; and

- 9.2 Unless ChipMOS Bermuda otherwise agrees in writing, ChipMOS Taiwan undertakes that from the date of this Agreement to the Share Exchange Record Date, it shall:
- (i) maintain its corporate existence and conduct its business in a proper and efficient manner and in compliance with all laws, regulations, authorizations, agreements and obligations applicable to it and pays all taxes imposed on it when due and procure that each of its subsidiaries maintains its corporate existence and conducts its business in a proper and efficient manner;
 - (ii) not materially change the nature or scope of the business of ChipMOS Taiwan and that of its subsidiaries; and

9.3 From the date hereof and until the Closing Date, each of ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan shall, with respect to the conditions set forth in Rule 802 under the Securities Act:

- (i) (a) permit U.S. holders (as defined in Rule 801 under the Securities Act) to participate in the Share Exchange on terms at least as favorable as those offered any other ChipMOS Taiwan Shareholders, (b) if ChipMOS Bermuda publishes or otherwise disseminates an informational document to the shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan in connection with the Share Exchange, disseminate that informational document, including any amendment thereto, in English, on at least a comparable basis to that provided to the shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan in the ROC, (c) furnish the information document published or otherwise disseminated to the shareholders of ChipMOS Taiwan, including amendment, in English, to the SEC on Form CB by the first business day after publication or dissemination, (d) file a Form F-X with the SEC at the same time as the submission of Form CB to appoint an agent for service in the United States and (e) if ChipMOS Bermuda disseminates information regarding the Share Exchange by publication in Bermuda, publish the information in the United States in a manner reasonably circulated to inform U.S. holders of the Share Exchange.
- (ii) include the following legend on the cover page or other prominent portion of any information document ChipMOS Bermuda publishes or disseminates to U.S. holders:

The share exchange transaction is made for the securities of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC., a company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of China, and is subject to disclosure requirements of the Republic of China that are different from those of the United States. Financial statements included in this document, if any, have been prepared in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the Republic of China that may not be comparable to the financial statements of United States companies.

It may be difficult for you to enforce your rights and any claim you may have arising under the United States federal securities laws, since ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD, is located in Bermuda, and some or all of its officers and directors may be residents of a foreign country. You may not be able to sue a Bermuda company or its

officers or directors in a foreign court for violations of the United States securities laws. It may be difficult to compel a Bermuda company and its affiliates to subject themselves to a United States court's judgment.

You should be aware that ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. may purchase the securities other than under the share exchange transaction, such as in open market or privately negotiated purchases.

- 9.4 ChipMOS Taiwan undertakes not to transfer any of its currently held treasury stocks to any employees or third party and shall cancel all of its treasury stocks held as of the date hereof within 3 years, to the extent permissible under the applicable ROC laws.

ARTICLE 10 TERMINATION

- 10.1 This Agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the Share Exchange Record Date in any of the following events:

- (i) by mutual written consent of the Parties;
- (ii) by either Party, with a written notice to the other Party upon the occurrence of any of the following events:
 - (a) if any government authorities shall have issued an injunction, decree or order enjoining, restraining or otherwise prohibiting the Share Exchange;
 - (b) if the other Party voluntarily files or is subject to a filing of a petition for bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation or reorganization, or a decree or order by a court adjudging that Party insolvent or approving a petition seeking its reorganization or the appointment of a receiver, liquidator or trustee, or the start of its bankruptcy or liquidation proceeding; or
 - (c) if the other Party breaches any obligation, undertaking, representation or warranty under this Agreement, and such breach has not been cured within thirty (30) days upon receipt of a written notice from the non-breaching Party.

- 10.2 Each Party agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the other Party from and against all losses, expenses and damages (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees) suffered or incurred by the other Party as a result of any breach of representation, warranty or covenants of that Party under this Agreement.

ARTICLE 11 MISCELLANEOUS

- 11.1 This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the ROC.

- 11.2 The Parties shall in good faith try to resolve any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this Agreement (a "**Dispute**") promptly by amicable negotiation between their officers or representatives who have authority to settle the Dispute.

If the Dispute cannot be resolved within sixty (60) days after either Party serves a notice of Dispute, such Dispute shall finally be settled by the ROC Arbitration Association and pursuant to the Arbitration Act of the ROC by a panel of three arbitrators. Each Party is entitled to appoint one arbitrator and these two arbitrators so appointed shall jointly appoint the third arbitrator who shall act as the chair of the panel. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, the arbitration shall be held in Taipei and in Chinese. The arbitral award shall be final and binding on the Parties.

- 11.3 If any part of this Agreement is found or held to be void or voidable or unenforceable for violation of or conflict with any laws or regulations, the validity and enforceability of the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected. ChipMOS Bermuda and ChipMOS Taiwan shall amend the void or voidable part of this Agreement promptly; provided, that such amendment shall retain, to the extent permissible by law, the intent expressed by the Parties hereunder.
- 11.4 Any notices and other communications required to be given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and addressed at the following addresses or other addresses as are notified by either Party to the other Party in the same way:

If to ChipMOS Bermuda:

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.,

Attention: Chief Executive Officer

Address: 11F, No 3, Lane 91, Dongmei Rd., Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

If to ChipMOS Taiwan:

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Attention: Chairman of the Board

Address: No. 1, R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

- 11.5 Neither Party may assign or transfer any of its rights, benefits and obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Party.
- 11.6 Except for Article 9.4, all agreements, representations and warranties made in this Agreement shall survive one (1) year from the date hereof and inure to the benefit of the successors of the Parties.
- 11.7 The time and content of any public announcement or press releases pertaining to the Share Exchange under this Agreement shall be discussed and agreed to by the Parties.
- 11.8 This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one instrument.
- 11.9 This Agreement shall be executed in the English and Chinese versions. (i.e., this Agreement shall be executed in two (2) counterparts for each version, each of which shall be held by each Party). In the event of inconsistency between the English version and the Chinese version, the Chinese version shall prevail and govern.

[End of Text]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement or cause this Agreement to be executed by their respectively authorized representatives as of the day and year first above written.

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "S. J. Cheng", written over a horizontal line.

Name: S. J. Cheng
Title: Chief Executive Officer

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "R. B. Tsai", written over a horizontal line.

Name: R. B. Tsai

SCHEDULE A

PART I

New Shares

Class of shares : common shares, par value US\$0.01 per share
Number of shares : up to 858,847 shares

PART II

ChipMOS Taiwan Shares

Class of shares : common shares, par value NT\$10 per share
Number of shares : 7,214,316 shares

FORM OF CHANGE IN CONTROL SEVERANCE AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into as of the ___ day of _____, 2008 by and between ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., a company organized under the laws of Bermuda (the “**Company**”), and _____ (“**Executive**”).

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Company considers the establishment and maintenance of a sound and vital management to be essential to protecting and enhancing the best interests of the Company and its shareholders; and

WHEREAS, the Company recognizes that, as is the case with many publicly held corporations, the possibility of a change in control may arise and that such possibility may result in the departure or distraction of management personnel to the detriment of the Company and its shareholders; and

WHEREAS, the Board (as defined in Section 1) has determined that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to secure Executive’s continued services and to ensure Executive’s continued dedication to his duties in the event of any threat or occurrence of a Change in Control (as defined in Section 1) of the Company; and

WHEREAS, the Board has authorized the Company to enter into this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, the Company and Executive hereby agree as follows:

1. Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the respective meanings set forth below:

(a) “**Board**” means the Board of Directors of the Company.

(b) “**Bonus Amount**” means **in NTD** the average annual incentive bonus earned by Executive from the Company (or its affiliates) of the last three (3) completed fiscal years of the Company (in which year such bonus was paid) immediately preceding Executive’s Date of Termination (annualized in the event Executive was not employed by the Company (or its affiliates) for the whole of any such fiscal year).

(c) “**Cause**” means (i) the willful and continued failure of Executive to perform substantially his duties with the Company (other than any such failure resulting from Executive’s incapacity due to physical or mental illness or any such failure subsequent to Executive being delivered a Notice of Termination without Cause by the Company or delivering a Notice of Termination for Good Reason to the Company) after a written demand for substantial performance is delivered to Executive by the Board which specifically

identifies the manner in which the Board believes that Executive has not substantially performed Executive's duties, or (ii) the willful engaging by Executive in illegal conduct or gross misconduct which is demonstrably and materially injurious to the Company or its affiliates. For purpose of this paragraph (c), no act or failure to act by Executive shall be considered "willful" unless done or omitted to be done by Executive in bad faith and without reasonable belief that Executive's action or omission was in the best interests of the Company or its affiliates. Any act, or failure to act, based upon authority given pursuant to a resolution duly adopted by the Board, based upon the advice of counsel for the Company shall be conclusively presumed to be done, or omitted to be done, by Executive in good faith and in the best interests of the Company. Cause shall not exist unless and until the Company has delivered to Executive a copy of a resolution duly adopted by three-quarters (3/4) of the entire Board (excluding Executive if Executive is a Board member) at a meeting of the Board called and held for such purpose (after reasonable notice to Executive and an opportunity for Executive, together with counsel, to be heard before the Board), finding that in the good faith opinion of the Board an event set forth in clauses (i) or (ii) has occurred and specifying the particulars thereof in detail. The Company must notify Executive of any event constituting Cause within ninety (90) days following the Company's knowledge of its existence or such event shall not constitute Cause under this Agreement.

(d) "**Change in Control**" means the occurrence of any one of the following events:

(i) any "person" (as such term is defined in Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "**Exchange Act**") and as used in Sections 13(d)(3) and 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act) is or becomes a "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities eligible to vote for the election of the Board (the "**Company Voting Securities**"); provided, however, that the event described in this paragraph (i) shall not be deemed to be a Change in Control by virtue of any of the following acquisitions: (A) by the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (B) by any employee benefit plan sponsored or maintained by the Company or any of its subsidiaries, or (C) by any person approved in advance to acquire such amount of Company's voting securities by the Board, a majority of whom are, and have been, Incumbent Directors (as defined below) for at least two years;

(ii) during any period of not more than two years, individuals who constitute the Board as of the beginning of the period (the "Incumbent Directors") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board, provided that any person becoming a director subsequent to the beginning of the period whose election or nomination for election was approved by a vote (either by a specific vote or by approval of the proxy statement of the Company in which such person is named as a nominee for director, without objection to such nomination) of at least two-thirds of the Incumbent Directors who remain on the Board,

including those directors whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, shall also be deemed to be an Incumbent Director; provided, however, that no individual initially elected or nominated as a director of the Company as a result of an actual or threatened election contest with respect to directors or any other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of any person other than the Board shall be deemed to be an Incumbent Director;

(iii) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, share exchange or similar form of corporate reorganization of the Company (or any such type of transaction involving the Company or any of its subsidiaries that requires the approval of the Company's shareholders, whether for the transaction or the issuance of securities in the transaction or otherwise) (a "Business Combination"), unless such Business Combination is approved in advance by the Board, a majority of whom are, and have been, Incumbent Directors for at least two years; or

(iv) the shareholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets.

(e) "**Date of Termination**" means (i) the effective date on which Executive's employment by the Company terminates as specified in a prior written notice by the Company or Executive, as the case may be, to the other, delivered pursuant to Section 10 or (ii) if Executive's employment by the Company terminates by reason of death, the date of death of Executive.

(f) "**Disability**" means termination of Executive's employment by the Company due to Executive's absence from Executive's duties with the Company on a full-time basis for at least one hundred eighty (180) days in any two hundred seventy (270) day period as a result of Executive's incapacity due to physical or mental illness.

(g) "**Good Reason**" means, without Executive's express written consent, the occurrence of any of the following events after a Change in Control:

(i) (A) any change in the duties or responsibilities (including reporting responsibilities) of Executive that is inconsistent in any material and adverse respect with Executive's position(s), duties, responsibilities or status with the Company immediately prior to such Change in Control (including any material and adverse diminution of such duties or responsibilities) ; provided, however, that Good Reason shall not be deemed to occur upon a change in duties or responsibilities (other than reporting responsibilities) that is solely and directly a result of the Company no longer being a publicly traded entity and does not involve any other event set forth in this paragraph (g) or (B) a material and adverse change in Executive's titles or offices (including, if applicable, membership on the Board) with the Company as in effect immediately prior to such Change in Control;

(ii) a reduction by the Company in Executive's rate of annual base salary or annual target bonus opportunity (including any material and adverse change in the formula for such annual bonus target) as in effect immediately prior to such Change in Control or as the same may be increased from time to time thereafter;

(iii) any requirement of the Company that Executive (A) be based anywhere more than one month from the office where Executive is located at the time of the Change in Control or (B) travel on Company business to an extent substantially greater than the travel obligations of Executive immediately prior to such Change in Control;

(iv) the failure of the Company to (A) continue in effect any employee benefit plan, compensation plan, welfare benefit plan or material fringe benefit plan in which Executive is participating immediately prior to such Change in Control or the taking of any action by the Company which would adversely affect Executive's participation in or reduce Executive's benefits under any such plan, unless Executive is permitted to participate in other plans providing Executive with substantially equivalent benefits in the aggregate (at substantially equivalent cost with respect to welfare benefit plans), or (B) provide Executive with paid vacation in accordance with the most favorable vacation policies of the Company and its affiliated companies as in effect for Executive immediately prior to such Change in Control, including the crediting of all service for which Executive had been credited under such vacation policies prior to the Change in Control;

(v) any refusal by the Company to continue to permit Executive to engage in activities not directly related to the business of the Company which Executive was permitted to engage in prior to the Change in Control;

(vi) any purported termination of Executive's employment which is not effectuated pursuant to Section 10(b) (and which will not constitute a termination hereunder); or

(vii) the failure of the Company to obtain the assumption (and, if applicable, guarantee) agreement from any successor (and Parent Corporation) as contemplated in Section 9(b).

An isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action taken in good faith and which is remedied by the Company within ten (10) days after receipt of notice thereof given by Executive shall not constitute Good Reason. Executive's right to terminate employment for Good Reason shall not be affected by Executive's incapacities due to mental or physical illness and Executive's continued employment shall not constitute consent to, or a waiver of rights with respect to, any event or condition constituting Good Reason; provided, however, that Executive must provide notice of termination of employment within ninety (90) days following Executive's knowledge of an event constituting Good Reason or such event shall not constitute Good Reason under this Agreement.

(h) “ **Qualifying Termination** ” means a termination of Executive’s employment (i) by the Company other than for Cause or (ii) by Executive for Good Reason (or on account of death after the delivery of a valid notice of termination without Cause or for Good Reason). Termination of Executive’s employment on account of death (other than death after the delivery of a valid notice of termination without Cause or for Good Reason) , Disability or Retirement shall not be treated as a Qualifying Termination.

(i) “ **Retirement** ” means Executive’s mandatory retirement (not including any mandatory early retirement) in accordance with the Company’s retirement policy generally applicable to its salaried employees, as in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control, or in accordance with any retirement arrangement established with respect to Executive with Executive’s written consent.

(j) “ **Subsidiary** ” means any corporation or other entity in which the Company has a direct or indirect ownership interest of 50% or more of the total combined voting power of the then outstanding securities or interests of such corporation or other entity entitled to vote generally in the election of directors or in which the Company has the right to receive 50% or more of the distribution of profits or 50% of the assets or liquidation or dissolution.

(k) “ **Termination Period** ” means the period of time beginning with a Change in Control and ending two (2) years following such Change in Control. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, if (i) Executive’s employment is terminated prior to a Change in Control for reasons that would have constituted a Qualifying Termination if they had occurred following a Change in Control; (ii) Executive reasonably demonstrates that such termination (or Good Reason event) was at the request of a third party who had indicated an intention or taken steps reasonably calculated to effect a Change in Control; and (iii) a Change in Control involving such third party (or a party competing with such third party to effectuate a Change in Control) does occur, then for purposes of this Agreement, the date immediately prior to the date of such termination of employment or event constituting Good Reason shall be treated as a Change in Control. For purposes of determining the timing of payments and benefits to Executive under Section 4, the date of the actual Change in Control shall be treated as Executive’s Date of Termination under Section 1(e), and for purposes of determining the amount of payments and benefits to Executive under Section 4, the date Executive’s employment is actually terminated shall be treated as Executive’s Date of Termination under Section 1(e).

2. Obligation of Executive . In the event of a tender or exchange offer, proxy contest, or the execution of any agreement which, if consummated, would constitute a Change in Control, Executive agrees not to voluntarily leave the employ of the Company, other than as a result of Disability, retirement or an event which would constitute Good Reason if a Change in Control had occurred, until the Change in Control occurs or, if earlier, such tender or exchange offer, proxy contest, or agreement is terminated or abandoned.

3. Term of Agreement. This Agreement shall be effective on the date hereof and shall continue in effect until the Company shall have given one year written notice of cancellation; provided, that, notwithstanding the delivery of any such notice, this Agreement shall continue in effect for a period of two (2) years after a Change in Control, if such Change in Control shall have occurred during the term of this Agreement. Notwithstanding anything in this Section to the contrary, this Agreement shall terminate if Executive or the Company terminates Executive's employment prior to a Change in Control except as provided in Section 1(k).

4. Payments Upon Termination of Employment.

(a) Qualifying Termination. If during the Termination Period the employment of Executive shall terminate pursuant to a Qualifying Termination, then the Company shall provide to Executive:

(i) within ten (10) days following the Date of Termination a lump-sum cash amount equal to the sum of (A) Executive's base salary through the Date of Termination and any bonus amounts which have become payable, to the extent not theretofore paid or deferred, (B) a pro rata portion of Executive's annual bonus for the fiscal year in which Executive's Date of Termination occurs in an amount at least equal to (x) Executive's Bonus Amount, multiplied by (y) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of days in the fiscal year in which the Date of Termination occurs through the Date of Termination and the denominator of which is three hundred sixty-five (365), and reduced by (z) any amounts paid from the Company's annual incentive plan for the fiscal year in which Executive's Date of Termination occurs and (C), any compensation previously deferred by Executive other than pursuant to a tax-qualified plan (together with any interest and earnings thereon) and any accrued vacation pay, in each case to the extent not theretofore paid; plus

(ii) within ten (10) days following the Date of Termination, a lump-sum cash amount equal to an amount as determined in Table 1

(b) Qualifying Termination. If during the Termination Period the employment of Executive shall terminate pursuant to a Qualifying Termination, then the Company shall continue to provide, for a period commensurate with the severance multiple indicated in Table 1 following Executive's Date of Termination, Executive (and Executive's dependents, if applicable) with the same level of medical, dental, accident, disability and life insurance benefits upon substantially the same terms and conditions (including contributions required by Executive for such benefits) as existed immediately prior to Executive's Date of Termination (or, if more favorable to Executive, as such benefits and terms and conditions existed immediately prior to the Change in Control); provided, that,

if Executive cannot continue to participate in the Company plans providing such benefits, the Company shall otherwise provide such benefits on the same after-tax basis as if continued participation had been permitted. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event Executive becomes reemployed with another employer and becomes eligible to receive welfare benefits from such employer, the welfare benefits described herein shall be secondary to such benefits during the period of Executive's eligibility, but only to the extent that the Company reimburses Executive for any increased cost and provides any additional benefits necessary to give Executive the benefits provided hereunder.

(c) Non-Qualifying Termination. If during the Termination Period the employment of Executive shall terminate other than by reason of a Qualifying Termination, then the Company shall pay to Executive within thirty (30) days following the Date of Termination, a lump-sum cash amount equal to the sum of (i) Executive's base salary through the Date of Termination and any bonus amounts which have become payable, to the extent not theretofore paid or deferred, and (ii) any compensation previously deferred by Executive other than pursuant to a tax-qualified plan (together with any interest and earnings thereon) and any accrued vacation pay, in each case to the extent not theretofore paid. The Company may make such additional payments, and provide such additional benefits, to Executive as the Company and Executive may agree in writing.

5. Certain Additional Payments by the Company

(a) Anything in this Agreement to the contrary notwithstanding, in the event it shall be determined that any payment, award, benefit or distribution (or any acceleration of any payment, award, benefit or distribution) by the Company (or any of its affiliated entities) or any entity which effectuates a Change in Control (or any of its affiliated entities) to or for the benefit of Executive (whether pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or otherwise, but determined without regard to any additional payments required under this Section 5) (the "**Payments**") would be subject to tax under the income, excise or other tax laws of the Republic of China, the People's Republic of China, the Hong Kong SAR or any other jurisdiction, as applicable (the "**Applicable Tax Laws**"), or any interest or penalties are incurred by Executive with respect to such taxes (such taxes, together with any such interest and penalties, are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "**Income Tax**"), then the Company shall pay to Executive an additional payment (a "**Gross-Up Payment**") in an amount such that after payment by Executive of all Income Taxes imposed upon the Gross-Up Payment, Executive retains an amount of the Gross-Up Payment equal to the sum of (x) the Income Tax imposed upon the Payments and (y) the product of any deductions disallowed because of the inclusion of the Gross-Up Payment in Executive's adjusted gross income and the highest applicable marginal rate of income taxation for the calendar year in which the Gross-Up Payment is to be made. For purposes of determining the amount of the Gross-Up Payment, the Executive shall be deemed to pay Income Taxes at the highest marginal rates of income taxation for the calendar year in which the Gross-Up Payment is to be made.

(b) Subject to the provisions of Section 5(a), all determinations required to be made under this Section 5, including whether and when a Gross-Up Payment is required, the amount of such Gross-Up Payment, the amount of any Option Redetermination (as

defined below) and the assumptions to be utilized in arriving at such determinations, shall be made by the public accounting firm that is retained by the Company as of the date immediately prior to the Change in Control (the “**Accounting Firm**”) which shall provide detailed supporting calculations both to the Company and Executive within fifteen (15) business days of the receipt of notice from the Company or the Executive that there has been a Payment, or such earlier time as is requested by the Company (collectively, the “**Determination**”). Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event (i) the Board shall determine prior to the Change in Control that the Accounting Firm is precluded from performing such services under applicable auditor independence rules, (ii) the Audit Committee of the Board determines that it does not want the Accounting Firm to perform such services because of auditor independence concerns or (iii) the Accounting Firm is serving as accountant or auditor for the individual, entity or group effecting the Change in Control, the Board shall appoint another internationally recognized public accounting firm to make the determinations required hereunder (which accounting firm shall then be referred to as the Accounting Firm hereunder). All fees and expenses of the Accounting Firm shall be borne solely by the Company and the Company shall enter into any agreement requested by the Accounting Firm in connection with the performance of the services hereunder. The Gross-Up Payment under this Section 5 with respect to any Payments shall be made no later than thirty (30) days following such Payment. If the Accounting Firm determines that no Income Tax is payable by Executive, it shall furnish Executive with a written opinion to such effect, and to the effect that failure to report the Income Tax, if any, on Executive’s applicable Income Tax returns will not result in the imposition of a negligence or similar penalty. The Determination by the Accounting Firm shall be binding upon the Company and Executive. As a result of the uncertainty in the application of the Applicable Tax Laws at the time of the Determination, it is possible that Gross-Up Payments which will not have been made by the Company should have been made (“**Underpayment**”) or Gross-Up Payments are made by the Company which should not have been made (“**Overpayment**”), consistent with the calculations required to be made hereunder. In the event that the Executive thereafter is required to make payment of any Income Tax or additional Income Tax, the Accounting Firm shall determine the amount of the Underpayment that has occurred and any such Underpayment (together with interest at the rate provided in the Applicable Tax Laws) shall be promptly paid by the Company to or for the benefit of Executive. In the event the amount of the Gross-Up Payment exceeds the amount necessary to reimburse the Executive for his or her Income Tax, the Accounting Firm shall determine the amount of the Overpayment that has been made and any such Overpayment (together with interest at the rate provided in the Applicable Tax Laws) shall be promptly paid by Executive (to the extent he or she has received a refund if the applicable Income Tax has been paid) to or for the benefit of the Company. Executive shall cooperate, to the extent his or her expenses are reimbursed by the Company, with any reasonable requests by the Company in connection with any contests or disputes with the relevant government agency in connection with the Income Tax.

6. Withholding Taxes. The Company may withhold from all payments due to Executive (or his beneficiary or estate) hereunder all taxes which, by applicable law, the Company is required to withhold therefrom.

7. Reimbursement of Expenses. If any contest or dispute shall arise under this Agreement involving termination of Executive's employment with the Company or involving the failure or refusal of the Company to perform fully in accordance with the terms hereof, the Company shall reimburse Executive, on a current basis, for all reasonable legal fees and expenses, if any, incurred by Executive in connection with such contest or dispute (regardless of the result thereof), together with interest in an amount equal to the average prime rate of major banks in the ROC from time to time in effect, but in no event higher than the maximum legal rate permissible under applicable law, such interest to accrue from the date the Company receives Executive's statement for such fees and expenses through the date of payment thereof, regardless of whether or not Executive's claim is upheld by a court of competent jurisdiction/arbitration panel.

8. Scope of Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to entitle Executive to continued employment with the Company or its Subsidiaries, and if Executive's employment with the Company shall terminate prior to a Change in Control, Executive shall have no further rights under this Agreement (except as otherwise provided hereunder); provided, however, that any termination of Executive's employment during the Termination Period shall be subject to all of the provisions of this Agreement.

9. Successors; Binding Agreement.

(a) This Agreement shall not be terminated by any Business Combination. In the event of any Business Combination, the provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon the Surviving Corporation, and such Surviving Corporation shall be treated as the Company hereunder.

(b) The Company agrees that in connection with any Business Combination, it will cause any successor entity to the Company unconditionally to assume (and for any Parent Corporation in such Business Combination to guarantee), by written instrument delivered to Executive (or his beneficiary or estate), all of the obligations of the Company hereunder. Failure of the Company to obtain such assumption and guarantee prior to the effectiveness of any such Business Combination that constitutes a Change in Control, shall be a breach of this Agreement and shall constitute Good Reason hereunder and shall entitle Executive to compensation and other benefits from the Company in the same amount and on the same terms as Executive would be entitled hereunder if Executive's employment were terminated following a Change in Control by reason of a Qualifying Termination. For purposes of implementing the foregoing, the date on which any such Business Combination becomes effective shall be deemed the date Good Reason occurs, and shall be the Date of Termination if requested by Executive.

(c) This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributees, devisees and legatees. If Executive shall die while any amounts would be

payable to Executive hereunder had Executive continued to live, all such amounts, unless otherwise provided herein, shall be paid in accordance with the terms of this Agreement to such person or persons appointed in writing by Executive to receive such amounts or, if no person is so appointed, to Executive's estate.

10. Notice. (a) For purposes of this Agreement, all notices and other communications required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given when delivered or five (5) days after delivery to an internationally recognized courier service with instructions and all required payment for overnight or 2nd day air delivery, addressed as follows:

If to the Executive:

Name:

Address:

If to the Company:

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
11F, No.3, Lane 91, Dongmei Road, Hsinchu
Taiwan, Republic of China

or to such other address as either party may have furnished to the other in writing in accordance herewith, except that notices of change of address shall be effective only upon receipt.

(b) A written notice of Executive's Date of Termination by the Company or Executive, as the case may be, to the other, shall (i) indicate the specific termination provision in this Agreement relied upon, (ii) to the extent applicable, set forth in reasonable detail the facts and circumstances claimed to provide a basis for termination of Executive's employment under the provision so indicated and (iii) specify the termination date (which date shall be not less than fifteen (15) (thirty (30), if termination is by the Company for Disability) nor more than sixty (60) days after the giving of such notice). The failure by Executive or the Company to set forth in such notice any fact or circumstance which contributes to a showing of Good Reason or Cause shall not waive any right of Executive or the Company hereunder or preclude Executive or the Company from asserting such fact or circumstance in enforcing Executive's or the Company's rights hereunder.

11. Full Settlement; Resolution of Disputes and Costs

(a) The Company's obligation to make any payments provided for in this Agreement and otherwise to perform its obligations hereunder shall be in lieu and in full settlement of all other severance payments to Executive under any other severance or employment agreement between Executive and the Company, and any severance plan of the Company. The Company's obligations hereunder shall not be affected by any set-off, counterclaim, recoupment, defense or other claim, right or action which the Company may have against Executive or others. In no event shall Executive be obligated to seek other employment or take other action by way of mitigation of the amounts payable to Executive under any of the provisions of this Agreement and, except as provided in Section 4(b), such amounts shall not be reduced whether or not Executive obtains other employment.

(b) Any dispute or controversy arising under or in connection with this Agreement shall be settled exclusively by arbitration under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (“ICC”). The arbitration shall be the sole and exclusive forum for resolution of the dispute, controversy or claim, and the award shall be final and binding. Judgment thereon may be entered by any court having jurisdiction. The number of arbitrators shall be three, each of whom shall be disinterested in the dispute, controversy or claim and shall be impartial and independent of any party. Each party shall appoint one arbitrator, and the two so appointed shall choose a third arbitrator. If the arbitrators chosen by the parties cannot agree on the choice of the third arbitrator within a period of 30 days after both of them have been appointed, then the third arbitrator shall be appointed by the ICC. The parties and the appointing authority may appoint from among the nationals of any country, whether or not a party is a national of that country. The place of arbitration shall be in Taipei, the Republic of China. The arbitration shall be conducted in the English language and any foreign-language documents presented at such arbitration shall be accompanied by an English translation thereof. The arbitrators shall state the reasons upon which the award is based. The content, existence and judgment of any arbitral proceeding hereunder shall be confidential. The Company shall bear all costs and expenses arising in connection with any arbitration proceeding pursuant to this Section.

12. Employment with Subsidiaries. Employment with the Company for purposes of this Agreement shall include employment with any Subsidiary.

13. Survival. The respective obligations and benefits afforded to the Company and Executive as provided in Sections 4 (to the extent that payments or benefits are owed as a result of a termination of employment that occurs during the term of this Agreement), 5 (to the extent that Payments are made to Executive as a result of a Change in Control that occurs during the term of this Agreement), 6, 7, 9(c) and 11 shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

14. GOVERNING LAW; VALIDITY. THE INTERPRETATION, CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE OF THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED AND ENFORCED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INTERNAL LAWS OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA WITHOUT REGARD TO THE PRINCIPLE OF CONFLICTS OF LAWS. THE INVALIDITY OR UNENFORCEABILITY OF ANY PROVISION OF THIS AGREEMENT SHALL NOT AFFECT THE VALIDITY OR ENFORCEABILITY OF ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS AGREEMENT, WHICH OTHER PROVISIONS SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

15. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

16. Miscellaneous. No provision of this Agreement may be modified or waived unless such modification or waiver is agreed to in writing and signed by Executive and by a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by either party hereto at any time of any breach by the other party hereto of, or compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of similar or dissimilar provisions or conditions at the same or at any prior or subsequent time. Failure by Executive or the Company to insist upon strict compliance with any provision of this Agreement or to assert any right Executive or the Company may have hereunder, including without limitation, the right of Executive to terminate employment for Good Reason, shall not be deemed to be a waiver of such provision or right or any other provision or right of this Agreement. Except as otherwise specifically provided herein, the rights of, and benefits payable to, Executive, his estate or his beneficiaries pursuant to this Agreement are in addition to any rights of, or benefits payable to, Executive, his estate or his beneficiaries under any other employee benefit plan or compensation program of the Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this Agreement to be executed by a duly authorized officer of the Company and Executive has executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.

Name:

Title:

[EXECUTIVE]

[English Translation]

Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement

Parties to this Lease Agreement:

Landlord: Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration (“Party A”)

Tenant: ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (“Party B”)

Whereas, Party B is a science park enterprise, research institution, incubator center, unit of authority or school as prescribed in Article 4, 8 or 9 of the Act for Establishment and Administration of Science Parks, the Parties agree to enter into this Lease Agreement whereby Party A shall lease to Party B a parcel of land located in the Taiwan Science Park as set forth in Article 1 of this Lease Agreement (the “Leased Land”) in accordance with the following terms and conditions:

Article 1 The Leased Land and the Rent

Location of the Leased Land					The rent per unit NT\$ (square meter/per month)	The monthly rent (NT\$)	Notes	
County	Town	Section	Sub-section	No.	The measure of area (square meter)			
Tainan	Sinshih Township	Sinke Section		55-0	2000	19.96	39920	The measure of area and the rent set forth in this Lease Agreement is only for temporary. If those as set forth herein are different from the registered measure of area, the later shall prevail, and the rent shall be recalculated accordingly. The parcel of land (No. 9) located at the north side of Tainan Science Park
Total					2000	19.96	39920	

Article 2

The term of this Lease Agreement commences from June 1, 2006 to August 31, 2017.

The term of this Lease Agreement shall not exceed 20 years.

The Parties may enter into a new agreement upon the expiration of this Lease Agreement. This Lease Agreement shall terminate if the Parties do not enter into a new agreement upon expiration hereof and Party B shall by no means assert the continuation of the lease relationship or the indefinite lease.

Article 3

As to the use of the Lease Land, Party B shall apply with Party A under the Regulations for the Zoning of the Land in Tainan Science Park and shall use this Leased Land in accordance with applicable construction laws and regulations and shall not use the Leased Land for other purposes or leave the Leased Land unused.

Article 4

Party B shall be a science park enterprise, research institution, incubator center, unit of authority or school as prescribed in Article 4, 8 or 9 of the Act for Establishment and Administration of Science Parks. This Lease Agreement shall be null and void if Party B does not accord with the foregoing qualifications.

Article 5

Party B shall neither sublease or lend to others all or part of the Leased Land, nor change the intended use of the Leased Land or employ the Leased Land for any illegal use.

Article 6

From the effective date of this Lease Agreement, Party B shall, in accordance with the procedures of making rent payments as set forth by Party A, pay the monthly rent calculated pursuant to Article 1 as well as the associated business tax to Party A.

In case Party B starts using the Leased Land with Party A's permission before the execution of this Lease Agreement, the rent shall be paid starting from the date of the actual use of the Lease Land by Party B.

Article 7

Party A may adjust the rent of the Leased Land from time to time in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations.

If the government assessed land price or the rent ratio of the national land approved by the Executive Yuan of the Leased Land has to be adjusted, or based on other reasons the rent of the Leased Land has to be adjusted, the rent of the Leased Land shall adjust accordingly starting from the following month. Party B shall make up the rent deficits or be refunded of the rent surplus for backward payments.

Article 8

In the event that Party B fails to pay the rent pursuant to the schedule as set forth herein, Party B shall pay the punitive penalties in accordance with the following:

- (1) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period less than one month, the penalty shall be equivalent to two percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (2) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of one month or more but less than two months, the penalty shall be equivalent to five percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (3) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of two months or more but less than three months, the penalty shall be equivalent to ten percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (4) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of three months or more, the penalty shall be equivalent to fifteen percent of the total amount of rent payable.

Article 9

Party B shall, before constructing the building, based on the nature of its business and chemical materials to be used, take necessary preventive measures against the possible environmental hazards (e.g., malodor, noise, radiation, pollution, dazzle, convulsion) which may be caused thereby, and provide Party A with the inspection data regarding the pollution of soil and groundwater of the Lease Land. Party B shall abide by the foregoing obligations before the contemplated cease or suspension of its business. Party B shall also comply with the Waste Disposal Act, Air Pollution Control Act, Water Pollution Control Act, Soil and Groundwater Pollution Remediation Act, and other related laws and acts regarding pollution controlling matters, and shall be responsible for the maintenance of the hygiene of the Leased Land.

Party B shall be responsible for the maintenance of the sanitation of the building located on the Leased Land and that of the adjacent areas.

Article 10

In case Party B wants to use the groundwater, Party B shall file an application with Party A, and Party A shall forward such application to the competent authority for its further handling in accordance with the Water-Conservancy Act.

Article 11

Party B shall, in accordance with the construction plan submitted to Party A when applying the allocation of the Leased Land, complete the construction of the building in one single project, unless otherwise approved by Party A in advance based on justifiable reasons.

Party B shall construct the building based on the time frame provided in Party B's construction plan approved by Party A and obtain the usage license. In the event that Party B is unable to follow the scheduled time frame to complete the construction and obtain the usage license under justifiable reasons, Party B may in advance file an application with Party A for the extension. However, such extension shall be limited to a one-year period.

Article 12

Party B shall, within three months after the execution date of this Lease Agreement, file the construction license application in accordance with the Building Code and relevant laws and regulations as well as Party B's construction plan submitted when applying for the allocation of the Leased Land.

Article 13

For the purpose of virescence or the use of pedestrian sidewalk, Party B shall construct the building in a manner that it will have at least ten meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk when the Leased Land is adjacent to a road of the width of thirty meters or more; if the Lease Land is adjacent to a road of the width of twenty meters or more, the building shall be constructed in a manner that it will have at least eight meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk; if the Lease Land is adjacent to a road of the width of ten meters or more, the building shall be constructed in a manner that it will have at least six meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk; if there is no road adjacent to the back and lateral sides of the building, the building shall have at least four meters setback from the boundaries of the Lease Land.

Article 14

Party B shall adopt fire-proof materials and structures on the building constructed on the Lease Land and shall comply with the Architecture Technique Regulations in building air-raid facilities, and shall follow Party A's instruction on the direction of the drive ways of the construction base. Prior to the digging, Party B shall depict any and all route maps of the underground pipelines and attach such information when applying for the commencement of the construction.

Article 15

In case Party B, for the purpose of constructing the building on the Lease Land, has to dig the road, drainage pipelines and other public facilities within the Science Park area, Party B shall apply with Party A in advance and deposit a bond; after the completion of the construction, Party B is obligated to restore the place. In case Party B delays in restoration, Party A may confiscate the bond.

In case Party B needs to modify or construct additional public facilities, on or under the ground of the Leased Land, Party B must apply for an admission in advance and Party A shall have full discretion to manage such construction and all the expenses resulting therefrom shall be borne by Party B.

Article 16

Party B shall, by using the diligence and care of a bona fide administrator, maintain any and all public facilities on or under the ground of the Lease Land and shall be responsible for restoration and damages in case of destroy.

Article 17

The building constructed by Party B on the Lease Land shall be limited to the need of Party B's own business use and shall only be used in accordance with the purpose as approved. Such building shall only be used by Party B and Party B has no right to sublease or provide for other enterprises' use.

Article 18

In case Party B intends to sublease, lend or transfer the building constructed by Party B on the Leased Land to any other person, Party B shall apply with Party A for an approval. The sublease, borrower or the transferee is limited to one of those enterprises incorporated under the approval of the Science Park Administration.

The rent or transfer price of the sublease or transfer as provided for in the preceding paragraph shall be approved by Party A. In addition, in the case of transfer, Party A is entitled to the preferential right to purchase the building on the same terms as are offered to any other person in accordance with Article 104 of the Land Act.

Article 19

In case of any of the following, Party A may at any time notify Party B to terminate this Lease Agreement and reclaim the Lease Land without any refund of the rents already paid by Party B:

- (1) Party B is deprived of the qualification to either conduct business or provide service in the Science Park, or is ordered by Party A to evict the Science Park according to the applicable laws or regulations;
- (2) In the event that Party B breaches Article 3, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15 or 16 of this Lease Agreement, and fails to cure such breach within a certain time period specified in the written notice given by Party A;
- (3) The failure by Party B to pay the rent on time, and the delay has exceeded two (2) years;
- (4) Party B fails to submit the application of a construction license within three (3) months after the execution of this Lease Agreement, and after the notification of Party A requesting Party B to file the application within a certain time period, Party B still fails to apply such license or the application filed by Party B does not comply with the laws, and such failure is not cured within a certain time period specified by Party A; or
- (5) The failure by Party B to comply with Article 17 or 18 of this Lease Agreement.

During the term of this Lease Agreement, in case Party B plans not to use the Leased Land, Party B may early terminate this Lease Agreement by written notice to Party A; the termination shall take effect after receipt of the written notice by Party A; provided that the termination shall take effect after the clean up and restoration of the Lease Land if there is any building or fixture which should be torn down and moved, or any objects left on the Leased Land or there is any contaminated objects. Party B shall continuously pay the rents to Party A during the restoring period.

Article 20

In case there is any construction on the Leased Land or there is any change of the terrain features and this Lease Agreement has been confirmed as void or has been terminated, Party B is obligated to restore the Leased Land. However, if the construction or the changes of the terrain features does not impede other person's use, Party B shall be exempted from the restoration obligations after obtaining Party A's consent.

Within six (6) months after the expiration or termination of this Lease Agreement, Party B shall transfer the building on the Lease Land in accordance with Article 18 of this Lease Agreement. Before the completion of such transfer, Party B shall pay to Party A an indemnification equals to the amount of the agreed rent on a monthly basis.

Party B will be held liable to the damages of Party A caused by the failure of Party B to transfer the building on time. In addition, Party A will be entitled to expropriate with compensation such building in accordance with the "Regulation for Expropriation of Science Park's Factory and Its Related Fixtures," and Party B shall not object to such expropriation.

Article 21

This Lease Agreement shall become effective upon the execution by the Parties; provided, however, that if Party B starts using the Leased Land before the execution of this Lease Agreement, this Lease Agreement shall become effective retroactively from the date on which Party A agrees Party B's use of the Leased Land.

Article 22

This Lease Agreement shall be governed by the law of the Republic of China and the Parties agree that the Taiwan Tainan District Court shall have the jurisdiction in the first instance for any dispute arises under this Lease Agreement.

Article 23

This Lease Agreement shall be executed in two counterparts, with each Party holding one counterpart as evidence.

By Southern Taiwan Science Park Administration

/s/ Chen Chun Wei

Representative: Chen Chun Wei

Address: No. 22, Nanke 3rd Rd., Sinshih Township, Tainan County

By ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Representative: Shih-Jye Cheng

Address: No.1. R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

Joint guarantor: Shih-Jye Cheng

ID No. of the joint guarantor: J100769307

Address: No.1. R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

Date: June 1, 2007



CONFIDENTIAL

SECOND AMENDMENT TO ASSEMBLY AND TEST SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT is made effective the 16th day of July, 2007 (the "Effective Date") by and between **SPANSION LLC**, having its principal place of business at 915 DeGuigne Drive, Sunnyvale, California, 94088-3453 (hereinafter referred to as "Spansion"), and **ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, having its principal place of business at No. 1 R&D Rd.1, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. (hereinafter referred to as "ChipMOS").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Spansion and ChipMOS wish to modify the Assembly and Test Services Agreement dated September 15, 2005, between the parties hereto, and as amended (hereinafter the "Agreement"), in order to effect a change in the terms of the Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual covenants of the parties, it is agreed that the Agreement shall be modified as follows:

1. Second Amendment Project Name: ChipMOS Wafer Sorting Agreement (for the Test Cell # 151-175)
Second Amendment Start Date: July 16th, 2007
2. The following shall be added as "Task" (e) to Section 5 of the First Statement of Work of Appendix 2 of the Agreement: (e) Supplier shall provide an additional twenty-five (25) wafer sort Test Cells (Verigy V5400 and Accretech UF300) ("Test Cells") beyond the one-hundred fifty (150) currently installed.
3. The parties agree that except as amended and modified in the manner specified above, all remaining provisions of the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect, except as follows:
 - a) The Project Completion Date ("Expiration Date") for the additional 25 Test Cells shall be on the fifth anniversary of the date of qualification by Spansion for each individual Test Cell.
 - b) The price (wafer sort hourly rate) is per ChipMOS Quotation No. JRCP200706001 based on the Spansion and ChipMOS MOU dated March 3, 2007.
 - c) Spansion has the option to buy back part or all of the additional 25 Test Cells during the course of this Amendment for twelve (12) months after the qualification. Spansion shall run the Test Cells at ChipMOS' location for a minimum of twelve (12) months and shall give ChipMOS three (3) months advanced notice for the exercise of the buy-back option. The buy-back option price will be as follows:



CONFIDENTIAL

Buy back time	Buy back price (% of Original Price)
Before the end of 12 months	Not Applicable
At the end of 12 month	80%
At the end of 24 month	60%
At the end of 36 month	40%
At the end of 48 month	30%
At the end of 60 month	20%

If the buy-back option occurs between the above-mentioned time intervals, the buy-back option price will be linearly proportional to the price between the two intervals. For example, the buy-back option price at the end of eighteen (18) months will be 70% of the original purchase price.

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT has been signed by the duly-authorized representatives of the parties (or their designees) in two identical copies of which each party has taken one.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

SPANSION LLC

Authorized Signature

Authorized Signature

S.J. Cheng
Name

Doug Duval
Name

Chairman/C.E.O.
Title

VP, Global Supply Management
Title

Date

7/18/07
Date

[English Translation]

Service Agreement for Integrated Circuit Products

Parties to this Service Agreement:

ProMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (“Party A”)

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (“Party B”)

WHEREAS, Party B is a corporation with expertise in packaging, testing and backend services of integrated circuit products, and Party B intends to provide services to Party A and establish long-term cooperation relationship with Party A. Party A also intends to engage Party B to provide such services.

NOW, THEREFORE, upon mutual negotiation, the Parties agree to the following terms and conditions:

1. Mutual Consent

Party A hereby agrees to engage Party B, and Party B hereby agrees to accept such engagement, to provide dicing service on wafers delivered by Party A into individual integrated circuits, and provide packaging and testing services thereto (“Services”), in accordance with the terms and conditions hereof.

2. Specification of Processing

The processing method, specification and quality control rule for respective Services shall be decided in accordance with the bill of materials, guidance on the incoming memory products and manufacturing procedure of memory products as provided by Party A from time to time and verified by Party B during the term of this Agreement. However, base on a case-by-case demand, the Parties may from time to time amend or revise the foregoing upon mutual consents.

3. Notification of Forecast

Party A shall, during the last ten days of each month, provide Party B with a rolling forecast setting forth the serial number and quantity of Services intended to be processed by Party B during the following six (6) months. The actual quantity for the Services shall depend on the processing orders issued by Party A and confirmed by Party B.

4. Processing

Party A shall notify Party B through processing orders the type, serial number, quantity, and delivery date of the Service to be provided by Party B. Upon Party B’s confirmation of the processing order, Party B shall complete the Services pursuant to following:

- (1) Party B shall process the Services into finished products (“Finished Products”) with the agreed specifications prescribed in the processing order and given by Party A.
- (2) Party B shall complete the processing of the Services in accordance with the specifications for processing as stipulated in the agreed quality control standard.
- (3) Party B shall be responsible for the parts required in the course of processing unless otherwise agreed by the Parties in the quotation.
- (4) Party A shall furnish Party B with the information necessary for Party B to perform the Services before the engagement.

-
- (5) Party B may request Party A to provide other necessary data related to the processing of the Service before respective Service is delivered to Party B.

5. Capacity Reservation / Processing Quantity

- (1) The Parties agree that Party B shall, according to Party A's production capacity demand, reserve certain production capacity ("Capacity Reservation") each month to secure the Services to be provided to Party A ("Processing Quantity"). Party B's Capacity Reservation and the Processing Quantity as agreed by Party A are set forth in Exhibit 1. In the event Party B fails in any month to produce the quantity for respective products as engaged by Party A in accordance with the Capacity Reservation, Party B shall compensate Party A for business loss incurred from the late delivery or the additional costs incurred from the subcontract. If the quantity of Services engaged by Party A falls below the Processing Quantity, Party A agrees to compensate Party B for the deficit calculated pursuant to the cost of machine per hour as agreed by the Parties on every quarter (i.e. the minimum Processing Quantity per month minus the quantity actually ordered for a specific month); provided however, the production capacity/amount which Party B obtains from reselling to its customers shall be deducted from the aforesaid compensation.
- (2) If Party A needs to increase the quantity of Services in excess of the forecast due to market demand, Part A shall make a request two (2) months prior to such demand and Party B shall confirm in writing, within two (2) weeks, regarding Party A's upside production capacity planning. If Party B agrees to increase the production capacity, Party B shall comply with Section 5 (1).
- (3) The Parties shall, in a manner of amicability and good faith, in every December from 2007 to 2012, renegotiate the quantity for the Service and the production capacity as listed in Exhibit 1 for the subsequent year. Before reaching consensus on the quantity and production capacity, Section 5 (1) remains applicable. However, neither Party shall unreasonably reject to reach the consensus without a justifiable reason.

6. Delivery of Finished Products

- (1) Party B shall complete the Services by the delivery date as stipulated in the processing order and deliver the Finished Products to Party A. Party B shall bear the relevant expenses of transportation. However, if the delivery place is located outside the Hsinchu Science Park, the Parties shall negotiate the allocation of the relevant delivery expense. If Party A designates a delivery place which is located within the Hsinchu Science Park, then Party B shall be responsible for handling the administrative applications and procedures, provided that Party A shall assist Party B to file the relevant applications.
- (2) Party B shall adequately pack the Finished Products into boxes and warrant the quality and quantity of the Finished Products therein. Seals shall be attached onto the outer of the boxes and the QC seal is required to be affixed. Upon the delivery of the Finished Products to the place designated by Party A, the receivers of Party A will inspect only the quantity of the Finished Products in the odd boxes and will not open the integral boxes for inspection. However, Party A shall inspect the correctness of the information labeled onto the boxes and inspect the completeness of the seal so as to identify each Party's responsibility.
- (3) Party B shall be liable for any shortage of quantity in the integral boxes where Party B's seals have been attached thereto.

7. Acceptance of Finished Products

- (1) Whether the quality of the Finished Products meets the qualification shall be subject to the quality control rules and acceptance criteria as agreed by the Parties. Any change to the rules and acceptance criteria is subject to the Parties' mutual consent.
- (2) Party B shall not be held responsible for the defect of Finished Product which is incurred from the service of Party A or from the information or instructions given by Party A. Party A shall pay to Party B the processing fees for processing the Finished Products.
- (3) For any other defects of Finished Product which has been rejected by Party A, Party A may request Party B to repair the Finished Product at Party B's sole expense.
- (4) In the event that Party A requests Party B to repair the Finished Products rejected by Party A, Party B shall take back the Finished Products within one (1) working day upon receipt of the repair notice given by Party A. Party B shall complete the repair and deliver for Party A's re-inspection within the period as agreed by the Parties, but in no event shall such period exceed fourteen (14) calendar days.
- (5) With respect to any Finished Products rejected by Party A, Party A shall not be held responsible for any processing fee or material cost in the event Party B fails, refuses or is unable to repair, or the Finished Product repaired by Party B is rejected again by Party A.
- (6) This Section shall not exclude Party A from exercising any right granted by Hire for Work under the Civil Code.

8. Yield Rate of Finished Products

- (1) Party B shall process the Service in accordance with the yield rate control measures provided by Party A.
- (2) In the event that Party B fails to comply with the yield rate control measures or causes any losses arising from low yield rates, whether arising out of the willful misconduct or negligence, Party A shall be entitled to claim damages for the cost of the destructive part of the Service.
- (3) The terms and conditions for damage claims are set forth in Exhibit 2.

9. Expense

- (1) In consideration of the mutual long-term cooperation, the prices of Services shall be agreed by the Parties quarterly.
- (2) In the event where the price, exchange rate or market situation fluctuates significantly, the processing fees can be adjusted subject to the Parties' mutual written consent.

10. Payment

- (1) After Party A confirms the correctness of the quantity and dollar amount of the Finished Products processed by Party B and accepted by Party A, Party B shall issue an uniform invoice for processing fees to Party A for its payment.
- (2) Condition for payment: Party B shall, prior to the 25th day of each month, deliver the invoice for Services payment to Party A. Party A shall, within seventy five (75) days commencing from the last day of the current month, effect the Services payment to Party B by issuing a negotiable instrument or by way of wire transfer.

11. Delivery and Risk Transfer

- (1) Party B shall be responsible for the delivery of the Services from Party A's premises to Party B's processing factory. Party B shall sign off the receipt of products at Party A's premises. Party A shall handle the customs declaration at Party A's expenses.
- (2) Party B shall be responsible for the delivery of the Finished Products to Party A's premises and Party A shall sign off upon receipt of the Finished Products. Party B shall handle the customs declaration matters at Party B's expenses.
- (3) If Party A requests Party B to repair the Finished Products rejected by Party A, then Party B shall bear the transportation expenses both from and to Party A's premises.
- (4) The risk of loss of wafer or semi-finished IC products shall be borne by Party B after the sign off of receipt by Party B's staff and the risk of loss of Finished Products shall be borne by Party B before Party A's acceptance. Party B shall procure and maintain adequate property insurance as confirmed by Party A, so as to cover the aforesaid risk.

12. Title and Safekeeping Obligation of Party B

Party A remains the ownership of the Services, Finished Products, semi-finished products and non-conforming products. Party B shall safekeep the same by using the degree of care of a bona fide administrator before delivery to Party A. Party B shall be responsible for returning the non-conforming products (including in the form of Service, Finished Product and semi-finished product) to Party A. Party B shall be liable to Party A for the damage and loss of the Services, Finished Products, semi-finished products and non-conforming products which is attributable to Party B. However, Party B shall not be held liable where damage or loss of the Services, Finished Products, semi-finished products and non-conforming products are attributable to wars, Acts of God and other force majeure events.

13. Duty of Confidentiality

- (1) Each Party shall, by using the degree of care of a bona fide administrator, safeguard the technical, financial or other non-public information acquired from the other Party ("Confidential Information"). Without the other Party's prior written consent, either Party shall not copy, deliver to, disclose to or by any other method allows any third party to know, make available to know, or to make use of, any data, documents, or materials containing Confidential Information known or available to it through this Agreement, or use by itself the Confidential Information for any purpose other than that of this Agreement. If the receiving Party is required to disclose any Confidential Information as a result of a statutory requirement or judicial order, the receiving Party may disclose the Confidential Information to the extent necessary; provided that the receiving Party shall notify the other Party before such disclosure.
- (2) Upon request of the disclosing Party, the receiving Party shall promptly return or deliver any and all data, documents or objects containing Confidential Information, or destroy the aforesaid data, documents or objects in accordance with the instructions of the disclosing Party.
- (3) The Parties shall provide the Confidential Information to its directors, officers, employees or other cooperative enterprises only to the extent necessary for the purpose of this Agreement and request such persons or entities to strictly adhere to the confidential obligations set forth herein.

-
- (4) Confidential Information shall not include the information as listed below: public information (excluding any information which becomes public as a result of breach of confidentiality obligation hereof); information which the receiving Party legally obtains from a third party and is not subject to an obligation of confidentiality; information which the receiving Party or its employees independently develop; information which the receiving Party knows prior to its receipt of the Confidential Information.

14. Intellectual Property Rights

Party A warrants that the Service does not infringe any intellectual property rights of a third party. Party A, other than Party B, shall be responsible for any violation of the foregoing warranty which involves a legal dispute. Party B warrants that the materials and the processing of the Services do not infringe any rights of a third Party. In the event that any third Party asserts a claim against Party A for the materials and processing Services provided by Party B, Party B shall be responsible for resolving such dispute and compensate Party A for the loss incurred therefrom, and Party A shall not be held liable to such third party.

15. Supervision of Processing

Party A may from time to time dispatch representatives to inspect Party B's works at the premises where the processing Service is performed. In addition to providing necessary assistance to Party A's representatives, Party B shall comply with the guidance given by Party A's representatives.

16. Termination

- (1) Unless otherwise provided herein, in the event that either Party breaches or fails to perform any provision hereof, the other Party may notify such breaching Party to cure the breach within three (3) days. Such notifying Party may elect to terminate this Agreement by giving the breaching Party written notice if such breach has not been cured within the specified period.
- (2) Upon termination or rescission of this Agreement, the non-breaching Party may, in addition to exercising its rights under this Agreement and the related laws, claim damages against the other Party for any damage incurred from the termination or rescission of this Agreement. However, the foregoing shall not apply in the event that this Agreement is terminated due to expiration or the Parties' mutual consent by.
- (3) Within three (3) weeks after termination or rescission of this Agreement, Party B shall, at its sole expenses, return to Party A any and all data, documents and objects of Party A or destroy the same in accordance with Party A's instruction.

17. Effect of Breach

In the event that either Party breaches or fails to perform any provision hereof or in the event of any occurrence of liability of such Party, the other Party may claim damages against the breaching Party for any damage incurred from the breach or damages attributable to such Party.

18. Term

- (1) The term of this Agreement is from July 1, 2007 to December 31, 2012.
- (2) Any matter not provided for in this Agreement shall be governed by the related laws. If the law is silent on such matter, then the Parties shall resolve such matter in accordance with the principle of good faith.

-
- (3) Upon this Agreement becomes effective, the Service Agreement for Integrated Circuit Products signed on April 1, 2005 shall become null and void automatically.

19. Arbitration

The Parties agree that any disputes or controversies arising out of, relating to or in connection with this Agreement which cannot be resolved through mutual consultations shall be settled by arbitration referred to the Arbitration Association of the Republic of China in accordance with the Arbitration Law of the Republic of China and the Arbitration Rules of Arbitration Association of the Republic of China. The place of arbitration shall be in Taipei. The award rendered by the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties.

20. Miscellaneous

- (1) Upon this Agreement becomes effective, the Parties may, from time to time, amend this Agreement by mutual written consent.
- (2) Party A shall be responsible for handling relevant administrative matters as stipulated in regulations related to the Science Park. Party B shall provide all necessary assistance.
- (3) Any matter not provided for in this Agreement shall be governed by the related laws. If the law is silent on such matter, then the Parties shall resolve such matter in accordance with the principle of good faith.

This Agreement shall be executed in two (2) counterparts. Each party shall hold one executed copy as evidence.

ProMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

(Seal)

/s/ M. L. Chen

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Representative: M. L. Chen

Representative: Shih-Jye Cheng

Address: No. 19, Li Hsin Road, Science Park, HsinChu

Address: No.1. R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

July 17, 2007



茂德科技股份有限公司
ProMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Execution Copy

REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

among

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA) LTD.

PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.

and

GIANT HAVEN INVESTMENTS LIMITED

August 8, 2007



Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1. Definitions	1
2. General; Securities Subject to this Agreement	4
(a) Grant of Rights	4
(b) Registrable Securities	4
3. Demand Registration	5
(a) Request for Demand Registration	5
(b) Demand Registration Procedures	5
(c) Expenses	6
(d) Selection of Underwriters	6
4. Incidental or “Piggy-Back” Registration	6
(a) Request for Incidental Registration	6
(b) Expenses	7
5. Form F-3 Registration	7
(a) Request for a Form F-3 Registration	7
(b) Form F-3 Underwriting Procedures	8
(c) Request for a Shelf Registration	8
(d) Company’s Election to File a Shelf Registration	9
(e) Limitations on Form F-3 Registrations	9
(f) Expenses	10
(g) Demand Registration	10
6. Restrictions on Public Sale by the Designated Holder	10
7. Registration Procedures	10
(a) Obligations of the Company	10
(b) Seller Information	14
(c) Notice to Discontinue	14
(d) Non-Discrimination	14
(e) Rule 144	14
8. Indemnification and Contribution	15
(a) Indemnification by the Company	15
(b) Indemnification by Designated Holder	16
(c) Indemnification Procedures	16
(d) Contribution	17
(e) Survival	17
9. Information Rights; Confidentiality	18
(a) Information Rights	18
(b) Confidentiality	18
10. Miscellaneous	19
(a) Governing Law	19
(b) Arbitration	19
(c) Submission to Jurisdiction	19



(d)	Entire Agreement	19
(e)	Amendments and Waivers	20
(f)	Severability	20
(g)	Specific Performance	20
(h)	Notices	20
(i)	Successors and Assigns; Third-Party Beneficiaries	21
(j)	Further Assurances	22
(k)	Other Agreements	22
(l)	Headings	22
(m)	Counterparts	22



REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT, dated as of August 7, 2007 (this “Agreement”), among ChipMOS Technologies (Bermuda) Ltd., an exempted company under the laws of Bermuda (the “Company”), ProMOS Technologies Inc., a company incorporated under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China (“ProMOS”), Powertech Technology Inc., a company incorporated under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China (“Powertech”), and Giant Haven Investments Limited, a company incorporated under the laws of the British Virgin Islands (“Giant Haven”). ProMOS, Powertech and Giant Haven, are collectively referred to herein as the “Investors”, and each as an “Investor”.

WHEREAS, ProMOS, Powertech and Giant Haven are parties to the share purchase agreements, dated as of July 27, 2007 (the “Share Purchase Agreements”), pursuant to which Giant Haven has agreed to sell to ProMOS and Powertech, and ProMOS and Powertech have agreed to purchase from Giant Haven an aggregate of 8,121,266 Common Shares of the Company (the “Company Shares”), held by Giant Haven under terms and conditions set forth in the Share Purchase Agreements (the “Transactions”).

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Definitions.

As used in this Agreement the following terms have the meanings indicated:

“Affiliate Transferee” means any majority-owned or wholly-owned subsidiary of an Investor.

“Agreement” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Approved Underwriter” has the meaning set forth in Section 3(d) of this Agreement.

“Board of Directors” means the board of directors of the Company.

“Business Day” means a day other than a Saturday, Sunday or other day on which commercial banks in New York City or Taiwan are authorized or required by law to close.

“Company” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Company Shares” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Common Shares” means common shares of the Company, par value US\$0.01 per share.



“Company Underwriter” has the meaning set forth in Section 4(a) of this Agreement.

“Confidential Information” has the meaning set forth in Section 9(b) of this Agreement.

“Damages” has the meaning set forth in Section 8(a) of this Agreement.

“Demand Registration” has the meaning set forth in Section 3(a) of this Agreement.

“Designated Holder” means each of the Investors and any permitted transferee to whom Registrable Securities have been Transferred in accordance with the provisions of Section 10(i) of this Agreement.

“Exchange Act” means the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

“F-3 Registration” has the meaning set forth in Section 5(a) of this Agreement.

“Giant Haven” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Holder’s Counsel” has the meaning set forth in Section 7(a)(i) of this Agreement.

“ICC” has the meaning set forth in Section 10(b) of this Agreement.

“Incidental Registration” has the meaning set forth in Section 4(a) of this Agreement.

“Indemnified Party” has the meaning set forth in Section 8(c) of this Agreement.

“Indemnifying Party” has the meaning set forth in Section 8(c) of this Agreement.

“Inspector” has the meaning set forth in Section 7(a)(vii) of this Agreement.

“Investor” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“NASD” has the meaning set forth in Section 7(a)(xi) of this Agreement.

“Other Holders” means any other Person who (i) acquired or will acquire the Common Shares of the Company, and (ii) entered into or will enter into any registration rights agreements with the Company.

“Other Registrable Securities” means such shares of Common Shares held by Other Holders that may be registrable in accordance with the terms and conditions of the applicable registration rights agreements between the Company and such Other Holders.



“Person” means any individual, firm, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, trust, incorporated or unincorporated association, joint venture, joint stock company, limited liability company, government (or an agency or political subdivision thereof) or other entity of any kind, and shall include any successor (by merger or otherwise) of such entity.

“Powertech” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“ProMOS” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Records” has the meaning set forth in Section 7(a)(vii) of this Agreement.

“Registrable Securities” means each of the following: (a) any and all shares of Common Shares that will continue to be owned and held by Giant Haven after the closing of the Transactions, (b) any and all Common Shares acquired by an Investor from Giant Haven pursuant to the Share Purchase Agreements, and (c) any and all shares of Common Shares issued or issuable to a Designated Holder with respect to the Registrable Securities by way of stock dividend or stock split or in connection with a combination of shares, recapitalization, merger, consolidation or other reorganization or otherwise and any Common Shares or voting common shares issuable upon conversion, exercise or exchange thereof, excluding in all cases, however any of the foregoing sold by a Person in a transaction other than pursuant to Section 10(i). Registrable Securities shall cease to be Registrable Securities in accordance with Section 2(b).

“Registration Expenses” shall mean all expenses arising from or incident to the Company’s performance of, or compliance with, this Agreement, including, without limitation, (i) SEC, stock exchange and NASD registration and filing fees, (ii) all fees and expenses incurred in complying with securities or “blue sky” laws (including reasonable fees, charges and disbursements of counsel to any underwriter incurred in connection with “blue sky” qualifications of the Registrable Securities), (iii) all printing, messenger and delivery expenses, (iv) the fees, charges and disbursements of counsel to the Company and of its independent public accountants and any other accounting fees, charges and expenses incurred by the Company (including, without limitation, any expenses arising from any “cold comfort” letters or any special audits incident to or required by any registration or qualification) and any legal fees, charges and expenses incurred by the Company, (v) cost of distributing prospectuses in preliminary and final form as well as any supplements thereto and (vi) any liability insurance or other premiums for insurance for the benefit of the Company or its directors and officers obtained in connection with any Demand Registration or piggy-back registration thereon, Incidental Registration or F-3 Registration pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, regardless of whether such Registration Statement is declared effective. “Registration Expenses” shall not include the expense of any broker’s commission or underwriter’s discount or commission or the fees, charges and disbursements of counsel to the Designated Holder and its other advisors solely relating to registration and sale of such Designated Holder’s Registrable Securities.

“Registration Statement” means a registration statement filed pursuant to the Securities Act.



“Rule 144” means Rule 144 under the Securities Act, as such rule may be amended from time to time.

“SEC” means the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or any similar agency then having jurisdiction to enforce the Securities Act.

“Securities Act” means the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

“Share Purchase Agreements” has the meaning set forth in the preamble to this Agreement.

“Shelf Registration” means a registration of a delayed or continuous offering of Registrable Securities under the Securities Act pursuant to Rule 415 thereunder or any successor provision.

“Specified Court” has the meaning set forth in Section 10(c) of this Agreement.

“Transactions” has the meaning set forth in the preamble of this Agreement.

“Transfer” shall mean, with respect to any Company Shares or Registrable Securities, (i) when used as a verb, to sell, assign, dispose of, exchange, pledge, encumber, hypothecate or otherwise transfer such Company Shares or Registrable Securities, whether directly or indirectly, or agree or commit to do any of the foregoing and (ii) when used as a noun, a direct or indirect sale, assignment, disposition, exchange, pledge, encumbrance, hypothecation or transfer of such Company Shares or Registrable Securities or any agreement or commitment to do any of the foregoing.

2. General; Securities Subject to this Agreement.

(a) Grant of Rights.

The Company hereby grants registration rights to a Designated Holder upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement.

(b) Registrable Securities.

For the purposes of this Agreement, Registrable Securities will cease to be Registrable Securities when (i) a Registration Statement covering such Registrable Securities has been declared effective under the Securities Act by the SEC and such Registrable Securities have been disposed of pursuant to such effective Registration Statement, (ii) such Registrable Securities shall have been sold pursuant to Rule 144, (iii) the entire amount of Registrable Securities owned by a Designated Holder, in the opinion of counsel to the Company, are eligible for sale pursuant to paragraph (k) of Rule 144, or any successor provision then in effect, or in a single transaction pursuant to paragraph (e) of Rule 144, or any successor provision then in effect, under the Securities Act or (iv) the



Registrable Securities are Transferred by a Person who is not permitted to receive the Transfer of registration rights pursuant to, or as otherwise provided in, Section 10(i) of this Agreement.

3. Demand Registration.

(a) Request for Demand Registration.

Any Designated Holder may, at any time, make a written request to the Company to register, under the Securities Act on any form for which the Company then qualifies and deems appropriate (a “Demand Registration”), the number of Registrable Securities stated in such request; provided, however, that the Company shall not be obligated to effect (i) any Demand Registration for less than an aggregate of US\$10,000,000 in Registrable Securities, (ii) more than two (2) Demand Registrations (including any F-3 Registrations pursuant to Section 5 hereof) during any calendar year for any Designated Holder or (iii) in any case, more than four (4) Demand Registrations (including any F-3 Registrations pursuant to Section 5 hereof) in total under this Agreement, provided, further, that any Designated Holder shall not be entitled to request any Demand Registration after the fourth anniversary of the date hereof. For purposes of the preceding sentence, two or more Registration Statements filed in response to one demand shall be counted as one Registration Statement. If at the time of any request to register Registrable Securities pursuant to this Section 3(a), the Board of Directors makes a good faith determination that the filing of such Registration Statement would adversely affect the Company and its shareholders, as a whole, in any material respect, then the Company may at its option direct that such request be delayed for a reasonable period not in excess of 90 days from the date of receipt of the Demand Registration; provided, however, that the Company shall not exercise such right to delay a request more than two times or for more than a total of 90 days during any period of 365 days. In addition, the Company shall not be required to effect any registration within 180 days after the effective date of any other Registration Statement of the Company. Each request for a Demand Registration by the Designated Holder shall state the amount of the Registrable Securities proposed to be sold and the intended method of disposition thereof. Upon a request for a Demand Registration, the Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to promptly take such steps as are necessary or appropriate to prepare for the registration of the Registrable Securities to be registered.

(b) Demand Registration Procedures.

The Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause any such Demand Registration to become and remain effective not later than 90 days after it receives a request for Demand Registration under Section 3(a). After receiving a Demand Request under Section 3 (a), the Company shall follow the procedures in Section 7. A registration shall not constitute a Demand Registration until it has become effective and remains continuously effective for the lesser of (i) the period during which all Registrable Securities registered in the Demand Registration are sold and (ii) 90 days; provided, however, that a registration shall not constitute a Demand Registration if (x) after such Demand Registration has become effective, such registration or the related offer, sale or distribution of Registrable Securities thereunder is interfered with by any stop order, injunction or other order or requirement



of the SEC or other governmental agency or court for any reason not attributable to the Designated Holder and such interference is not thereafter eliminated or (y) the reasonable and customary conditions specified in the underwriting agreement, if any, entered into in connection with such Demand Registration are not satisfied or waived, other than by reason of a failure by the Designated Holder or the underwriter(s).

(c) Expenses.

If any registration is initiated by a Designated Holder as a Demand Registration, Giant Haven shall pay all Registration Expenses in connection therewith, whether or not such Demand Registration becomes effective, provided, however, that the Designated Holder requesting such a Demand Registration shall pay (i) all discounts or underwriter commissions attributable to any Registrable Securities sold by it and (ii) all of its own out-of-pocket expenses, including all legal fees of the counsel to such requesting Designated Holder in connection with any such registration.

(d) Selection of Underwriters.

(i) If a written request for a Demand Registration is made and the Designated Holder indicates that the applicable Registrable Securities are to be sold pursuant to an underwritten offering, then the Designated Holder shall select the managing underwriter(s) to administer such offering subject to the written consent of the Company, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

(ii) If any F-3 Registration or takedown in connection with a Shelf Registration, as the case may be, of Registrable Securities is in the form of an underwritten offering, the Company shall select an internationally reputable investment banking firm to act as the managing underwriter of the offering (the “Approved Underwriter”).

4. Incidental or “Piggy-Back” Registration.

(a) Request for Incidental Registration.

If, at any time, the Company proposes to file a Registration Statement under the Securities Act with respect to an offering by the Company for its own account (other than a Registration Statement on Form F-4 or S-8 or any successor thereto), then the Company shall give written notice of such proposed filing to each Designated Holder, at least fifteen (15) Business Days before the anticipated filing date, and such notice shall describe the proposed registration and distribution and offer such Designated Holder the opportunity to register the number of Registrable Securities as such holder may request (an “Incidental Registration”). No Designated Holder shall be entitled to be named as a selling securityholder in the Registration Statement, and no Designated Holder shall be entitled to use the prospectus forming a part thereof for resales of Registrable Securities at any time, unless such Designated Holder has responded in writing indicating such Designated Holder’s intention to participate in the proposed registration and distribution within five (5) Business Days after such Designated Holder’s receipt of written notice from the Company. The Company shall, and



shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause the managing underwriter or underwriters of a proposed underwritten offering (the “Company Underwriter”) to permit a Designated Holder who has requested in writing to participate in the Incidental Registration to include its Registrable Securities in such offering on the same terms and conditions as the securities of the Company included therein. In connection with any Incidental Registration under this Section 4(a) involving an underwritten offering, the Company shall not be required to include any Registrable Securities in such underwritten offering unless the holder thereof accepts the terms of the underwritten offering as agreed upon between the Company and the Company Underwriter, and then only in such quantity as will not, in the opinion of the Company Underwriter, have a material adverse effect on the success of the offering by the Company. If in the written opinion of the Company Underwriter the registration of all or part of the Registrable Securities which one or more Designated Holders have requested to be included would materially adversely affect the success of such offering, then the Company shall be required to include in such Incidental Registration, to the extent of the amount that the Company Underwriter believes may be sold without causing such adverse effect, first, all of the securities to be offered for the account of the Company; second, the Registrable Securities to be offered for the account of the Designated Holders pursuant to this Section 4 and Other Registrable Shares, if any, to be offered for the account of Other Holders requesting incidental or piggy-back registrations in accordance with their registration rights agreements with the Company, if necessary, allocated among the Designated Holders exercising the right to Incidental Registration and such requesting Other Holders on a pro rata and non-discriminatory basis according to the number of Registrable Securities then outstanding held by each such Designated Holder requesting registration (excluding the Registrable Securities of any Designated Holder that has chosen not to exercise its right to Incidental Registration) and the number of Other Registrable Securities then outstanding held by each such requesting Other Holder (excluding the Other Registrable Securities of any Other Holder that has chosen not to request incidental registration under its registration rights agreement with the Company); and third, any other securities requested to be included in such underwritten offering.

(b) Expenses.

The Company shall pay all Registration Expenses in connection with any Incidental Registration pursuant to this Section 4, whether or not such Incidental Registration becomes effective. The Designated Holders shall pay (i) all discounts or underwriter commissions attributable to any Registrable Securities sold by them and (ii) all of their own out-of-pocket expenses, including all legal counsel fees in connection with any registration.

5. Form F-3 Registration.

(a) Request for a Form F-3 Registration.

At any time when the Company is eligible to use Form F-3, in the event that the Company shall receive from a Designated Holder a written request that the Company register, under the Securities Act, on Form F-3 (or any successor form then in effect)



(an “F-3 Registration”), all or a portion of the Registrable Securities owned by such Designated Holder, the Company shall use its reasonable best efforts (i) to file a Registration Statement covering the number of shares of Registrable Securities specified in such request on Form F-3 for public sale in accordance with the method of disposition specified in such request within 60 days after the receipt of the Designated Holder’s request therefor and (ii) to cause such F-3 Registration to be declared effective by the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter.

(b) Form F-3 Underwriting Procedures.

If the Company or the Designated Holder so elects, the Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause such F-3 Registration pursuant to this Section 5 to be in the form of a firm commitment underwritten offering and the managing underwriter or underwriters selected for such offering shall be the Approved Underwriter selected in accordance with Section 3(d)(ii). If the Approved Underwriter advises the Company in writing that in its opinion marketing factors require a limitation of the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities to be included in the underwritten offering, the Company shall include in such underwritten offering only the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities, on a pro rata basis according to the number of Registrable Securities then outstanding held by each such Designated Holder requesting registration (excluding the Registrable Securities of any Designated Holder that has chosen not to exercise its right to F-3 Registration), that in the opinion of the Approved Underwriter may be sold without any material adverse effect on the success of such underwritten offering. If Other Holders are entitled to and elect to request for participation in such underwritten offering to be made upon the election by the Company or the Designated Holder in accordance with this Section 5(b), and if the Approved Underwriter advises the Company in writing that in its opinion marketing factors require a limitation of the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities and Other Registrable Securities to be included in such underwritten offering, the Company shall include in such underwritten offering only the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities and Other Registrable Securities, on a pro rata and non-discriminatory basis according to the number of Registrable Securities then outstanding held by each such Designated Holder requesting registration (excluding the Registrable Securities of any Designated Holder that has chosen not to exercise its right to F-3 Registration) and the number of Other Registrable Securities then outstanding held by each such requesting Other Holder (excluding the Other Registrable Securities of any Other Holder that has chosen not to request Form F-3 registration under its registration rights agreement with the Company), that in the opinion of the Approved Underwriter may be sold without any material adverse effect on the success of such underwritten offering.

(c) Request for a Shelf Registration.

So long as the Company is eligible to use Form F-3 or such other successor form permitting incorporation of public filing by reference, a Designated Holder shall be entitled, at any time, to request the Company to file and maintain a Shelf Registration with the SEC under which all or any portion of Common Shares that is owned by it at the time of such request may be resold at any time and from time to time until such time as all such Common Shares are eligible for sale pursuant to paragraph (k) of Rule 144 (or any successor provision then in effect) or in a single transaction pursuant to paragraph (e) of Rule 144 (or any successor provision then



in effect) under the Securities Act; provided that the aggregate amount of Registrable Securities included in such Shelf Registration as requested by the Designated Holder is no less than US\$10,000,000. The Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause any such Shelf Registration to become effective. If the Company shall propose to file a registration statement to effect a Shelf Registration for the offer and sale of Common Shares of any other shareholder of the Company, the Company shall notify each Designated Holder and the Designated Holders shall have the right to require the registration of Registrable Securities under such Shelf Registration in such amount as it may specify in writing to the Company within fifteen (15) Business Days after receiving notice of such proposed Shelf Registration, only if (i) such notification shall not violate the Company's obligations to such shareholder of the Company and (ii) the Designated Holders shall obtain written consent of the Approved Underwriter of the takedown in connection with such Shelf Registration. The Company shall not be required to effect a requested registration as a Shelf Registration if the Board of Directors of the Company shall have determined in good faith that filing such registration at the time of the request would adversely affect the Company and its shareholders, as a whole, in any material respect, and then the Company may at its option direct that such request be delayed for a reasonable period not in excess of 90 days from the date of receipt of the request of Shelf Registration; provided, however, that the Company shall not exercise such right to delay a request more than one time or for more than a total of 90 days during any period of 365 days. If the Board of Directors shall have made such a determination with respect to such Shelf Registration, then the Company will consider to proceed with a Demand Registration or F-3 Registration which is not a Shelf Registration in accordance with Section 3(a) or 5(a), as the case may be, and the Designated Holders may not request that any subsequent Demand Registration or F-3 Registration be a Shelf Registration until at least 90 days after such determination.

(d) Company's Election to File a Shelf Registration.

Each Designated Holder agrees that the Company may, at any time at the Company's option, file a Shelf Registration with the SEC in order to facilitate sales of Common Shares by a Designated Holder. In the event that such a Shelf Registration is declared effective by the SEC, the Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to comply with any request for registration by a Designated Holder under this Agreement by providing an appropriate prospectus supplement under such Shelf Registration. The terms and conditions of this Agreement, including without limitation, the provisions of Section 5(e), shall apply to the use and availability of such Shelf Registration as if it were the filing of a Registration Statement.

(e) Limitations on Form F-3 Registrations.

If at the time of any request to register Registrable Securities pursuant to Section 5(a) or any utilization of a Shelf Registration pursuant to Section 5(c) or 5(d), the Board of Directors makes a good faith determination that such request to register Registrable Securities or utilize a Shelf Registration would be reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company and its shareholders in any material aspect, then the Company may at its option direct that such request or continued availability be delayed for a



reasonable period not in excess of 90 days from the date of receipt of such request; provided, however, that the Company shall not exercise such right to delay a request more than two times and for more than a total of 90 days during any period of 365 days. In addition, the Company shall not be required to effect any registration pursuant to Section 5(a): (i) if within 180 days after the effective date of any other Registration Statement of the Company (other than on Form F-4 or S-8), (ii) if within the 12-month period preceding the date of such request, the Company has effected two registrations on Form F-3 pursuant to Section 5(a) and all of the Registrable Securities registered therein have been sold, or (iii) if Form F-3 is not available for such offering by the relevant Designated Holder.

(f) Expenses.

The Company shall pay all Registration Expenses in connection with any registration pursuant to Section 5, whether or not such F-3 Registration or the Shelf Registration becomes effective. The Designated Holders shall pay (i) all discounts or underwriter commissions attributable to any Registrable Securities sold by them and (ii) all of their own out-of-pocket expenses, including all legal counsel fees in connection with any registration.

(g) Demand Registration.

Each registration requested by a Designated Holder pursuant to this Section 5 shall be deemed a Demand Registration for purposes of Section 3(a)(ii) and Section 3(a)(iii).

6. Restrictions on Public Sale by the Designated Holder.

If, and to the extent, requested by the Approved Underwriter or the Company Underwriter, as the case may be, in the case of an underwritten public offering, a Designated Holder agrees (i) not to effect any public sale or distribution of any Registrable Securities or of any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for such Registrable Securities, including a sale pursuant to Rule 144, and (ii) not to make any request for a Demand Registration, F-3 Registration or Shelf Registration under this Agreement, during a 120-day period or such shorter period agreed upon by such Designated Holder and the requesting party beginning thirty (30) days prior to the anticipated effective date of the Registration Statement relating to such offering (except as part of such registration).

7. Registration Procedures.

(a) Obligations of the Company. Whenever registration of Registrable Securities has been requested pursuant to Section 3, Section 4 or Section 5 of this Agreement, the Company shall:

(i) use its commercially reasonable efforts to prepare and file, as promptly as practicable, with the SEC a Registration Statement on any form for which the Company then qualifies and which form shall be available for the sale of such Registrable Securities in accordance with the intended method of distribution thereof, and use its commercially reasonable



efforts to cause such Registration Statement to become effective; provided, however, that (x) before filing a Registration Statement or prospectus or any amendments or supplements thereto, the Company shall provide one counsel selected by the Designated Holder (“Holder’s Counsel”) and any other Inspector with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on such Registration Statement and each prospectus included therein (and each amendment or supplement thereto) to be filed with the SEC, subject to such documents being under the Company’s control, and (y) the Company shall notify the Holder’s Counsel and each seller of Registrable Securities of any stop order issued or threatened by the SEC and take all reasonable action required to prevent the entry of such stop order or to remove it if entered;

(ii) use its commercially reasonable efforts to prepare and file with the SEC such amendments and supplements to such Registration Statement and the prospectus used in connection therewith as may be necessary to keep such Registration Statement effective for the lesser of (x) 90 days and (y) such shorter period which will terminate when all Registrable Securities covered by such Registration Statement have been sold, or in the case of a Shelf Registration, until such time as the Registrable Securities covered thereby have been sold pursuant to such registration or cease to be deemed Registrable Securities, and comply with the provisions of the Securities Act with respect to the disposition of all securities covered by such Registration Statement during such period in accordance with the intended methods of disposition by the sellers thereof set forth in such Registration Statement;

(iii) as soon as reasonably practicable, furnish to the seller of Registrable Securities, prior to filing a Registration Statement, at least one copy of such Registration Statement as is proposed to be filed, and thereafter such number of copies of the prospectus (including each preliminary prospectus) used in connection with such Registration Statement and each amendment and supplement thereto (in each case including all exhibits thereto) as such seller may reasonably request in order to facilitate the disposition of the Registrable Securities owned by such seller;

(iv) use its commercially reasonable efforts to register or qualify such Registrable Securities under such other securities or “blue sky” laws of such jurisdictions within the United States as any seller of Registrable Securities may reasonably request, and to continue such qualification in effect in such jurisdiction for as long as any such seller reasonably requests or until all of such Registrable Securities are sold, whichever is shortest, and do any and all other acts and things which may be reasonably necessary or reasonably advisable to enable any such seller to consummate the disposition in such jurisdictions of the Registrable Securities owned by such seller; provided, however, that the Company shall not be required to (x) qualify generally to do business in any jurisdiction where it would not otherwise be required to qualify but



for this Section 7(a)(iv), (y) subject itself to taxation in any such jurisdiction or (z) consent to general service of process in any such jurisdiction;

(v) notify the seller of Registrable Securities (x) if the Company receives any notification with respect to the suspension of the qualification of the Registrable Securities for sale in any jurisdiction or the initiation of any proceeding for such purpose or (y) at any time when a prospectus relating thereto is required to be delivered under the Securities Act, upon discovery that, or upon the happening of any event as a result of which the prospectus included in such Registration Statement contains an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made, and the Company shall use its commercially reasonable efforts to promptly prepare a supplement to or amendment of such prospectus and furnish to each seller a reasonable number of copies of such supplement to or amendment of such prospectus as may be necessary so that, after delivery to the purchasers of such Registrable Securities, such prospectus as supplemented or amended shall not contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made;

(vi) enter into and perform customary agreements (including an underwriting agreement in customary form with the Approved Underwriter or Company Underwriter, if any, selected as provided in Section 3, Section 4 or Section 5, as the case may be) and take such other reasonable actions as are reasonably prudent and reasonably required in order to expedite or facilitate the disposition of such Registrable Securities;

(vii) make available at reasonable times for inspection by any seller of Registrable Securities, any managing underwriter participating in any disposition of such Registrable Securities pursuant to a Registration Statement, Holder's Counsel and any attorney, accountant or other agent retained by any such seller or any managing underwriter (each, an "Inspector" and collectively, the "Inspectors"), all relevant financial and other records, pertinent corporate documents and properties of the Company and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Records") as shall be reasonably requested by any such Inspector, in each case, as is customary for similar due diligence examinations, and cause the Company's and its subsidiaries' officers, directors and employees, and the independent public accountants of the Company, to supply all information reasonably requested by any such Inspector in connection with such Registration Statement. Any records that the Company determines, in good faith, to be confidential and which it notifies the Inspectors are confidential shall not be disclosed by the Inspectors (and the inspectors shall confirm their agreement in writing in advance to the Company if the



Company shall so request) unless (x) the disclosure of such Records is necessary, in the Company's judgment, to avoid or correct a misstatement or omission in the Registration Statement, (y) the release of such Records is ordered pursuant to a subpoena or other order from a court of competent jurisdiction after exhaustion of all appeals therefrom or (z) the information in such Records was known to the Inspectors on a non-confidential basis prior to its disclosure by the Company or has been made generally available to the public. The seller of Registrable Securities agrees that it shall, upon learning that disclosure of such Records is sought in a court of competent jurisdiction, give notice to the Company and allow the Company, at the Company's expense, to undertake appropriate action to prevent disclosure of the Records deemed confidential;

(viii) otherwise use its commercially reasonable efforts to comply with all applicable rules and regulations of the SEC;

(ix) use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause all such Registrable Securities to be listed on each securities exchange or automated quotation system on which similar securities issued by the Company are then listed or quoted; provided, however, that the applicable listing requirements are satisfied;

(x) keep Holder's Counsel reasonably advised as to the initiation and progress of any registration under Section 3, Section 4 or Section 5 hereunder;

(xi) reasonably cooperate with the seller of Registrable Securities and each underwriter participating in the disposition of such Registrable Securities and their respective counsel in connection with any filings required to be made with the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (the "NASD");

(xii) if such sale is pursuant to an underwritten offering, use its commercially reasonable efforts to obtain a "comfort letter" from the Company's independent public accountants in customary form and covering such matters of the type customarily covered by "comfort letters" as Holder's Counsel or the managing underwriter reasonably requests;

(xiii) use its commercially reasonable efforts to furnish, at the request of any Designated Holder requesting registration of Registrable Securities, on the date that such Registrable Securities are delivered to the underwriter(s) for sale, if such securities are being sold through underwriters, or, if such securities are not being sold through underwriters, on the date that the Registration Statement with respect to such securities becomes effective, an opinion, dated as of such date, of counsel representing the Company for the purposes of such registration, addressed to the underwriters, if any, and to the Designated Holder making such request, covering such legal matters with respect to the registration in respect of which



such opinion is being given are customarily covered in such opinion and such other matters as may be reasonably requested by the Designated Holders; and

(xiv) use its commercially reasonable efforts to take all other steps reasonably necessary to effect the registration of the Registrable Securities contemplated hereby.

(b) Seller Information.

The Company may require the seller of Registrable Securities as to which any registration is being effected to furnish to the Company such information regarding such seller(s), the Registrable Securities held by them and the distribution of such securities as the Company may from time to time reasonably request in writing.

(c) Notice to Discontinue.

The Designated Holder agrees that, upon receipt of any notice from the Company of the happening of any event of the kind described in Section 7(a)(v), such Designated Holder shall forthwith discontinue disposition of Registrable Securities pursuant to the Registration Statement covering such Registrable Securities until such Designated Holder's receipt of the copies of the supplemented or amended prospectus contemplated by Section 7(a)(v) and, if so directed by the Company, such Designated Holder shall deliver to the Company (at the Company's expense) all copies, other than permanent file copies then in such Designated Holder's possession, of the prospectus covering such Registrable Securities which is current at the time of receipt of such notice. If the Company shall give any such notice, the Company shall extend the period during which such Registration Statement shall be maintained effective pursuant to this Agreement (including, without limitation, the period referred to in Section 7(a)(ii)) by the number of days during the period from and including the date of the giving of such notice pursuant to Section 7(a)(v) to and including the date when sellers of such Registrable Securities under such Registration Statement shall have received the copies of the supplemented or amended prospectus contemplated by and meeting the requirements of Section 7(a)(v).

(d) Non-Discrimination.

The Company agrees and covenants that any and all registrations to be made for the Registrable Securities shall be consummated on a non-discriminatory basis as relative to all Other Registrable Securities to be made for Other Holders under the applicable registration rights agreements between Other Holders and the Company.

(e) Rule 144.

The Company covenants that (a) from and after the date of this Agreement it shall file any reports required to be filed by it under the Exchange Act and (b) take such further action as a Designated Holder of Registrable Securities may reasonably request (including providing any information necessary to comply with Rule 144), all to the extent required from time to time to enable such



Designated Holder to sell Registrable Securities without registration under the Securities Act within the limitation of the exemptions provided by (i) Rule 144 under the Securities Act, as such rule may be amended from time to time (“Rule 144”), or (ii) any similar rules or regulations hereafter adopted by the SEC. The Company shall, upon the request of any Designated Holder of Registrable Securities, deliver to such Designated Holder a written statement as to whether it has complied with such requirements. The Company shall, upon the request of any Designated Holder of Registrable Securities, deliver to such Designated Holder unlegended certificates in settlement of a transaction pursuant to an effective Registration Statement or Rule 144; provided, however, that such Designated Holder shall provide the Company with an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company (or other evidence reasonably satisfactory) that such certificates may be unlegended; provided further, however, that if at a later date for any reason such certificates require that a legend be set forth on the certificates, then such Designated Holder shall return the unlegended certificates in exchange for legended certificates. Upon request of a Designated Holder for which the conditions under Rule 144 for removal of restricted legends on its Registrable Securities have been met, the Company agrees to cause the counsel representing the Company to forthwith issue an opinion letter that the restricted legend thereon may be removed in accordance with Rule 144 and other applicable regulations of the SEC.

8. Indemnification and Contribution.

(a) Indemnification by the Company.

The Company agrees to indemnify and hold harmless each Designated Holder and each Person who controls (within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act) such Designated Holder from and against any and all losses, claims, damages, liabilities and expenses (including reasonable costs of investigation) (collectively, “Damages”) incurred by them arising out of or based upon any untrue, or allegedly untrue, statement of a material fact contained in any Registration Statement, prospectus or preliminary prospectus (as amended or supplemented if the Company shall have furnished any amendments or supplements thereto) or arising out of or based upon any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading, except insofar as: (i) the same are made in reliance upon, caused by or contained in any information concerning such Designated Holder furnished in writing to the Company by or on behalf of such Designated Holder expressly for use therein, including, without limitation, the information furnished to the Company pursuant to Section 7(b), or (ii) such Damages would not have occurred but for such Designated Holder’s continued disposition of Registrable Securities after a notice of discontinuance had been delivered by the Company in accordance with Section 7(c). The Company shall also provide customary indemnities to any underwriters of the Registrable Securities and each Person who controls such underwriters (within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act) to the same extent as provided above with respect to the indemnification of the Designated Holder of Registrable Securities.



(b) Indemnification by Designated Holder.

In connection with any Registration Statement in which a Designated Holder is participating pursuant to Section 3, Section 4 or Section 5 hereof, such Designated Holder shall promptly furnish to the Company in writing such information with respect to such Designated Holder as the Company may reasonably request or as may be required by law for use in connection with any such Registration Statement or prospectus and all information required to be disclosed in order to make the information previously furnished to the Company by such Designated Holder not materially misleading or necessary to cause such Registration Statement not to omit a material fact with respect to such Designated Holder necessary in order to make the statements therein not misleading. The Designated Holder agrees to, severally and not jointly with any other Designated Holder, indemnify and hold harmless the Company, any underwriter retained by the Company and each Person who controls the Company or such underwriter (within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act) to the same extent as the foregoing indemnity from the Company to such Designated Holder, but only with respect to any such information with respect to such Designated Holder furnished in writing to the Company by or on behalf of such Designated Holder expressly for use therein, including, without limitation, the information furnished to the Company pursuant to this Section 8(b); provided, however, that the total amount to be indemnified by such Designated Holder pursuant to this Section 8(b) shall be limited to the net proceeds received by such Designated Holder in the offering to which the Registration Statement or prospectus relates.

(c) Indemnification Procedures.

Promptly after receipt by an indemnified party under this Section 8 (an "Indemnified Party") of notice of any claim or the commencement of any action, the Indemnified Party shall, if a claim in respect thereof is to be made against the indemnifying party under this Section 8 (the "Indemnifying Party"), notify the Indemnifying Party in writing of the claim or the commencement of that action; provided, however, that the failure to notify the Indemnifying Party shall not relieve the Indemnifying Party from any liability which the Indemnifying Party may have under this Section 8 except to the extent the Indemnifying Party has been materially prejudiced by such failure and, provided further, that the failure to notify the Indemnifying Party shall not relieve the Indemnifying Party from any liability which the Indemnifying Party may have to an Indemnified Party otherwise than under this Section 8. If any such claim or action shall be brought against an Indemnified Party, and it shall notify the Indemnifying Party thereof, the Indemnifying Party shall be entitled to participate therein and, to the extent that it wishes, jointly with any other similarly notified Indemnifying Party, to assume the defense thereof with counsel reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Party. After notice from the Indemnifying Party to the Indemnified Party of its election to assume the defense of such claim or action, the Indemnifying Party shall not be liable to the Indemnified Party under this Section 8 for any legal and other expenses subsequently incurred by the Indemnified Party in connection with the defense thereof other than reasonable costs of investigation; provided, however, that the Company shall have the right to employ counsel to represent jointly the Company and its officers, directors, employees and controlling Persons who may be subject to liability arising out of any claim in respect of which indemnity may be sought by the Company against the Designated Holders under this Section 8 if, in the reasonable judgment of the Company, it is advisable for the Company and its officers, directors, employees and controlling Persons to be jointly represented by separate counsel, and in that event



the fees and expenses of such separate counsel shall be paid by the Company. No Indemnifying Party shall (i) without the prior written consent of the Indemnified Parties (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld), settle or compromise or consent to the entry of any judgment with respect to any pending or threatened claim, action, suit or proceeding in respect of which indemnification or contribution may be sought hereunder (whether or not the Indemnified Parties are actual or potential parties to such claim or action) unless such settlement, compromise or consent includes an unconditional release of each Indemnified Party from all liability arising out of such claim, action, suit or proceeding, or (ii) be liable for any settlement of any such action effected without its written consent (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld), but if settled with the consent of the Indemnifying Party or if there be a final judgment of the plaintiff in any such action, the Indemnifying Party agrees to indemnify and hold harmless any Indemnified Party from and against any loss or liability by reason of such settlement or judgment.

(d) Contribution.

If the indemnification provided for in this Section 8 from the Indemnifying Party is unavailable to an Indemnified Party hereunder in respect of any Damages referred to therein, then the Indemnifying Party, in lieu of indemnifying such Indemnified Party, shall contribute to the amount paid or payable by such Indemnified Party as a result of such Damages in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect the relative fault of the Indemnifying Party and Indemnified Party in connection with the actions which resulted in such losses, claims, damages, liabilities or expenses, as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. The relative faults of such Indemnifying Party and Indemnified Party shall be determined by reference to, among other things, whether any action in question, including any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact or omission or alleged omission to state a material fact, has been made by, or relates to information supplied by, such Indemnifying Party or Indemnified Party. The amount paid or payable by a party as a result of the losses, claims, damages, liabilities and expenses referred to above shall be deemed to include, subject to the limitations set forth in Sections 8(a), 8(b) and 8(c), any legal or other fees, charges or expenses reasonably incurred by such party in connection with any investigation or proceeding; provided, however, that the total amount to be indemnified by the Designated Holder shall be limited to the net proceeds actually received by such Designated Holder in the offering. No Person guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation (within the meaning of Section 11(f) of the Securities Act) shall be entitled to contribution from any Person who was not guilty of such fraudulent misrepresentation.

(e) Survival.

The obligations of the Company and Designated Holders under this Section 9 shall survive the completion of any offering of Registrable Securities in a Registration Statement, regardless of the expiration of any statutes of limitation or extensions of such statutes.



9. Information Rights; Confidentiality.

(a) Information Rights. During the term of this Agreement, upon reasonable written request, the Company shall provide or permit the Investor to have reasonable access, during regular business hours, to relevant financial data (including without limitation, consolidated and non-consolidated quarterly and annual financial statements and operating information) necessary for the Investor to prepare its financial statements under Republic of China generally accepted accounting principles and its filings required under the Exchange Act, provided that the foregoing shall not require the Company to permit any inspection, or to disclose any information that, in its reasonable judgment, results in the disclosure of any trade secret.

(b) Confidentiality. Each Investor agrees to and shall keep confidential in accordance with this Section 9(b) and shall not disclose or divulge any confidential, proprietary or secret information (“Confidential Information”) which such Investor obtains as a result of Section 9(a) without consent of the Company; provided that each Investor may disclose such information to any of its auditors, attorneys and other representatives who agree to be bound by the provisions of this Section 9(b). In the event that an Investor or any Person to whom an Investor is entitled to disclose information hereunder is required to disclose any Confidential Information in order to comply with any applicable law, regulation, legal or judicial process (by oral questions, interrogatories, requests for information or documents, subpoena, civil investigation demand or similar process) or stock exchange rule or regulation, the Investor shall in advance of such disclosure provide the Company with prompt notice of such requirement(s) and shall cooperate with the Company so that the Company may, at its expense, seek a protective order or other appropriate remedy. If, in the absence of a protective order or the receipt of a waiver from the Company after a request in writing therefore is made by an Investor (such request to be made as soon as reasonably practicable to allow the Company a reasonable amount of time to respond thereto), the Investor or such other Person is legally required to disclose Confidential Information, the Investor or such other Person may disclose such information without liability hereunder. The term Confidential Information shall not include information (i) which is or becomes publicly available other than as a result of disclosure of such information by an Investor in violation of this Section 9(b) or any Person to whom an Investor is entitled to disclose such information hereunder, (ii) which is or becomes available to the recipient of such information on a non-confidential basis from a source which is not, to the recipient’s knowledge, bound by a confidentiality or other similar agreement or any other legal or fiduciary obligation to the Company or its subsidiaries which prohibits disclosure of such information or (iii) information that was known by an Investor prior to disclosure by the Company (as evidenced by written records), provided that such information was not known by the Investor to be subject to any legal or contractual obligation of confidentiality owed to the Company.



10. Miscellaneous.

(a) Governing Law.

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

(b) Arbitration.

All disputes, controversies and claims in connection with or arising out of this Agreement that the parties are unable to resolve between themselves shall be finally settled by arbitration under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce (“ICC”) in effect on the date hereof. The arbitration shall be the sole and exclusive forum for resolution of the dispute, controversy or claim, and the award shall be final and binding. Judgment thereon may be entered by any court having jurisdiction. The number of arbitrators shall be three, each of whom shall be disinterested in the dispute, controversy or claim and shall be impartial and independent of any party. Each of the Company and the Designated Holders shall appoint one arbitrator, and the two so appointed shall choose a third arbitrator. If the arbitrators chosen by the parties cannot agree on the choice of the third arbitrator within a period of 30 days after both of them have been appointed, then the third arbitrator shall be appointed by the ICC. The parties and the appointing authority may appoint from among the nationals of any country, whether or not a party is a national of that country. The place of arbitration shall be New York, New York, the United States of America. The arbitration shall be conducted in the English language and any foreign-language documents presented at such arbitration shall be accompanied by an English translation thereof. The arbitrators shall state the reasons upon which the award is based. The content, existence and judgment of any arbitral proceeding hereunder shall be confidential and subject to the confidentiality provisions of this Agreement.

(c) Submission to Jurisdiction.

Each of the parties submits to the non-exclusive jurisdiction of any court in Taipei, Taiwan, ROC and any State or federal court in New York, New York, the United States of America (each a “Specified Court”) in any action, suit or proceeding with respect to the enforcement of the arbitration provisions of this Agreement and the non-exclusive jurisdiction of the Specified Court with respect to the enforcement of any award thereunder.

(d) Entire Agreement.

This Agreement and any other documents delivered pursuant hereto constitute the full and entire understanding and agreement between the parties with regard to the subject matters hereof and supersede all prior understandings and agreements, and no party shall be liable or bound to any other in any manner by any representations, warranties, covenants and agreements except as specifically set forth herein. For avoidance of any doubt, this Agreement shall not become effective until the consummation of the transactions contemplated in the Share Purchase Agreements.



(e) Amendments and Waivers.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the provisions of this Agreement may not be amended, modified or supplemented, and waivers or consents to departures from the provisions hereof may not be given unless consented to in writing by the parties hereto.

(f) Severability.

If any one or more of the provisions contained herein shall be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not be in any way affected or impaired thereby.

(g) Specific Performance.

The parties hereto agree that if any of the provisions of this Agreement were not performed in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached, irreparable damage would occur, no adequate remedy at law would exist and damages would be difficult to determine and the parties shall be entitled to specific performance of the terms hereof, in addition to any other remedy at law or equity.

(h) Notices.

All notices or other communications required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be deemed to have been fully given on the date delivered by hand or by courier service such as Federal Express, or by other messenger (or, if delivery is refused, upon presentment) or upon receipt by facsimile transmission (provided , that the confirmation of such facsimile transmission is delivered by hand or certified mail to the addressee of the facsimile within five (5) Business Days of the delivery of the facsimile), or upon delivery by registered or certified mail (return receipt requested), postage prepaid, to the parties as follows:

(i) if to the Company:

ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
11F, No. 3, Lane 91
Dongmei Road
Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China
Telecopy: (+886) 3-571-6073
Attention: Chief Executive Officer

with a copy to:

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP
28th Floor
Nine Queen's Road Central
Hong Kong
Telecopy: (+852) 2522-2280
Attention: John D. Young, Esq.
Michael G. DeSombre, Esq.



(ii) if to Giant Haven:

Giant Haven Investments Limited
Mailing Address: No. 1 Creation Rd. 1, Hsinchu
Science-Based Industrial Park, Taiwan R.O.C.
Telecopy: +886-3-5665931
Attention: Jane Tseng

(iii) if to Powertech:

Powertech Technology Inc.
Address: No.26, Datong Rd., Hsinchu Industrial Park,
Hukou, Hsinchu 30352, Taiwan R.O.C.
Telecopy: +886-3-598-3390
Attention: P.C. Lee

(iv) if to ProMOS:

ProMOS Technologies Inc.
Address: 8F, No. 19 Li Hsin Road, Hsinchu
Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan R.O.C.
Telecopy: +886-3-579-1661
Attention: Ming-Hsing Yang

or to such other Persons or addresses as the Person to whom notice is given may have previously furnished in writing to the party giving such notice in the manner set forth above (provided, that notice of any change of address shall be effective only upon receipt thereof).

(i) Successors and Assigns; Third-Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, legatees, legal representatives, successors and permitted assigns of each of the parties hereto as hereinafter provided. Subject to the transfer restrictions set forth herein, the rights of the Investor to require registration hereunder (including incidental or “piggy-back” registration rights) shall be, as to any Registrable Securities held by the Investor, (i) automatically transferred upon any transfer of such Registrable Securities by the Investor to an Affiliate Transferee or by any Affiliate Transferee to any other Affiliate Transferee, provided, however, that if such Affiliate Transferee ceases to be wholly-owned or majority-owned by the Investor, such Affiliate Transferee shall lose all rights provided under this Agreement, and (ii) automatically transferred upon any transfer of a number of Registrable Securities representing 75% or more of the Investor’s holding of Registrable Securities received pursuant to the Share Purchase Agreements to a single purchaser or a “group” of purchasers (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) if in such transfer the purchaser(s) will receive “restricted securities” within the meaning of Rule 144 (and such



purchaser or group shall become a Designated Holder hereunder by executing and delivering an instrument in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A), and (iii) in all other cases, transferred only with the consent of the Company; provided, that, in each case, (a) such transfer of the Registrable Securities may be effected in accordance with applicable securities laws and (b) such transferee agrees to become a Designated Holder and be bound by all of the provisions of this Agreement by executing and delivering an instrument in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. All of the obligations of the Company hereunder shall survive any such transfer. No Person other than the parties hereto and their heirs, legatees, legal representatives, successors and permitted assigns is intended to be a beneficiary of any of the rights granted hereunder.

(j) Further Assurances.

Each of the parties shall execute such documents and perform such further acts as may be reasonably required or necessary to carry out or to perform the provisions of this Agreement.

(k) Other Agreements.

Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be deemed to be a waiver of, or release from, any obligations any party hereto may have under, or any restrictions on the transfer of Registrable Securities or other securities of the Company imposed by, any other agreement including, but not limited to, the Share Purchase Agreements.

(l) Headings.

The headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not limit or otherwise affect the meaning hereof.

(m) Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts and by the parties hereto in separate counterparts, each of which when so executed shall be deemed to be an original and all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same agreement.



茂德科技股份有限公司
ProMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed, or have caused to be executed, this Agreement on the date first written above.

THE COMPANY:

CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA) LTD.

By: /s/ S.J. Cheng

Name: S.J. Cheng

Title: Chairman

THE INVESTOR:

POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.

By: /s/ D.K. Tsai

Name: D.K. Tsai

Title:

THE INVESTOR:

PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

By: /s/ M.L. Chen

Name: M.L. Chen

Title: Chairman

THE INVESTOR:

GIANT HAVEN INVESTMENTS LIMITED

By: /s/ Tuan Hsing-Ti

Name: Tuan Hsing-Ti

Title: Director



Exhibit A

Instrument of Adherence

Reference is hereby made to the Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of [•], 2007, among ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD., an exempted company under the laws of Bermuda (the “Company”). ProMOS Technologies Inc., a company incorporated under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China (“ProMOS”), and Powertech Technology Inc., a company incorporated under the laws of Taiwan, Republic of China (“Powertech”), and Giant Haven Investments Limited (“Giant Haven”) (ProMOS, Powertech and Giant Haven, collectively, the “Investors”), as amended and in effect from time to time (the “Registration Rights Agreement”). Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the respective meanings ascribed thereto in the Registration Rights Agreement.

The undersigned, in order to become the owner or holder of [_____] common shares, par value US\$0.01 per share (the “Common Shares”), of the Company, hereby agrees that, from and after the date hereof, the undersigned has become a party to the Registration Rights Agreement in the capacity of a permitted transferee to whom Registrable Securities have been transferred in accordance with the provisions of Section 10(i) of the Registration Rights Agreement, and is entitled to all of the benefits under, and is subject to all of the obligations, restrictions and limitations set forth in, the Registration Rights Agreement. This Instrument of Adherence shall take effect and shall become a part of the Registration Rights Agreement immediately upon execution.

Executed as of the date set forth below under the laws of New York.

Signature: _____
Name:
Title:

Accepted:
[_____]

By: _____
Name:
Title:

By: _____
Name:
Title:

Date: _____, 200 ____



THIRD AMENDMENT TO ASSEMBLY AND TEST SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS THIRD AMENDMENT (“Amendment”) is made effective the 30th day of November, 2007 (the “Effective Date”) by and between **SPANSION LLC**, having its principal place of business at 915 DeGuigne Drive, Sunnyvale, California, 94088-3453 (hereinafter referred to as “Spansion”), and **ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, having its principal place of business at No. 1 R&D Rd. 1, Hsinchu Science park, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C. (hereinafter referred to as “ChipMOS”).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Spansion and ChipMOS wish to modify the Assembly and Test Services Agreement dated September 15, 2005, between the parties hereto, and as amended (hereinafter the “Agreement”), in order to effect a change in the term of the Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual covenants of the parties, it is agreed that the Agreement shall be modified as follows:

1. Third Amendment Project Name: ChipMOS Wafer Sort Agreement (for the Test Cell # 176 – 205)
Third Amendment Start Date: November 30th, 2007
2. The following shall be added as “Task” (f) to Section 5 of the First Statement of Work of Appendix 2 of the Agreement:
(f) Supplier shall provide an additional thirty (30) wafer sort Test Cells (Verigy V5400 and Accretech UF 300) (“Test Cells”) beyond the one-hundred seventy-five (175) currently installed.
3. The parties agree that except as amended and modified in the manner specified above, all remaining provisions of the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect, except as follows:
 - a) The Project Completion Date (“Expiration Date”) for the additional 30 Test Cells shall be on the fifth anniversary of the date of qualification by Spansion for each individual Test Cell.

- b) The price (wafer sort hourly rate) is per ChipMOS quotation No. JRCP200706001 based on the Spansion and ChipMOS Memorandum Of Understanding dated March 3, 2007.
- c) Spansion has the option to buy back part or all of the additional 30 Test Cells during the course of this Amendment for twelve (12) months after the qualification. Spansion shall run the Test Cells at ChipMOS ' (No. 37 Hsin-Tai road, Chu-Pei City, HsinChu, Taiwan, R.O.C. location) for a minimum of twelve (12) months and shall give ChipMOS three (3) months advanced notice for the exercise of the buy-back option. The buy-back option price will be as follows:

<u>Buy back time</u>	<u>Buy back price (% of Original Price)</u>
Before the end of 12 months	Not Applicable
At the end of 12 month	80%
At the end of 24 month	60%
At the end of 36 month	40%
At the end of 48 month	30%
At the end of 60 month	20%

If the buy-back option occurs between the above-mentioned time intervals, the buy-back option price will be linearly proportional to the price between the two intervals. For example, the buy-back option price at the end of eighteen (18) months will be 70% of the original purchase price.

4. The Project Completion Date ("Expiration Date") for the Test Cells # 1 -50 shall be extended to the fifth anniversary of the date of qualification by Spansion for each individual Test Cell. This is a modification to Appendix 2 OF Assembly and Test Services Agreement, FIRST STATEMENT OF WORK.

In 2008, the Project Completion Date ("Expiration Date") for the Test Cells #51 -150 shall be reviewed to explore the possibility to extend to the fifth anniversary of the date of qualification by Spansion for each individual Test Cell.

Price: The wafer sort hourly rate is set during the course of the agreement. For the five year agreement for the test cell # 1 - # 50, and # 151 - #205, the price is fixed for five years after installation and qualification for each individual test cell. For the three year agreement for the test cell #51 - # 150, the wafer sort hourly rate is fixed for three years after installation and qualification for each individual test cell.

THIS THIRD AMENDMENT has been signed by the duly-authorized representatives of the parties (or their designees) in two identical copies of which each party has taken one.



ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

SPANSION LLC



Authorized Signature

Authorized Signature



Name

Name

Chairman & CEO

Director

Title

Title

12-18-07

12-11-07

Date

Date

[English Translation]

Science Park Administration Land Lease Agreement

Parties to this Lease Agreement:

Landlord: Science Park Administration ("Party A")

Tenant: ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. ("Party B")

Whereas, Party B is a science park enterprise, research institution, incubator center or unit of authority as prescribed in Article 4 or 8 of the Act for Establishment and Administration of Science Parks, the Parties agree to enter into this Lease Agreement whereby Party A shall lease to Party B a parcel of land located in the Science Park as set forth in Article 1 of this Lease Agreement (the "Leased Land") in accordance with the following terms and conditions:

Article 1 The Leased Land and the RentLocation of the Leased Land

<u>County</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Sub-section</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>The measure of area (square meter)</u>	<u>The rent per unit NT\$ (square meter/ per month)</u>	<u>The monthly rent (NT\$)</u>	<u>Notes</u>
		Keguan						
		Section		10-7	3,325	52.92	175,959	
Total					3,325	52.92	175,959	

Article 2

The term of this Lease Agreement commences from December 1, 2007 to December 31, 2026.

The term of this Lease Agreement shall not exceed 20 years.

The Parties may enter into a new agreement upon the expiration of this Lease Agreement. This Lease Agreement shall terminate if the Parties do not enter into a new agreement upon expiration hereof and Party B shall by no means assert the continuation of the lease relationship or the indefinite lease.

Article 3

The purposes of the Lease Land use are limited only to the factory, warehouse, laboratory needed for Party B's operation or the premises used for the storage, delivery, loading and unloading, packaging, fixing, and assembling.

Article 4

Party B shall be a science park enterprise, research institution, incubator center or unit of authority as prescribed in Article 4 or 8 of the Act for Establishment and Administration of Science Parks. This Lease Agreement shall be null and void if Party B does not accord with the foregoing qualifications.

Article 5

Party B shall neither sublease or lend to others all or part of the Leased Land, nor change the intended use of the Leased Land or employ the Leased Land for any illegal use.

Article 6

From the effective date of this Lease Agreement, Party B shall, in accordance with the procedures of making rent payments as set forth by Party A, pay the monthly rent calculated pursuant to Article 1 as well as the associated business tax to Party A.

In case Party B starts using the Leased Land with Party A's permission before the execution of this Lease Agreement, the rent shall be paid starting from the date of the actual use of the Lease Land by Party B.

Article 7

Party A may adjust the rent of the Leased Land from time to time in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations.

If the government assessed land price or the rent ratio of the national land approved by the Executive Yuan of the Leased Land has to be adjusted, or based on other reasons the rent of the Leased Land has to be adjusted, the rent of the Leased Land shall adjust accordingly starting from the following month. Party B shall make up the rent deficits or be refunded of the rent surplus for backward payments.

Article 8

In the event that Party B fails to pay the rent pursuant to the schedule as set forth herein, Party B shall pay the punitive penalties in accordance with the following:

- (1) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period less than one month, the penalty shall be equivalent to two percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (2) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of one month or more but less than two months, the penalty shall be equivalent to five percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (3) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of two months or more but less than three months, the penalty shall be equivalent to ten percent of the total amount of rent payable.
- (4) In case Party B is delay in payment for a period of three months or more, the penalty shall be equivalent to fifteen percent of the total amount of rent payable.

Article 9

Party B shall, before constructing the building, based on the nature of its business and chemical materials to be used, take necessary preventive measures against the possible environmental hazards (e.g., malodor, noise, radiation, pollution, dazzle, convulsion) which may be caused thereby, and provide Party A with the inspection data regarding the pollution of soil and groundwater of the Lease Land. Party B shall abide by the foregoing obligations before the contemplated cease or suspension of its business. Party B shall also comply with the Waste Disposal Act, Air Pollution Control Act, Water Pollution Control Act, Soil and Groundwater Pollution Remediation Act, and other related laws and acts regarding pollution controlling matters, and shall be responsible for the maintenance of the hygiene of the Leased Land.

Party B shall be responsible for the maintenance of the sanitation of the building located on the Leased Land and that of the adjacent areas.

Article 10

In case Party B wants to use the groundwater, Party B shall file an application with Party A, and Party A shall forward such application to the competent authority for its further handling in accordance with the Water-Conservancy Act.

Article 11

Party B shall, in accordance with the construction plan submitted to Party A when applying the allocation of the Leased Land, complete the construction of the building in one single project, unless otherwise approved by Party A in advance based on justifiable reasons.

In the event that the multi-staged construction plan submitted by Party B is approved by Party A, the construction location of the first stage shall be at the location designated by Party A.

Article 12

Party B shall, within three months after the execution date of this Lease Agreement, file the construction license application in accordance with the Building Code and relevant laws and regulations as well as Party B's construction plan submitted when applying for the allocation of the Leased Land. Party B shall complete the construction in accordance with the plan. In the event that Party B is unable to follow the scheduled time frame to complete the construction under justifiable reasons, Party B may in advance file an application with Party A for the extension. However, such extension shall be limited to a six-month period.

The buildings constructed by Party B on the Lease Land shall meet and satisfy the Regulations for the Zoning of the Land in Science Parks.

Article 13

For the purpose of virescence or the use of pedestrian sidewalk, Party B shall construct the building in a manner that it will have at least ten meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk when the Leased Land is adjacent to a road of the width of thirty meters or more; if the Lease Land is adjacent to a road of the width of twenty meters or more, the building shall be constructed in a manner that it will have at least eight meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk; if the Lease Land is adjacent to a road of the width of ten meters or more, the building shall be constructed in a manner that it will have at least six meters setback from the outside of the sidewalk; if there is no road adjacent to the back and lateral sides of the building, the building shall have at least four meters setback from the boundaries of the Lease Land.

Article 14

Party B shall adopt fire-proof materials and structures on the building constructed on the Lease Land and shall comply with the Architecture Technique Regulations in building air-raid facilities, and shall follow Party A's instruction on the direction of the drive ways of the construction base. Prior to the digging, Party B shall depict any and all route maps of the underground pipelines and attach such information when applying for the commencement of the construction.

Article 15

In case Party B, for the purpose of constructing the building on the Lease Land, has to dig the road, drainage pipelines and other public facilities within the Science Park area, Party B shall apply with Party A in advance and deposit a bond; after the completion of the construction, Party B is obligated to restore the place. In case Party B delays in restoration, Party A may confiscate the bond.

In case Party B needs to modify or construct additional public facilities, on or under the ground of the Leased Land, Party B must apply for an admission in advance and Party A shall have full discretion to manage such construction and all the expenses resulting therefrom shall be borne by Party B.

Article 16

Party B shall, by using the diligence and care of a bona fide administrator, maintain any and all public facilities on or under the ground of the Lease Land and shall be responsible for restoration and damages in case of destroy.

Article 17

The building constructed by Party B on the Lease Land shall be limited to the need of Party B's own business use and shall only be used in accordance with the purpose as approved. Such building shall only be used by Party B and Party B has no right to sublease or provide for other enterprises' use.

Article 18

In case Party B intends to sublease, lend or transfer the building constructed by Party B on the Leased Land to any other person, Party B shall apply with Party A for an approval. The sublease, borrower or the transferee is limited to one of those enterprises incorporated under the approval of the Science Park Administration.

The rent or transfer price of the sublease or transfer as provided for in the preceding paragraph shall be approved by Party A. In addition, in the case of transfer, Party A is entitled to the preferential right to purchase the building on the same terms as are offered to any other person in accordance with Article 104 of the Land Act.

Article 19

In case of any of the following, Party A may at any time notify Party B to terminate this Lease Agreement and reclaim the Lease Land without any refund of the rents already paid by Party B:

- (1) Party B is deprived of the qualification to either conduct business or provide service in the Science Park, or is ordered by Party A to evict the Science Park according to the applicable laws or regulations;

-
- (2) In the event that Party B breaches Article 3, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15 or 16 of this Lease Agreement, and fails to cure such breach within a certain time period specified in the written notice given by Party A;
 - (3) The failure by Party B to pay the rent on time, and the delay has exceeded two (2) years;
 - (4) Party B fails to submit the application of a construction license within three (3) months after the execution of this Lease Agreement, and after the notification of Party A requesting Party B to file the application within a certain time period, Party B still fails to apply such license or the application filed by Party B does not comply with the laws, and such failure is not cured within a certain time period specified by Party A;
 - (5) The failure by Party B to complete the construction within the time schedule prescribed under Article 12 or the extension consented by Party A; or
 - (6) The failure by Party B to comply with Article 17 or 18 of this Lease Agreement.

Article 20

In case there is any construction on the Leased Land or there is any change of the terrain features and this Lease Agreement has been confirmed as void or has been terminated, Party B is obligated to restore the Leased Land. However, if the construction or the changes of the terrain features does not impede other person's use, Party B shall be exempted from the restoration obligations after obtaining Party A's consent.

Within six (6) months after the expiration or termination of this Lease Agreement, Party B shall transfer the building on the Lease Land in accordance with Article 18 of this Lease Agreement. Before the completion of such transfer, Party B shall pay to Party A an indemnification equals to the amount of the agreed rent on a monthly basis.

Party B will be held liable to the damages of Party A caused by the failure of Party B to transfer the building on time. In addition, Party A will be entitled to expropriate with compensation such building in accordance with the "Regulation for Expropriation of Science Park's Factory and Its Related Fixtures," and Party B shall not object to such expropriation.

Article 21

This Lease Agreement shall become effective upon the execution by the Parties; provided, however, that if Party B starts using the Leased Land before the execution of this Lease Agreement, this Lease Agreement shall become effective retroactively from the date on which Party A agrees Party B's use of the Leased Land.

Article 22

This Lease Agreement shall be governed by the law of the Republic of China and the Parties agree that the Taiwan Hsinchu District Court shall have the jurisdiction in the first instance for any dispute arises under this Lease Agreement.

Article 23

This Lease Agreement shall be executed in two counterparts, with each Party holding one counterpart as evidence.

By Science Park Administration

/s/ Huang De Rey

Representative: Huang De Rey

Address: No.2, Sin-an Rd., Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu

By ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Representative: Shih-Jye Cheng

Address: No.1. R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

Joint guarantor: Shih-Jye Cheng

ID No. of the joint guarantor: J100769307

Address: No.1. R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

Date: December 1, 2007

[English Translation]

THAILIN SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.
(Lessor)
and
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.
(Lessee)

Specification of Equipment – T5375 Tester & M6542AD Handler
Lease Agreement

The Parties: THAILIN SEMICONDUCTOR CORP. (“Party A”)
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC. (“Party B”)

Party B intends to lease from Party A certain equipment and therefore the Parties hereby enter into this Lease Agreement (the “Agreement”), which will stipulate any rights or obligations that may arise from such lease. This Agreement is executed in two counterparts, with each Party holding one counterpart as evidence.

Section 1. Leased Equipment

The Equipment owned by Party A: T5375 tester x 2 sets and M6542AD Handler x 4 sets.

Section 2. Term of Lease

- 1 The term of lease shall comprise 12 periods and each period shall refer to one month. The term of lease shall commence on April 2, 2008 and continue until April 1, 2009.
- 2 The term of lease shall commence on the date on which the Equipment will be in practice moved from the office of Party A. The Equipment shall be returned by the end of the lease term; however the end date will be extended to the next day if the end date is a national holiday.
- 3 Before the expiration of the lease, Party B shall notify Party A of the return of Equipment with a 10-day prior notice in the event Party B will not renew the lease. Party B shall notify Party A with a 10-day prior notice before expiration of the lease in the event Party B has a need to extend the lease. Party A and Party B will negotiate for the terms of extension.
- 4 Party A shall notify Party B with one month’s prior notice in the event Party A requests early return of the Equipment before the expiration of the lease term. Party B shall return the Equipment upon receipt of the notice and Party A shall return any overpaid rental fee.

Section 3. Rental Fee

1. The rental fee mutually agreed to is NT\$2,493,005 per month (tax excluded). The rental fee shall be calculated starting from the date on which the Equipment in practice moved from the premises of Party A.
2. The rental fee will be calculated on a monthly basis. Party A shall issue a 3-copy invoice to Party B on or before the 5th day of each month for rental fee payable. Party B shall pay the rental fee payable calculated based on the days of actual use in the event of early return of the Equipment.
3. With regard to the timing of rental fee payment, Party B shall make the payments within 30 days upon receipt of the invoice.

Section 4. Delivery

1. Moving: Any fees accrued from and any risks arising from unpack, pack, insurance, transportation and installation etc. shall borne by Party B when Party A delivers the Equipment to Party B. Any fees accrued from and any risks arising from unpack, pack, insurance, transportation and installation etc. shall borne by Party A when Party B returns the Equipment to Party A.
2. Upon the expiration of the lease, Party B shall return the Equipment to Party A in a workable condition. Party B shall return the workable Equipment to Party A by an appropriate method.

Section 5. Inspection and Acceptance of the Equipment

1. Party B will designate its personnel to handle the inspection works before the commencement of the lease. After the completion of the inspection works, as to Party A, the inspected Equipment shall be the leased Equipment herein.
2. Party A shall manage the inspection works as soon as possible in the event Party B returns the Equipment upon the expiration of lease. In the event of any objection to the condition of Equipment, Party A shall notify Party B within two working days after receipt of the Equipment. Party B is obligated to restore the Equipment to be under normal workable condition and entirety when returning the Equipment to Party A.
3. In the event of any malfunction of or damage to the Equipment upon the expiration of the lease, Party B is obligated to restore the Equipment to be under normal workable condition.

Section 6. Duties during the Term of Lease

The risk of Equipment shall pass to Party B upon the delivery made by Party A to Party B.

Party B shall notify Party A immediately in case of loss or damage. The loss or damage shall not diminish Party B's payment obligation. Party A may choose to request that Party B should bring the Equipment back into a good and workable condition in the event of loss or damage.

Section 7. Party B shall use and safeguard the Equipment with due care and diligence of a bona fide administrator during the term of the lease. Party B warrants upon the expiration of lease that the Equipment to be returned shall be of a condition of entirety, namely a workable status.

Section 8. Party B shall not sell, transfer, mortgage, create a lien on or make any use of or dispose of the Equipment and thereby damage Party A's rights or interests. In the event any third party damages Party A's ownership of Equipment, regardless by attachment or by any kind of disposal, Party B shall present and prove the Equipment as part of Party A's properties.

Section 9. The ownership of Equipment belongs to Party A during the term of lease; Party B shall not sublease or transfer the Equipment to a third party by any method.

Section 10. Default

In the event of any legal action taken by Party A for protecting its rights as a result of Party B's default hereunder, all fees incurred (including attorney's fee and fees of collection actions) shall be borne by Party B.

Section 11. Effective Date

This Agreement shall become effective when executed by representatives of the Parties. This Agreement shall become null and void when the Equipment is returned to and inspected by Party A.

Section 12. Governing Law and Jurisdiction

The Parties agree that if any dispute arises in connection with the terms of this Agreement, the Taiwan Hsinchu District Court shall have the jurisdiction in the first instance for such dispute. During arbitration, Party B shall pay the rental fee in the event the Equipment is not returned to Party A.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, executed by

Party A: THAILIN SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.

/s/ Zhuo, Lian Fa

Representative: Mr. Zhuo, Lian Fa

Address: No. 4, JenDe Road, 30352 Hsinchu Industrial Park, HuKou, Hsinchu County

Registered No.: 96977956

Party B: ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.

/s/ Cheng, Shih-Jye

Representative: Mr. Cheng, Shih-Jye

Address: No. 1 R&D Rd. 1, Science Park, HsinChu

Registered No.: 16130042

Date: April 2, 2008

List of Principal Subsidiaries

<u>Name</u>	<u>Place of Incorporation</u>
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.	Republic of China
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (H.K.) Limited	Hong Kong
MODERN MIND TECHNOLOGY LIMITED	British Virgin Islands
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Shanghai) LTD.	People's Republic of China
ThaiLin Semiconductor Corp.	Republic of China

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Shih-Jye Cheng, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: June 6, 2008

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Name: Shih-Jye Cheng
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Shou-Kang Chen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: June 6, 2008

/s/ Shou-Kang Chen

Name: Shou-Kang Chen
Title: Chief Financial Officer

**ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, the undersigned, Shih-Jye Cheng, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to his knowledge, that the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: June 6, 2008

/s/ Shih-Jye Cheng

Name: Shih-Jye Cheng
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

**ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, the undersigned, Shou-Kang Chen, Chief Financial Officer of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to his knowledge, that the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: June 6, 2008

/s/ Shou-Kang Chen

Name: Shou-Kang Chen
Title: Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

MOORE STEPHENS
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

905 Silvercord, Tower 2
30 Canton Road
Tsimshatsui
Kowloon
Hong Kong

Tel : (852) 2375 3180
Fax : (852) 2375 3828
E-mail : ms@ms.com.hk
www.ms.com.hk

馬
施
雲
事
務
計
師

June 6, 2008

The Board of Directors
ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD.
No. 1, R & D Road 1
Hsinchu Science Park
Hsinchu City, 30076
Republic of China

Attention: Mr. S.J. Cheng

Dear Sirs,

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-130230 on Form F-3 and Registration Statements Nos. 333-137838, 333-116670 and 333-85290 on Form S-8 of our report dated March 18, 2008, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 20-F of ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) LTD. for the year ended December 31, 2007 (the "Annual Report"). We also consent to the inclusion of our report in the Annual Report.

Yours faithfully,

/s/ Moore Stephens

Certified Public Accountants
Hong Kong